FLOYD CIRCUIT COURT Defendant, Joe Withhers, and that paid Defendant ought to pay midd note, paystery with interest because from THE BANK JOSEPHINE, PLAINTIFF. Petition As filed, as well as the serie of this processed VS: ANSWER AND CROSS PETITION OF DEFENDANT, ED HILL, against DEFENDANT, JOE WHITAKER. Due This teler were led as a wright to make JOE WHITAKER, ET AL., DEFENDANTS. The West tolers are the pay built manners which the thickness thinkness, o'uem sate maid note was wer thebut. Comes Defendant, Ed Hill, and for answer herein, and for cross-petition against his co-defendant, Joe Whitaker, and says, it is true, and he herewith alleges, that on the 22nd day of October, 1931, S. C. Allen, and Defendant, Joe Whitaker, as joint makers, made, executed, signed and delivered to this answering Defendant, and Plaintiff in Cross Petition, a certain promissory note in which and by which the said S. C. Allen and Joe Whitaker, promised and agreed, to pay to the order of Plaintiff in Cross Petition, Ed Hill, the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, four months after the date thereof, at The Bank Josephine, Prestonsburg, Kentucky; that thereafter, and before the maturity of said note the same was endorsed by Plaintiff in cross Petition, and thereafter and before the maturity of said note, the same was discounted by and to the Plaintiff, The Bank Josephine, Prestonsburg, Kentucky. Plaintiff in cross Petition, Ed Hill, says further that said note became due and payable at the place where payable, four months from the date thereof, to-wit: February 22, 1932; that said note is just, past due and entire-