

Ashland 26th Mar. 1835

Dear Sir

I rec'd your favor of the 22^d inst. in relation to the
Land in Ohio apportioned by the Execs of Col. Morrison to the Holmes.
In making that apportionment, Mr. R. Scott, who was

then relation, acted for them. He made the selection of that par-
ticular land, and the same was conveyed in trust, at his instance
and as I understood by their agent. I knew very little about
the title of the land more than was derived from the schedule
annexed to the will, from which it appeared that Gen. Hyatt
was interested in it. After I conveyed it, all responsibility ceased
on the part of the Execs, the Estate not being bound for the value
or the titles of land, contained in the schedule, which might be
apportioned to legatees. If they lost it, it was their loss and misfortune.

From this view of the subject, you will perceive that I do
not feel bound to stand to the suit which has been instituted
by the Bank; but, to occupy, it must be defended by Mr.
Holmes. But I understand that suit to be brought, in conse-
quence of the legal title remaining to part of the land in
O'Hara & Bowman, or their representatives. With respect to the
division between Holmes and the Bank, as the representative
of Hyatt, of the suit he attended to, I suppose it will be an
equitable one.

I should be sorry that Mr. Holmes should be injured,
as I am sure it was my own and I believe Mr. Scott's intention
to benefit him in an apportionment that was made. I would
advise him to see the Agent of the Bank or attend to the
suit, and I should be glad to assist him; but I do not
consider Col. Morrison's Estate liable in any event.

James Taylor Law. Esq

With great respect
I am Your O. Serv.
H. Clay