

Minutes of Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees of the University of Kentucky, February 21, 1934.

The Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees of the University of Kentucky met in President McVey's office at the University of Kentucky February 21, 1934, at 10:30 a.m. The members of the Committee present were Judge R. C. Stoll, Chairman; Joe B. Andrews and James Park. President Frank L. McVey and Secretary D. H. Peak were also present.

1. Minutes Approved.

The Minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee of January 17, 1934, were approved as published.

2. Financial Report.

The Financial Report for January was received and ordered to be recorded in the Minutes. It reads as follows:

EXHIBIT "B"

Statement of Income and Expenditures  
Month of January 1934

	<u>Previously Reported</u>	<u>Current Month</u>	<u>Fiscal Year To Date</u>
General Fund Income			
Federal Appropriation	32,062.50		32,062.50
Vocational Ed. Board	10,494.15		10,494.15
Bureau of Mineral & Topo- graphic Survey - Misc. Receipts	602.11	232.40	834.51
Bureau of Mineral & Topo- graphic Survey - State Appropriation	2,154.10	175.00	2,329.10
Special Agri. Appro.	16,022.06	3,933.98	19,956.04
State Tax	275,760.57	46,041.56	321,802.13
Int. on Endowment Bonds	4,322.25	4,322.25	8,644.50
Int. on Liberty Loan Bonds	850.00		850.00
Student Fees	90,478.71	2,482.11	92,960.82
Student Fees - Sum.Sch.	37,498.75	(11.38)	37,487.37
Student Fees - U.H.S.	5,957.50	2,170.00	8,127.50
Student Fees - El.Tr.Sch.	4,719.50	1,880.00	6,599.50
Student Fees - Un. Exten.	11,205.09	1,348.75	12,453.84
Miscellaneous Receipts	4,984.26	203.47	5,187.73
Rentals	1,116.85	230.95	1,347.80
Men's Dormitories	6,997.01	301.00	7,298.01
Total	<u>505,225.41</u>	<u>63,210.09</u>	<u>568,435.50</u>

Expenditures			
Instruction	343,827.12	66,464.14	410,291.26
Adm. Expense & Maint.	137,956.23	20,617.65	158,573.88
Additions and Better.	6,382.28	1,045.23	7,427.51
Total	<u>488,165.63</u>	<u>88,127.02</u>	<u>576,292.65</u>
Excess of Expenditures over			
Income	<u>17,059.78</u>	<u>(24,916.93)</u>	<u>(7,857.15)</u>
 Patterson Hall Income			
Board	20,599.86	3,214.00	23,773.86
Miscellaneous Receipts	154.93	3.00	157.93
Room Rent - Summer School	2,936.65		2,936.65
Total	<u>23,651.44</u>	<u>3,217.00</u>	<u>26,868.44</u>
 Expenditures			
Expense	17,755.11	2,626.86	20,381.97
Additions and Betterments	695.00	206.30	901.30
Total	<u>18,450.11</u>	<u>2,833.16</u>	<u>21,283.27</u>
 Excess of Income over Ex-			
penditure	<u>5,201.33</u>	<u>383.84</u>	<u>5,585.17</u>
 General Fund Income	528,876.85	66,427.09	595,303.94
General Fund Expend.	<u>506,615.74</u>	<u>90,960.18</u>	<u>597,575.92</u>
Excess of General Fund			
Expend. over Income	22,261.11	(24,533.09)	(2,271.98)
Accounts Payable liqui-			
dated	(21,447.30)		(21,447.30)
Excess of Receipts over			
Expend. for General			
Ledger Accounts	<u>37,596.26</u>	<u>11,690.42</u>	<u>49,286.68</u>
Excess of Receipts over Ex-			
penditures for the fiscal			
year to date - General			
Fund	<u>38,410.07</u>	<u>(12,842.67)</u>	<u>25,567.40</u>
Excess of Receipts over Ex-			
pend. for the fiscal year			
to date - General Fund			25,567.40
Cash in Bank July 1, 1933 -			
General Fund			<u>16,671.05</u>
Cash in Bank January 31, 1934 -			
General Fund			<u>42,238.45</u>

Experiment Station Income			
Hatch - Federal Appro.	7,500.00	3,750.00	11,250.00
Milk and Butter -- Cash			
Receipts	5,000.08	884.32	5,884.40
Beef Cattle Sales	24.89		24.89
Dairy Cattle Sales	209.21	20.40	229.61
Sheep Sales	321.54	5.32	326.86
Swine Sales	118.57	26.10	144.67
Poultry Sales	801.66	338.98	1,140.64
Farm Produce Sales	205.90	43.05	248.95
Horticultural Sales	1,287.12	203.60	1,490.72
Seed Test	194.63	60.40	255.03
Seed Inspection	2,988.30	919.49	3,907.79
Rentals	2,978.00	2,169.66	5,147.66
Miscellaneous	124.86	31.25	156.11
Fertilizer - Fees	5,707.25	635.00	6,342.25
Public Service - State Ap.	8,970.84	1,833.33	10,804.17
Public Service - Misc. Rec.	21.05	5.00	26.05
Feeding Stuffs - Fees	15,624.73	2,889.02	18,513.75
Adams - Fed. Appro.	7,500.00	3,750.00	11,250.00
Serum - Sales	542.41	129.00	671.41
Serum - Virus	30.55	7.20	37.75
Serum - Live Stock Sales		200.00	200.00
Serum - Supply Sales	40.63	17.75	58.38
State Appropriation	21,738.01	4,645.09	26,383.10
Creamery - Lic. Fees	6,403.50	15.00	6,418.50
Creamery - Testers Lic.	2,139.35	49.00	2,188.35
Creamery - Glassware			
Tested	326.94	13.74	340.68
Robinson - St. Appro.	4,541.51	971.54	5,513.05
Robinson - Misc. Rec.	903.74	150.11	1,053.85
West Ky.- St. Appro.	6,566.02	1,407.40	7,973.42
West Ky.- Misc. Rec.	788.08	103.91	891.99
Purnell - Fed. Appro.	30,000.00	15,000.00	45,000.00
Nursery Inspect.-Fees	960.00	65.00	1,025.00
Blood Test	389.50	88.00	477.50
Total	<u>134,948.87</u>	<u>40,427.66</u>	<u>175,376.53</u>
Expenditures			
Expense	142,454.25	22,984.50	165,438.75
Additions & Betterments	<u>1,141.97</u>		<u>1,141.97</u>
Total	<u>143,596.22</u>	<u>22,984.50</u>	<u>166,580.72</u>
Excess of Income over Expenditures	(8,647.35)	17,443.16	8,795.81
Excess of Expenditures over Rec. for Gen. Leg. Accounts	<u>(20,758.51)</u>	<u>1,590.50</u>	<u>(19,168.01)</u>
Excess of Expenditures over Receipts	<u>(29,405.86)</u>	<u>19,033.66</u>	<u>(10,372.20)</u>
Excess of Expenditures over Receipts for the fiscal year to date - Exp. Sta.			(10,372.20)
Cash in Bank July 1, 1933 - Exp. Sta.			<u>16,455.13</u>
Cash in Bank January 31, 1934 - Exp. Sta.			<u><u>6,082.93</u></u>

Extension Division Income			
Federal Smith-Lever	74,154.38		74,154.38
Federal Add. Co-op.	15,500.00		15,500.00
Federal Supplementary	26,545.52		26,545.52
Federal Capper-Ketcham	18,400.49		18,400.49
State Smith-Lever	76,363.31	15,511.94	91,875.25
County and Other	870.49	119.16	989.65
Total	<u>211,834.19</u>	<u>15,631.10</u>	<u>227,465.10</u>
Expenditures			
Expense	<u>180,230.66</u>	<u>31,026.98</u>	<u>211,257.64</u>
Excess of Income over Expenditures	<u>31,603.53</u>	<u>(15,395.88)</u>	<u>16,207.65</u>
Excess of Income over Expenditures for the fiscal year to date - Extension Division			
Cash in Bank July 1, 1933 - Extension Division			<u>9,064.09</u>
Cash in Bank January 31, 1934 - Extension Division			<u>25,271.74</u>
Trust Fund Income			
Student Loan Fund	268.80	101.48	370.28
Student Notes Paid	727.73	272.71	1,000.44
Total Receipts	<u>996.53</u>	<u>374.19</u>	<u>1,370.72</u>
Expenditures			
Expense	234.00	38.00	272.00
Student Notes	142.00		142.00
Total	<u>376.00</u>	<u>38.00</u>	<u>414.00</u>
Excess of Receipts over Expenditures	<u>620.53</u>	<u>336.19</u>	<u>956.72</u>
Excess of Receipts over Expenditures for the fiscal year to date - Trust Fund			
Cash in Bank July 1, 1933 - Trust Fund			994.93
Cash in Bank January 31, 1934 - Trust Fund			<u>1,951.65</u>

## Summary

General Fund Income	528,876.85	66,427.09	595,303.04
Experiment Station Inc.	134,948.87	40,427.66	175,376.53
Extension Division Inc.	211,834.19	15,631.10	227,465.29
Trust Fund Income	268.80	101.48	370.28
Total	<u>875,928.71</u>	<u>122,587.33</u>	<u>998,516.04</u>
General Fund Expenditures	506,615.74	90,960.18	597,575.92
Experiment Station Expend.	143,596.22	22,984.50	166,580.72
Extension Division Expend.	180,230.66	31,026.98	211,257.64
Trust Fund Expend.	234.00	38.00	272.00
Total	<u>830,676.62</u>	<u>145,009.66</u>	<u>975,686.28</u>
Excess of Income over Expenditures	45,252.09	(22,422.33)	22,829.76
Accounts Payable liquidated	(21,447.30)		(21,447.30)
Excess of Receipts over Expenditures for General Ledger Accounts	16,837.75	13,280.92	30,118.67
Student Loan Fund Notes	<u>585.73</u>	<u>272.71</u>	<u>858.44</u>
Excess of Receipts over Expenditures for the fiscal year to date - Combined Fund	<u>41,228.27</u>	<u>(8,868.70)</u>	<u>32,359.57</u>
Excess of Receipts over Expenditures for the fiscal year to date - Combined Fund			32,359.57
Cash in Bank and on hand July 1, 1933 - Combined Fund			<u>45,185.20</u>
Cash in Bank and on hand January 31, 1934 - Combined Fund			<u>77,544.77</u>

Abstract of item shown on statement of Income and Expenditures as "Excess of Receipts over Expenditures for General Ledger Accounts \$30,118.67"

	<u>Debit</u>	<u>Credit</u>
Accounts Receivable	25,431.48	
Insurance Paid in Advance	130.89	
Sundry Accounts		5,681.04
Notes Payable		50,000.00
	<u>25,562.37</u>	<u>55,681.04</u>
		<u>25,562.37</u>
		<u>30,118.67</u>

### 3. Income and Expenditures.

The Business Agent further reported state tax receipts, including February remittance for January collections for the period of July 1, 1933 to January 31, 1934, as follows: inheritance tax \$124,059.24, mill tax \$219,341.19. He reported further estimates of income based on receipts to date and estimated receipts to June 30, 1934, the estimates for mill and inheritance taxes being made on basis of budget estimates; total estimate, \$1,017,173.00; estimate of expenditures based on expenditures to date and estimated expenditures to June 30, 1934, estimated expenditures being budget balances and commitments; total estimate, \$997,786.00. This will leave a balance of \$19,387.00 if collections are made as estimated. The estimate of expenditures does not include the five per cent withheld on salary payments.

### 4. Legislative Situation.

The legislative situation so far as it may affect the University was discussed at some length, especially Senate bill No. 249, relating to budget procedure. There was discussion of the question of a bill levying a sales tax and the benefit that would inure to the University appropriation in case of adequate tax levies. President McVey was authorized to look after the University's interests and to ask for such revision of bill No. 249 and other bills that the University's welfare may not be prejudiced. The President stated that under the reorganization bill the Bureau of Mineral and Topographic Survey is removed from the University and put under the Department of Mines. He said that he had promise that the display of minerals, etc., belonging to that Bureau will be left with the University.

In this connection it was stated that no report of the audit and examination of the University of Kentucky by Griffenhagen has been received.

### 5. Fire Inspection - Neville Hall.

The Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds submitted the following communication:

January 30, 1934

Mr. M. J. Crutcher  
Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds  
University of Kentucky

Dear Sir:-

An inspection of Neville Hall, located on the University Campus, revealed the fact that this building was in strict violation of the Standards of Safety, which are a part of the Kentucky Statutes, due to the fact that this

building has only one exit serving this entire building, and the law requires that two or more exits serve all buildings where persons live, work or congregate. This is a three story building with class rooms on the second floor with a seating capacity for about 140 students, and has five offices or separate rooms on the third floor.

The first floor of this building is used for X-ray rooms, and Doctors' and Nurses' offices.

In the basement room directly under the stairway serving the upper floors, there is an accumulation of trash and rubbish and this is strictly in violation of Section 2, Subsection 6, paragraph (b) of the Standards of Safety, and in another part of the basement is located the paint shop where oils, turpentines and other materials are stored.

Due to the life hazard of this building, it is absolutely imperative that corrections be made at once. A fire escape or other approved type of egress must be erected or installed on this building within the next thirty days, or it will be necessary for this office to take more drastic action to have this building placed in a safe condition.

We trust that you will give this matter your immediate attention and that it will not be necessary for this department to take further action in this case.

Respectfully,

(Signed) C. J. Henry  
Chief Fire Department.

On this communication the Superintendent comments as follows:

"The Paint Shop can be moved to Service Building provided space is suitably constructed to house it. This work can be done under C. W. A. project No. Ky-35-87 now held in abeyance since January 19, at no cost to the University for labor. Material costs would amount to approximately \$700.00."

President McVey was authorized to proceed with the changes suggested as he may find them necessary and practicable.

6. Student Union Building.

President McVey was authorized to have presented to the Public Works Administration application for a loan for a student union building, provided such application and the contract that may follow place no financial obligations on the University of Kentucky and provided further that no obligation be put on the University to require students to pledge and to pay any part of the obligations growing out of application and contract with the C. W. A.

7. James K. Patterson Bequest to Patterson Literary Society.

It was ordered that the correspondence that follows be inserted in the Minutes. No further action was taken.

January 15, 1934

Mr. C. N. Manning  
President Security Trust Company  
Lexington, Kentucky

Dear Mr. Manning:

Following our talk a few days ago I am submitting to you a memorandum on the Patterson Literary Society Scholarship and other awards.

I think it is evident to any one reading the will that President Patterson meant to establish a scholarship at the University of Kentucky and that by so doing he consciously had it in mind to perpetuate his name. He wanted it announced each 26th of March that Mr. So and So had won the Patterson medal for the best declamation, and that again in May it would be announced that another boy was awarded the Patterson scholarship. Each time something would presumably be said about James K. Patterson and what he did. I think that you will agree that this was in the mind of President Patterson when he wrote his will. But the Patterson Literary Society has ceased to exist through lack of interest. And so his purpose fails of accomplishment because we do not have the particular medium through which he meant to effect his purpose. Since it seems to me more essential to carry out his purpose than to thwart this through lack of the medium specified. I am making the following proposal:



Pending the time when there will be renewed interest in literary societies we ask permission to award the Patterson Scholarship and the Patterson birthday prize. (\$30) as a single scholarship or as two or more tuition scholarships, observing the requirements of church membership as set forth in the will; with reference to the five year prize of \$125, we would open that to competition of the entire student body instead of to the literary society.

In our catalog and other appropriate publications we would give full publicity to President Patterson's bequest.

You and I tried to revive the Patterson Literary Society without sustained success.

Since the University is the sole beneficiary under the will, I understand the Board of Trustees can probably pass a resolution making it safe for you to adopt the proposed interpretation. If our plan is approved I suggest that you, President McVey and I be a committee to award the scholarships.

In trying to select students for our campus CWA projects I have been surprised to find many boys from Lexington and adjoining towns who are out of college because of inability to pay tuition.

Our Executive Committee meets Wednesday and if you approve our proposal we should like to know if possible by that time. In the meantime, President McVey or I will be glad to discuss the matter further with you.

Very sincerely,

(Signed) T. T. Jones  
Dean of Men.

-----  
January 16, 1934

Dr. T. T. Jones  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, Kentucky

Dear Dr. Jones:

In reply to your letter of January 15, I have every desire to accede to the wishes of yourself and Dr. McVey regarding the application of the income directed in the will of Dr. James K. Patterson to be used for prizes and

scholarships in connection with the Patterson Literary Society, but I think that neither the Executor nor the University of Kentucky has the authority under the law and under the language of the will to divert this income from the specific purpose to which it was directed to be applied. It is true that the University of Kentucky is in a sense the residuary devisee, but even when the income becomes payable to the University of Kentucky, it is directed to be used for a specific purpose, and I feel that the consent of the University would not afford a legal and moral protection to the Executor to use any part of the income otherwise than as directed by the testator.

For these reasons, I regretfully find myself unable to consent to the suggestions contained in your letter of January 15 as to the application of the income which was directed to be used under and through the auspices of the Patterson Literary Society, which has ceased to exist.

Cordially yours,

(Signed) C. N. Manning  
President.

#### 8. Student Subscription to Law Review.

The College of Law requested that the subscription price of the Law Review be added to the fees of each student in Law, each student being given a subscription to the publication, and the amount thus added and collected be set aside to that publication. The suggestion was not approved.

#### 9. Appointment of Members of Board of Trustees.

On the            day of January, 1934, Governor Ruby Laffoon announced the appointment of the following members of the Board of Trustees: Dr. W. W. Wash, Lawrenceburg, to succeed himself; Joe B. Andrews, Newport, to succeed himself; E. O. Robinson, Fort Thomas, to succeed himself; James Park, Lexington, alumni member, selected from the three nominated by the alumni and certified to the Governor by the Secretary of the Board December 16, 1933. See Minutes of the Executive Committee of January 17, 1934.

10. Disposal of Election Ballots, etc.,

It appearing that the alumni election has been completed and that detailed reports are shown in appendix to the minutes of the Board of Trustees of September 19, 1933, and December 14, 1933, and that report has been made to the Governor of Kentucky and he has made appointment, it is ordered that the ballots, tally sheets, etc., be destroyed by the Secretary of the Board of Trustees.

11. Renewal of Fire Insurance.

It appearing that the schedule policies of fire insurance on the property of the Division of Colleges expires March 14, 1934, on motion and second it is ordered that the insurance be renewed according to the schedule now in force as far as that may be done and that the Klair and Scott Insurance Agency of Lexington, Kentucky, be authorized to prepare and place the policies in good insurance companies under agreement with Klair and Scott heretofore made by order of the Board of Trustees. It is further ordered that the premiums that will become due on the policies so ordered to be written shall be paid from the 1934-1935 budget when funds from that budget become available.

The Business Agent is directed to refer communications and requests for data concerning insurance to the Klair and Scott Agency.

12. Widening Limestone Street.

On motion duly seconded the Chairman of the Executive Committee was authorized to execute, acknowledge and deliver to the City of Lexington, Kentucky, a deed to the strip of land necessary for use in widening South Limestone Street. Whereupon the said Chairman executed and acknowledged said deed before Jane Nichols, Notary Public, which act was duly attested by the Secretary of the Board and the seal of the University was placed on said deed. Following is a copy of the deed:

THIS DEED, made and entered into this 14th day of December, 1933, by and between UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, party of the first part, and CITY OF LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY, a municipal coporation of the State of Kentucky, party of the second part,

W I T N E S S E T H:

THAT, WHEREAS, at a meeting of the Board of Trustees of the University of Kentucky held on December 14, 1933, a resolution was duly adopted directing the Chairman of

the Executive Committee of the University of Kentucky, for and on its behalf, to convey to the City of Lexington a strip of land twenty (20) feet wide, more particularly described herein, running from Euclid Avenue on the North to the Dehore property on the South, for the purpose of widening South Limestone Street, said conveyance to be made under the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, which have been agreed to by the University of Kentucky and the City of Lexington:

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises, and in further consideration of the agreements and stipulations on the part of the City of Lexington, as hereinafter set forth, the party of the first part has bargained and sold and does hereby grant and convey unto the party of the second part the following described lots or parcels of land, lying and being in the City of Lexington, Kentucky, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Lot No. 1. Beginning at the southeast corner of the intersection of South Limestone Street and Euclid Avenue, said point being where the south line of Euclid Avenue intersects the east line of Limestone Street; thence in a southerly direction along the east line of South Limestone Street and the University of Kentucky property 1739 feet more or less to a point in the east line of South Limestone Street where it intersects the north property line of lot No. 2; thence in an easterly direction along lot No. 2, 20 feet; thence in a northerly direction paralleling the east line of South Limestone Street 1739 feet, more or less, to the south line of Euclid Avenue; thence in a westerly direction with the south line of Euclid Avenue 20 feet to the point of beginning.

This is part of the tract conveyed to the Commonwealth of Kentucky by the City of Lexington, Kentucky, on July 1, 1880, recorded in Deed Book No. 61, page 336, Fayette County Court Clerk's office.

Lot No. 2. Beginning at the southwest corner of lot No. 1 on South Limestone Street; thence running in a southerly direction along said street 80 feet to the corner of the Dehore property; thence in an easterly direction 20 feet with the Dehore line; thence in a northerly direction paralleling the east line of South Limestone Street 80 feet to the line of lot No. 1, thence in a westerly direction with the line of lot No. 1, 20 feet to the point of beginning.

This is part of the lot conveyed by the Security Trust Company, Trustee, to the University of Kentucky, by deed dated October 3, 1929, recorded in Deed Book No. 259, page 585, Fayette County Court Clerk's office.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the above described property unto the party of the second part, its successors and assigns forever, for use as a public street, and if said property ceases to be used as a public street, it shall revert to the party of the first part, and the party of the first part hereby releases unto the party of the second part, for the purposes hereinabove set out, all of its right, title and interest in and to said property, and it warrants specially the title to the property hereby conveyed.

As a part consideration of this conveyance, it is mutually covenanted and agreed between the parties hereto as follows:

1. The said street will be widened and reconstructed without cost to the University of Kentucky.

2. A sidewalk five feet wide will be constructed by the City of Lexington without cost to the University of Kentucky on University of Kentucky property, adjoining the strip conveyed, on specifications to be approved and accepted by the Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds of the University of Kentucky.

3. All retaining walls, terraces, fills, changes in University Campus roadways and pillars at the entrances thereof, removal and resetting of trees and shrubs, changes and making of sewers and culverts and all other work incident to the widening of said street necessary to the beautification and preservation of the University Campus shall be changed, reconstructed and built by the City of Lexington, Kentucky, without expense to the University of Kentucky under specifications approved and accepted by the Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds of the University of Kentucky.

4. The City of Lexington will pay to the University of Kentucky any and all loss sustained by injury to its property caused by the reconstruction of said street.

5. The City of Lexington agrees to forever maintain the street as reconstructed, to maintain the sidewalk agreed to be constructed and to maintain the walls and terraces, sewers and culverts abutting and adjacent to said street and sidewalk.

6. In the event that the City of Lexington, its successors or assigns, ceases to use for the purpose for which it is conveyed the strip of land or any part thereof hereby conveyed, the right and title thereto shall revert to the University of Kentucky.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the University of Kentucky has hereunto caused its name to be signed by Richard C. Stoll, Chairman of its Executive Committee, and has caused his act to be attested and its corporate seal to be affixed by D. H. Peak, Secretary of its Board of Trustees, at Lexington, Kentucky, this the day and year first above written.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

Signed by Richard C. Stoll  
Chairman, Executive Committee

ATTEST:

Signed by D. H. Peak  
Secretary, Board of Trustees

STATE OF KENTUCKY )  
                          SS:  
COUNTY OF FAYETTE )

I, Jane J. Nichols, a Notary Public within and for the state and county aforesaid, do hereby certify that the foregoing deed from the University of Kentucky to the City of Lexington was this day produced to me in my office in said county, and was acknowledged by the University of Kentucky, by Richard C. Stoll, Chairman of its Executive Committee, to be the act and deed of the University of Kentucky, and by D. H. Peak, Secretary of the Board of Trustees, to be the act and deed of said University of Kentucky, and in my presence the corporate seal of the University of Kentucky was attached to said deed by D. H. Peak, Secretary of the University of Kentucky.

WHEREUPON, the said deed and this my certificate are certified to the proper office for record.

Given under my hand and seal, this the 21st day of February, 1934.

Signed Jane J. Nichols  
Notary Public, Fayette County,  
Kentucky.

My commission expires July 17, 1935.

13. Bills Charged to Johnston Solar Laboratory.

At the request of the companies, President McVey presented the following bills charged to the Johnston Solar Laboratory:

Egalite-Wilson Fruit Company, Lexington, Ky. (Various purchases in 1931-1932 and 1933)	\$492.24
Van Meter-Ferrell Feed Company, Lexington, Ky.	47.00

It seems that the bills are for purchases made direct by Dean Anderson for feed used for animals in the Johnston Solar Laboratory. The orders did not pass through the Requisition Committee and the Business Office. The Executive Committee took no action on the request for payment.

In this connection the Business Agent was directed to notify members of the staff that all orders must be made on approval of the Requisition Committee and be sent out by the Business Office.

14. Bureau of Mineral and Topographic Survey - Pay Rolls Approved.

The following pay rolls of Bureau of Mineral and Topographic Survey were approved:

February 1934

David M. Young	-	1 month	-	\$130.00
Louise Barton	-	1 month	-	65.00
Newell Wilder	-	35 hours	-	17.50

Ordered certified to Auditor of Public Accounts.

15. Granting Degrees.

January 24, 1934

President Frank L. McVey  
University of Kentucky

My dear President McVey:

Attached is a list of persons who have completed all requirements for the degrees indicated. They are recommended to the Board of Trustees by the University Senate.

Very truly yours,

Ezra L Gillis  
Secretary of the  
Senate

President F. L. McVey  
University of Kentucky

Dear President McVey:

The following committee representing the Board of Trustees recommend that the candidates for degrees that are named on the attached sheets as certified to us by the Senate of the University of Kentucky be granted the degrees indicated thereon at the Commencement to be held January 26, 1934.

This 25th day of January, 1934.

James Park  
Geo. H. Wilson

COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES  
CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF ARTS

Katherine Taylor Asbury	Louis Harry Levitt
William Allen Baker	James Rogers Miner
Vivian Beatrice Conley	Nancybelle Moss
John Calvin Craddock	William Francis Rhinehart
Katherine Lewis Graves	Hugh Lee Smith, Jr.
Manring Seyfried Hollingsworth	Alice Cassity Turner
Carl Johnson Howell	Harry Edward Watts

CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE

Barbara Dorothy Alexander	Celeste Royster Thompson
Richard Taylor McKenna	Charles Barbour Wathen
James Laurence Pyles	

COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE  
CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN  
AGRICULTURE

Duard Elsworth Bayless	Maurice Elias Coppock
David Spivey Clarke	William Douglas Kleiser
Harry Lee Copenhagen	

CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN  
HOME ECONOMICS

Elizabeth Thomas Anderson	Mary Louise Scott
Marian Sue Oldham	Sarah Jane Van Arsdall



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

CANDIDATE FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN CIVIL ENGINEERING

Silas Winter Jones

CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING

Frank Johnson Ecton

John Blackförd Penn

COLLEGE OF LAW

CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF LAWS

George Orson Eldred  
D. Hollender Hall  
Martha Townsend Manning  
Kirk Brasfield Moberly

Oliver Cromwell Van Camp  
Henry Rupert Wilhoit  
Paul Kelly Wilson

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF ARTS IN EDUCATION

Sadie Sandifer Bailey  
Ru Bee  
Opal Tyree Bondurant  
Florence Dorothy Dalton  
Harry Guthrie Dunn  
Ruby Etta Evans

Bertha Victoria Krisch  
Ardelle McPherson  
Mabel C. Mitchell  
Katherine Rankin  
James Ovid Webster  
Roberta Katherine Weigel

COLLEGE OF COMMERCE

CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN COMMERCE

Clarence Taylor Adams  
Robert Eugene Adams  
Theodore Alverson  
George West Forsythe  
George James Kreke, Jr.

Martin Lindsey McMahon  
Don Price  
Charles Harry Talbot  
Helen Louise Wunsch

GRADUATE SCHOOL

CANDIDATES FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS

Otis Cecil Amis  
Harvey Arthur Babb  
Reginald Victor Bennett  
John Russell Cooper  
Pansy Pence Dinkle  
Eugene Ray Dorsey  
James Christian Eddleman

Edmond Clarence Hardin  
Edward Dwight Jackson  
Carmel Vincent Ketron  
Lela Scoville Mason  
Gladys Verne Parrish  
James Robert Welch

CANDIDATE FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

Wallace Robert Roy

CANDIDATE FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN  
HOME ECONOMICS

Lenore Nesler Moore

On motion and second the action of the Committee representing the Board of Trustees was ratified and approved.

16. Group Insurance - New Appointees.

The question of group insurance was discussed and President McVey asked the advisability of requiring all new appointees to take the insurance. He was authorized to prepare and submit to the Executive Committee a form of employment conditions or obligations covering the requirement of group insurance.

17. Student Loan Fund.

President McVey was authorized to prepare and submit to the Executive Committee a plan of control of Student Loan Fund, after discussion by the committee of proposed changes in policy.

18. Proposed Building of Armory.

President McVey announced that Colonel Ryan had submitted a proposal that the Federal Government make an appropriation for the purpose of erecting armories at certain Land Grant Colleges. He suggested that he had prepared data and forwarded it to members of the Kentucky delegation in Congress. His action was approved.

19. Agreement with T. V. A. - Fertilizers.

The entering into an agreement with the Tennessee Valley Authority by the University of Kentucky for the purpose of study of fertilizers, etc., was authorized. The proposed agreement is in words and figures as follows:

C O N T R A C T

between

THE \_\_\_\_\_ UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

and

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

This contract made this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1934, between the \_\_\_\_\_ University, hereinafter styled the University, and the Tennessee Valley Authority, a corporation created by the Act of Congress approved May 18,

1933, Public Law No. 17, 73rd Congress, hereinafter styled the Authority.

W I T N E S S E T H

WHEREAS, the Authority is duly authorized by Section 5 (c) of the Act approved May 18, 1933,

"To cooperate with National, State, district, or county experimental stations or demonstration farms, for the use of new forms of fertilizer or fertilizer practices during the initial or experimental period of their introduction", and

WHEREAS, such governmental agencies as aforesaid have indicated a desire to cooperate with the Authority in the manner described in the said Act as aforesaid, and

WHEREAS, the Authority desires to foster work of an experimental character within the seven states, a part of whose area lies in the Tennessee River watershed, designed to test the effect of fertilizers manufactured by the Tennessee Valley Authority as compared with fertilizers now in common use, with especial reference to the effect of such fertilizers on major soil types and with reference to the principal crops grown in the areas served by the experiment, and to ascertain the best methods under varying conditions for the physical application of such fertilizers to the soils, and

WHEREAS, the University is an agency of the State of \_\_\_\_\_, within the meaning of Section 5 (c) as aforesaid, and is at the present time through the instrumentality of its Agricultural Experiment Station collaborating with the United States Department of Agriculture, the Department of Agriculture of the state of \_\_\_\_\_, and with farm organizations, and other agencies and enterprises within the State of \_\_\_\_\_, and

WHEREAS, the \_\_\_\_\_ University, by virtue of its trained personnel, whose long experience has resulted in a familiarity with the mode and manner of carrying on the agricultural industry within the said State, and the soil requirements which might be served by adequately adapted fertilizers, is uniquely qualified to assist in research work with reference to fertilizer which would foster the development of types of crops most beneficial to the area, and consistent with the purposes and policies of the Authority, and

WHEREAS, the Authority is cognizant of the fact that the University, by virtue of its trained personnel and existing facilities, can effectuate work of an experimental character as aforesaid with the greatest degree of efficiency and economy;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained, the parties hereto mutually agree as follows:

1. The University shall conduct through its agricultural experiment station, and by the use of its facilities, land and trained personnel, certain research work with reference to fertilizers consistent with the purposes and policies of the Act of May 18, 1933. This work shall be designed to test within the State of \_\_\_\_\_ the effect of fertilizers manufactured by the Tennessee Valley Authority, and to compare the results achieved by the use of such fertilizers with the results possible with other fertilizers now in common use; the University shall keep an accurate record and tabulation of all results achieved by this experimental work, and shall submit periodic reports of an interpretative character to show the effect of the fertilizers which may have been experimented with, on major soil types and the proper crops grown thereon.
2. The University in the formulation of reports to the Authority, shall cooperate, through the Director of Agricultural Experiment Work, with other Directors in other states who are collaborating with agricultural agencies, governmental and otherwise, as aforesaid, similarly engaged in the conduct of research work in connection with fertilizer, in harmonizing any conflicting results obtained under similar conditions within the various states.
3. The University, through its Director of Agricultural Experiment Work, shall plan and execute this work in such a manner as in his best judgment is consistent with the purposes and policies of the Act approved May 18, 1933, as aforesaid; Provided, however, that the plans thus undertaken, and the personnel employed to do this work meet with the approval of the Authority.
4. The University shall advance such sums of money as may be required for all expenditures incident to the performance of its obligations under this contract, and shall periodically, but in no case less frequently than once at the close of each calendar month, submit to the Authority, vouchers prepared in triplicate on such form as may be approved by the Authority together with adequately receipted bills. The Authority shall have the right during the

usual hours of business, to examine the records of the University for purposes of verifying such expenditures.

5. The Authority, immediately upon receipt of such data, shall reimburse the University for the amounts thus expended, up to an amount not to exceed \$4,000.00.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have signed their names the day and year as above written.

\_\_\_\_\_  
By UNIVERSITY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director of Agricultural  
Experiment Work.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman, Board of Directors

This contract is approved and the Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station is authorized to conduct the work as provided therein.

\_\_\_\_\_  
President, University of Kentucky

Subscribed and sworn to before me at \_\_\_\_\_

this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 1934.

20. Federal Funds Control.

The following copy of rulings on handling Federal Funds was submitted through Dean Cooper and ordered incorporated in the minutes.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

January 18, 1934

Mr. A. Spates Brady, President,  
West Virginia Board of Control,  
Charleston, W. Va.

Dear Sir:

There was received on January 2, by reference from the Treasury Department, a photostat copy of your letter of November 1 to former Secretary Woodin, of that Department, together with a copy of a reply dated December 29, both of which relate to the scrutiny and control of your Board over the expenditure of Federal funds devoted to Experiment Station and Geological Survey work at West Virginia University. You ask to be advised whether the Federal Government desires the continuance of such scrutiny and control.

The interest of this Department in the matter is, of course, confined to the expenditure of the Experiment Station funds appropriated and allotted to the States by the so-called Hatch, Adams, and Purnell Acts of Congress, so that this letter will be concerned solely with such funds and their expenditure, as I understand that this matter has also been referred to the Interior Department, in view of the Geological Survey funds involved.

Your letter quotes from Sec. 1, Article 11, Chapter 18, and from Sec. 4, Article 1, Chapter 25, of the West Virginia Code to show that the "finances and business affairs" of the University (of which the Experiment Station is a part) are under the "charge and control" of your Board and you state that, under the policy of retrenchment which the Board is engaged in, you deem it your duty to exercise the same "scrutiny and power of disapproval" as to expenditures connected with the University out of Federal funds as you exercise over State funds. I quote further from your letter as follows:

It is our desire that the expenditure of Federal funds, in so far as is possible, be expended strictly for the purposes for which they are appropriated, and not expended in paying the traveling expenses of members of the University staff, its agents and employees, traveling all over the country to "study this project," "make a survey of conditions," "attend a conference with so and so," and in going to many points in this State and all over the United States; that in the expenditures for supplies the use of the fund was applied to such supplies, as in

the judgment of this Board, were necessary, and of a kind, material and quality such as we would approve for the University if payable out of State Funds, due respect being had for the recommendations of the heads of the departments, with regard to supplies of a technical nature needed in their departments.

\* \* \* \* \*

It is the desire of this Board to work in entire harmony with the officials of the University administering Federal Funds, and jointly administering Federal and State funds. We shall endeavor to cooperate with these people in every particular, looking to the efficiency of the service; we shall continue to give due consideration and respect to the recommendations of these officers who are expending Federal Funds, and where we are not clear upon the necessity of a requisition, we will ask for further information, but we cannot, and will not concede, that we will simply use a rubber stamp to every requisition which comes through with the approval of these officials, regardless of the apparent necessity, wisdom and extravagance, which, to us, is apparent.

As a basis for an answer to your question as to the desirability of the continuance of such scrutiny and control by your Board over recommended expenditures of experiment station funds, your attention is called to certain provisions of Sections 1, 2 and 3 of the Hatch Act of March 2, 1887 (24 U.S. Statutes, 440), which was the original Act of Congress establishing the experiment stations in the various States and making funds available for their support, and to the fact that the subsequent Adams Act of March 16, 1906 (34 U.S. Statutes, 63) and Purnell Act of February 24, 1925 (43 U.S. Statutes, 970), both specifically announce that their appropriations are made for the more complete endowment of the experiment stations "established in accordance with" the Hatch Act.

By Section 1 of the Hatch Act, agricultural experiment stations are authorized to be established "under direction of" the land-grant agricultural colleges in the various States and by Section 2 thereof the object and duty of these experiment stations is declared to be "to conduct original researches or verify experiments on the physiology of plants and animals . . . and such other researches or experiments bearing directly on the agricultural industry of the United States as may in each case be deemed advisable." By Section 3 of the Act, it is provided that this Department is to cooperate with the Experiment Stations so established in order to "secure uniformity of methods and results" and to give

such advice and assistance "as will best promote the purpose of" of the Act. (Underscoring supplied).

The plain meaning of the language thus used in these three sections of the Hatch Act is that it is the experiment station whose "duty" it is, under the direction of the college, and in cooperation with this Department, to determine what researches, bearing directly on the agricultural industry of the United States, are "advisable". This is the declaration of the Congress which provides the funds for the researches thus authorized and, inasmuch as the State of West Virginia has given its assent to the "purpose" of the grant made by the Hatch Act, and has similarly assented to the purposes of the Adams and Purnell Acts, it has thereby agreed, on its part, for a valuable consideration, that the experiment stations are the proper bodies to determine, under the direction of their respective agricultural colleges and in cooperation with this Department, what agricultural researches are "advisable." Having given this assent, the State of West Virginia may not, without a virtual repudiation of the assent already given to the purpose of the experiment station acts, assume the right, by legislation or otherwise, to appoint some other body and give to it the authority to make such a determination. Much less, of course, would there be any warrant for your Board or any other State body to assume the authority to take such action, without the sanction of legislation.

As a matter of fact, an examination of the pertinent sections of the West Virginia Code shows that your Board, as your letter itself indicates, is given control only over the expenditure of State monies. Inasmuch as the Experiment Station funds allotted to the State out of the Federal appropriations are Federal monies and continue to be such until they are disbursed pursuant to the purposes specified by Congress, I fail to see how the section of the West Virginia Code which you have cited gives your Board any authority to scrutinize and disapprove expenditures proposed to be made for those purposes by the agency authorized thereto. Surely it will not be contended that, by the legislation which put the finances and business affairs of the University (including, of course, the Experiment Station, which is a part of it) under your "charge and control," the State thereby undertook to give to your Board any authority to make decisions and pass judgment as to the advisability of the expenditure of funds belonging to the Federal Government, a matter which is specifically conferred by Congress on an entirely different body.

These Federal funds, by the terms of the Acts referred to, are payable to the officer appointed by the governing boards of the colleges or experiment stations and the officer to whom the funds are paid thereby becomes their custodian. There is no requirement in the Act that he shall



deposit them in the State treasury, although it is entirely proper that he should do so, in view of the fact that the monies are to be used for the benefit of the respective States. But, if he does so deposit them, his custodianship is not thereby terminated; they continue to be Government funds, until they are expended for the purposes specified in the Acts of Congress; it was so held by the Comptroller General of the United States, in his decision of January 16, 1933.

In cases where the appointed custodian deposits the funds in the State treasury, and for reasons of uniformity and convenience of disbursement it should be deemed expedient that they should be disbursed through your Board, in the same manner as State monies, such manner of deposit and disbursement can not effect a setting aside of the requirement of the Federal Statute as to the proper body to make decisions as to the advisability of the expenditure of the funds so deposited. If your Board feels that this convenience of deposit and expenditure of the funds, without any voice by the Board in its wisdom or advisability or economy, is objectionable, as making the Board merely a "rubber stamp," as you express it, the remedy would be for the officer of the Experiment Station, who is the custodian of the funds, either to enter into some arrangement with the State treasurer whereby the warrant of the treasurer of the Experiment Station, properly vouched for, will be honored and paid or that the deposited funds be withdrawn and placed in some other depository where they would similarly be honored upon his proper warrant. It would seem, however, that it might be possible for the Board to pass such requisitions, without any question and without any feeling of stultification thereby, when they are received through the proper channels and properly accredited, inasmuch as the Board can be assured that the approval of the Federal officers will not be given without as careful a scrutiny as could be desired as to the need and advisability of the proposed expenditure. It is false economy, however, in the Department's opinion, when a special line of investigation has been undertaken in one or more of the experiment stations, not to spend the money reasonably necessary to make the investigation yield adequate results and prevent the duplication in that particular research work at other stations, considerations on which your Board would hardly be in a position to pass any judgment comparable with the judgment of the bodies actively participating in the research work involved.

In writing the paragraph immediately above, there were particularly in mind three instances occurring in August, October, and November, 1933, respectively, in which your Board disapproved expenditures of Experiment Station funds which had been recommended by the Director of the Experiment Station with the approval of the President of the University and of this Department.

The first of these involved the expense of a trip from Ronceverte, West Virginia, to Beltsville, Maryland, by Mr. Wilson, the leader in the cooperative investigation being conducted by the Bureau of Animal Industry of this Department and the State's experiment station, involving, in this particular case, the slaughter of certain experimental lambs at Beltsville. It was absolutely necessary that Mr. Wilson should be present in person when these animals were weighed, graded, and killed in order that he might use the technical information so obtained in further prosecution of this project, which was but one phase of an investigation in which some seventeen Experiment Stations in different States were actively cooperating with this Department. Sufficient facts were set out in the requisition sent to your Board to show that this trip was regarded as an essential part of this investigation, but it was "disapproved" without the giving of any reason whatever.

The second instance involved subscriptions to scientific periodicals, mainly in foreign languages, dealing with the physiology and biology of plants and animals and with experiments and researches with reference thereto and similar matters affecting the agricultural industry. The purpose of this proposed expenditure was to provide reliable, up-to-date information as to current research in these matters. The availability of such information is most important for the co-ordination of research work and the avoidance of duplication therein. This requisition was "disapproved" without explanation or comment.

The third instance involved the expense of a trip to Chicago by the Director of the West Virginia Experiment Station in order that he might participate in the official conference of directors of State Experiment Stations with officials of the United States Department of Agriculture on the subject of Federal-State relationship in research work. The Acts making appropriations for the Department of Agriculture for a number of years have provided specifically that the Secretary of Agriculture shall "coordinate the work of the Department of Agriculture with that of the State agricultural colleges and experiment stations in the lines authorized in" the Hatch, Adams, and Purnell Acts. The purpose of the conference was to develop a workable understanding in matters of research in which the different stations and this Department were

cooperating and in which there were differences of opinion. Such a conference was believed to be necessary to the attainment of that objective, which could not possibly be reached by the ordinary methods of correspondence. The purpose of this conference and the approval of the attendance of Experiment Station directors thereat was set out in the requisition statement, but it was disapproved by your Board, without comment.

To the officials of this Department, through whom this experiment station work is carried on in cooperation with the States and who know what must be done to make this work fruitful, these expenditures did not, and do not, represent "extravagance"; nor is it felt that they call for "traveling all over the country" to make surveys or study conditions or attend conferences; on the contrary, it was, and is, believed that the proposed expenditures were in every sense of the word "advisable," in order to carry out effectively and economically the research work for which the funds were appropriated by Congress.

For the reasons given above, the Department is of the opinion that the exercise of scrutiny of your Board over the expenditure of the experiment station funds allotted to the States in accordance with the Hatch, Adams, and Furnell Acts to the extent of the disapproval and suspension thereof is unauthorized and you are respectfully advised that its continuance is not desirable. As has already been suggested, if the Board feels that, largely as a matter of convenience, while the funds are on deposit in the State Treasury, it can pass requisitions by the Director of the West Virginia Experiment Station when properly vouched for, as a matter of course and without feeling any embarrassment in so doing, the Department sees no objection in having that much of the present practice continued. If, however, the Board feels that it can not do this and you will so advise this Department, arrangements will have to be made whereby Experiment Station funds, now on deposit in the State treasury, will either have to be subject to direct disbursement on properly approved warrants of the Director of the Experiment Station or such funds will have to be withdrawn from the State treasury and placed in some other depository, from which such disbursements can be freely made.

Respectfully,

(Signed) H. A. Wallace,  
Secretary

21. Guaranty of Deposits - First National Bank of Princeton, Kentucky.

The following communication was received from the First National Bank of Princeton, Kentucky:

February 13, 1934

Mr. D. H. Peak, Business Agent,  
University of Kentucky,  
Lexington, Ky.

Dear Sir:

Since the federal government is now guaranteeing deposits in banks to the extent of \$2,500.00, we are wondering if you still require that the bank place bonds in escrow as a further guarantee.

If this is not required we would be glad if you would release the bonds that are now held in escrow guaranteeing your deposit here and have them returned to us.

Yours very truly,

L. G. Cox  
Cashier.

Statement by Dean Cooper:

February 17, 1934

Memo. for Mr. Peak

I see no reason why we should require bond from the First National Bank at Princeton, with the exception of such amount as is required to cover deposits over \$2500.

Thomas Cooper  
Dean and Director.

Acting on the communications recorded above, it appearing that the total amount on deposit is never greatly in excess of \$2500.00, the Committee, on motion duly carried, authorized release of the securities, namely:

No. F02786726	- Fourth Liberty Bond	--	\$1,000.00
No. G01266217	- Fourth Liberty Bond	---	500.00
No. G00069807	- Fourth Liberty Bond	---	500.00
No. D00426574	- Fourth Liberty Bond	---	500.00
No. F02975766	- Fourth Liberty Bond	--	100.00
No. D02975594	- Fourth Liberty Bond	--	100.00
No. E02764055	- Fourth Liberty Bond	--	50.00
No. D 3875	- State Warrant, dated Jany 7th, 1931, no interest paid	--	257.88

held in escrow by the First National Bank and Trust Company of Lexington, Kentucky, to secure the deposit in the First National Bank of Princeton, Kentucky, of funds belonging to the Western Kentucky Experiment Substation, and the Business Agent was authorized to take the necessary steps to release the securities."

#### 22. Purchase of Truck for Robinson Experiment Substation.

On motion and second the request of Dean Cooper to purchase a truck for Robinson Experiment Substation was approved. The selection of truck was left to the usual procedure of purchasing.

#### 23. Appointments and Other Staff Changes.

##### Appointments:

Porter Grant was recommended by the Athletic Council for the position of assistant football coach for the period of one year beginning January 1, 1934, at a salary of \$1800.00 for the period, fixed by the Council, to be paid by the Treasurer of the Council. Mr. Grant is to assist the Varsity football and to remain at the University throughout the college year. He is a graduate of the Alabama Polytechnic Institute and has been on the coaching staff there. The recommendation was approved with the understanding that Mr. Grant is to carry teaching work in the Department of Physical Education during the period of his employment.

T. A. Twomey was recommended by the Athletic Council for the position of assistant football coach at the University of Kentucky for a period of one year, beginning January 1, 1934, at a salary of \$3,500.00 for the period fixed by the Council to be paid by the Treasurer of the Council. Mr. Twomey is to remain at the University during the regular football season and at spring practice and for any other time that his duties may require.

Mr. Twomey is a graduate of Notre Dame University with the B.C.S. degree. He graduated in 1930 and has been an assistant football coach at the University of Georgia for the past three years.

Dr. O. T. Koppius was appointed Acting Head of the Department of Physics for the period of the leave of absence granted Professor W. S. Webb, recorded in the Minutes of the Executive Committee of January 17, 1934. (Approval was made at that meeting and confirmed at this meeting).

E. L. Kirk, graduate assistant in the Department of Physics, in place of H. M. Sullivan, resigned; salary \$50.00 per month.

#### Changes, etc.

On account of the illness of Miss Josephine Parker, the following recommendations made by the Head of the Department of Music, Dr. Carl Lampert, approved by Dean P. P. Boyd, were approved:

Josephine Parker, \$75.00 per month for the remainder of the year beginning March 1, 1934.

Louis Friedman, appointed to assist in her work, salary \$15.00 for February and \$75.00 for each of the following months, March, April and May, 1934.

Mrs. D. E. South is authorized to continue Miss Parker's work in applied music, the payment for such work to be paid from student music fees.

On account of the death of Professor Reid Meacham, department of Geology, the head of that department, Dr. A. C. McFarlan, approved by Dean P. P. Boyd, recommended the following to carry on the work until the end of this college year.

William Haag, H. Parker, George Wesley and David Young, each to be paid at the rate of \$30.00 per month, beginning February 15, 1934. The recommendation was approved.

Later William Haag resigned as of February 28 and the employment of Herbert Parker was changed so as to carry the lecture work of Haag at a salary of \$18 a month in addition to above allowance, total \$48 a month for March, April and May; and Jack Hirsch was employed to carry the laboratory work of Haag at a salary of \$12 a month for March, April and May.

The salary of Bess Parry, secretary to the Dean of Men, was made \$100.00 per month.

The following recommendation by Dean Thomas Cooper was approved:

The technical advisory responsibility for the agricultural adjustment programs in this state has become so heavy and the amount of work connected with it so extensive that it is very desirable to centralize it in an individual. Accordingly, I am designating Mr. O. M. Farrington as the person to assume this responsibility and to have charge of the technical aspects of the various adjustment programs. In this we are cooperating with the Agricultural Adjustment Administration.

#### Resignations.

H. M. Sullivan, graduate assistant in Department of Physics, effective January 31, 1934.

Mrs. Flossie S. McClure, pianist, Women's Physical Education Department, effective January 23, 1934.

#### County and Home Demonstration Agents.

The following recommendations of Dean Thomas Cooper were approved:

#### Appointments, Substitute Appointments and Continuation of Appointments.

Stuart Brabant, County Agent, Todd County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$216-2/3 a month. (U.S.D.A. funds \$150, County \$66-2/3 a month). Increase in salary \$200 a year.

B. W. Fortenberry, County Agent, Garrard County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$150 a month. (U.S.D.A. funds \$25, Federal Supplementary funds \$91-2/3, County \$33-1/3). Decrease in salary of \$100 a year because of reduced county appropriation.

C. E. Gabbard, County Agent, Menifee County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$133-1/3 a month. (U.S.D.A. funds \$133-1/3 a month). Decrease of \$135 a year in salary, account reduced county appropriation.

C. L. Goff, County Agent, Rowan County, January 1-February 28, 1934, \$183-1/3 a month. (U.S.D.A. \$25 a month, Federal Supplementary funds \$158-1/3 a month). Decrease in salary of \$100 a year account of reduced county appropriation.

M. F. Goff, County Agent, Pulaski County, January 1-February 28, 1934, \$116-2/3 a month. (U.S.D.A. funds \$116-2/3 a month). Decrease of \$220 a year in salary account of no appropriation from county.

Mrs. Pearl Haak, Home Demonstration Agent, Henderson County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$183-1/3 a month. (Federal Capper-Ketcham funds \$150 a month. County \$33-1/3 a month). Increase in salary of \$600 a year.

Miss Lois Hisebo, Home Demonstration Agent, Boyd County, January 1 - December 31, 1934, \$170.83-1/3 a month. (Federal Capper-Ketcham \$158-1/3 a month. County \$12.50 a month). \$250 decrease in salary account of reduced county appropriation.

R. T. Harrison, County Agent, Hart County, January 1-June 30, 1934, \$191-2/3 a month. (U.S.D.A. funds \$25 a month; Federal Capper-Ketcham \$116-2/3, County \$50). Decrease in salary \$300 a year, account transfer to another county because of failure of Harlan County to continue appropriation for his employment.

Ray C. Hopper, County Agent, Meade County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$166-2/3 a month. (U.S.D.A. \$25 a month, Federal Supplementary funds, \$141-2/3 a month). \$300 decrease in salary yearly, because of reduced county appropriation.

S. L. Isbell, County Agent, Floyd County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$125 a month. (U.S.D.A. \$25 a month, Federal Supplementary \$75, County \$25). Decrease in salary of \$300 a year account of reduced county appropriation.

Earl Mayhew, County Agent, Knox County, January 1-June 30, 1934, \$200 a month. (U.S.D.A. \$25, Federal Supplementary \$175 a month). Increase in salary of \$150 a year.

J. W. Michael, County Agent, Knott County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$112.50 a month. (U.S.D.A. \$25, Federal Supplementary \$75, County \$12.50 - a month). Decrease in salary of \$250 a year account of reduced county appropriation.

M. P. Nichols, County Agent, Muhlenberg County, January 15-December 31, 1934, \$208-1/3 a month. (U.S.D.A. \$25, Federal Supplementary \$133-1/3, County \$50 - a month). Decrease in salary of \$300 a year account reduced county appropriation.

C. C. Shade, County Agent, Jessamine County, January 1 - December 31, 1934, \$210 a month. (Federal Supplementary \$150, County \$10, local organization, \$50 - a month). Increase in salary of \$210 a year, account increased county appropriation.



Miss Ritchie Stevenson, Home Demonstration Agent, Franklin County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$150 a month. (Federal Capper-Ketcham, \$133-1/3, local organizations, \$16-2/3 -- a month.) Increase in salary of \$200 a year, account increased county appropriation.

O. R. Wheeler, County Agent, Fulton County, January 1 - December 31, 1934, \$175 a month. (U.S.D.A. \$25, Capper-Ketcham, \$133-1/3, County \$16-2/3 - a month). Increase in salary of \$200 a year account increased county appropriation.

H. W. Whittenburg, County Agent, Simpson County, January 1-December 31, 1934, \$208-1/3. (U.S.D.A. \$25, Capper-Ketcham, \$133-1/3 County, \$50 - a month). Decrease of \$150 a year in salary account reduced county appropriation.

Gray H. Williams, County Agent, Harlan County, January 5-December 31, 1934, \$133-1/3 month. (U.S.D.A. \$25, Capper-Ketcham, \$75, County \$33-1/3 a month). Increase in salary \$50 a year, transfer from Letcher to Hardin County.

W. B. Ray, County Agent, Breckenridge County, January 17-June 30, 1934, \$16-2/3 a month from county; other payments from AAA funds.

Robert B. Rankin, County Agent, Metcalfe County, January 6-31, 1934, Federal Supplementary funds, \$125 a month; other payments from AAA funds.

R. D. Ridley, County Agent, Webster County, January 13-31, 1934, Federal Supplementary funds, \$100; county \$16-2/3 a month; other payments from AAA funds.

A. J. Thaxton, assistant County Agent, Fayette County, January 4-31, 1934, Federal Supplementary funds, \$133-1/3 a month; other payments from AAA funds. Effective February 1-June 30, 1934, transferred to Hardin County as county agent, \$33-1/3 a month from county, plus AAA.

Robert Wigginton, County Agent, Greenup County, January 10-June 30, 1934, County funds \$25 a month, plus AAA.

R. H. Gilbert, County Agent, Ohio County, January 16-June 30, 1934, County funds \$50 a month plus AAA.

Miss Doris Lynn Kirkman, assistant Home Demonstration Agent in Pike County, February 1 to 28, 1934, \$100 a month, Federal Capper-Ketcham funds, March 1 to June 30, 1934, at \$150 a month. (Federal Capper-Ketcham \$102.08-1/3, County \$6.25, School Board \$41.66-2/3). Beginning March 1, Miss Kirkman will become the regular agent in that County.

John William Blue, III, assistant County Agent, Allen County, January 15 to February 14, 1934, at \$125 a month. After that date Mr. Blue will be transferred to AAA funds.

M. F. Goff, County Agent, Pulaski County, January 1, 1934, to June 30, 1934, at \$135 a month. (U.S.D.A. \$25, Additional Cooperative \$91.66-2/3, County \$18.33-2/3). This is an increase of \$220 a year due to county cooperation in salary.

Marshall E. Vaughn, County Agent, Morgan County, January 16 to June 30, 1934, \$175 a month. (AAA \$150, State Appropriation \$25).

C. E. Miller, County Agent, Henderson County, January 15 to December 31, 1934, \$216.66-2/3. (College \$166.66-2/3, County \$50.) Mr. Miller has been transferred from AAA funds to regular county agent on Extension funds.

James Moore, assistant County Agent, Owsley County, with headquarters at Booneville, Kentucky, \$100 a month, Federal Capper-Ketcham funds, February 1 to 14, 1934, Original appointment.

John C. Acree, assistant County Agent, Harrison County, \$125. (Federal Capper-Ketcham funds), January 5 to January 11. Beginning January 12 he is to be transferred to McLean County and the appointment on this basis expires February 28. Original and continuation of appointment.

Frank Melton, County Agent, Larue County, \$125 a month, (\$100 from Federal Capper-Ketcham and \$25 a month from County), January 22 to February 14, 1934. Original appointment.

Ernest L. Janes, assistant County Agent, Shelby County, February 1 to February 14, \$125 a month, Federal Capper-Ketcham Funds. Original appointment.

C. C. Shade, County Agent, Jessamine County, January 1-June 30, 1934, \$150 a month on Federal Capper-Ketcham and \$50 a month on County. The total salary of \$200 a month represents a reduction of \$10. a month on County Funds. Substitute appointment.

Samuel B. Kent, County Agent, Butler County, effective February 15, 1934, to March 1, 1934, to be paid from Federal Capper-Ketcham funds at the rate of \$125 a month.

On motion, the Committee adjourned.

D. H. Peak  
Secretary, Board of Trustees