

A & M. College of Kentucky May 26-1905.
 The faculty met at 4 P.M. in the president's room those present being Pres. Patterson, Messrs. White, Neville, W. K. Patterson, J. H. Kastle, R. N. Roark, Pryor, Anderson, Mathews, Pence, Merrinck, Brooks, Mackenzie, Norwood, Haig, and Lieut. Burt.

Case of
Karl L. Dietrich Lieut. Burt, Chairman of the Board of Discipline reported that his board had had Mr. Karl L. Dietrich before it on account of the charge of "preparing with the purpose of delivering on class day a paper containing disrespectful, scurrilous, and defamatory statements, allusions and references to members of the faculty with the intention of holding them up before the public to ridicule, opprobrium and scorn; and this, contrary to regulation 76," that Mr. Dietrich acknowledged the preparation of such a paper, and that the Board of Discipline could find no extenuating circumstances. ~~It was~~ The case was therefore referred to the faculty.

The paper prepared by Mr. Dietrich was read to the faculty by Prof. Mackenzie, and Mr. Dietrich was called in to hear the charge, and to make any statement that he might choose regarding it.

He acknowledged that he wrote the paper under discussion and believed that he should not be subject to discipline, because he had submitted the paper to the professor of English for revision or criticism, as required by the regulations. He stated that he had written the paper with its various references to members of the faculty, in seriousness, and refused to admit that it was written in a spirit of fun or in jocular vein. He believed that the statements made were true, and that they could be substantiated.

905.
 into
 the
 c,
 plin
 Dietrich
 ing
 a
 and
 res
 s
 icals,
 to
 and
 the
 ting
 a
 s
)
 ngs,
 it
 pu
 and
 d
 ch
 w
 bers
 ed
 hu
 ed

Case of K.H. Dietrich. After considerable discussion, Prof. White, seconded by Prof. Wernicke moved that Mr. Dietrich be adjudged guilty of the charge laid against him. Carried unanimously.

At meeting on May 29, it was ordered that the following minutes be added. After further prolonged discussion in which most or all of those present expressed their opinions of the case it was moved by Prof. Anderson seconded by Prof. Anderson that Mr. Dietrich be reprimanded in the presence of the Senior class.

Mr. Dietrich had shown the paper to two persons before submitting it to censor and that portions of it were known to several others. Prof. Wernicke seconded by Prof. Faig moved as an amendment, that in addition, Mr. Dietrich should not be allowed to take any part in the Commencement program.

Prof. White seconded by Lieut. Burt moved as a substitute that Mr. Dietrich be dismissed from the institution. After continued discussion Prof. White withdrew his ^{substitute} motion, and the following substitute motion was offered by Prof. Anderson seconded by Prof. Wernicke.

"That Mr. Dietrich be suspended from all college privileges and duties for a period of at least one year, at the expiration of which period the consideration of the question of granting him a degree and diploma will be considered on his application"

NAME	AYE	NO.	REMARKS
Pres. PATTERSON	✓		
Prof. J. G. WHITE	✓		
J. H. NEVILLE	✓		
W. K. PATTERSON	✓		declined to vote
J. H. KASTLE	✓		
R. N. ROARK	✓		
J. W. PRYOR	✓		
F. P. ANDERSON	✓		
C. W. MATHIEWS	✓		absent
A. M. MILLER	✓		
M. L. PENCE	✓		
P. WERNICKE	✓		
J. P. BROOKS	✓		
A. S. MACKENZIE	✓		
C. J. NORWOOD	✓		
J. T. FAIG	✓		
Lieut. BURTT	✓		
Total	10	4	

By consent of faculty Prof. W. K. Patterson asked to have his vote recorded aye as above on May 29

Upon vote as above the motion was declared carried. Upon motion it was ordered that the faculty adjourn until 10 o'clock on Monday, May 29th, 1905.

Min. approv. May 29-1905
 James R. Patterson
 C. W. Mathews, Secretary.