

A.

Court House San Francisco  
District of San Francisco and  
Northern District of California,  
Nov 19<sup>th</sup> 1846.

To J. A. Lutter Esq.

Dear Sir

Your letter of the 10 inst con-  
taining the result of your reflections upon the attachment  
laid upon your property on the process issued by this  
Court, is before me for reply. Without further assu-  
rants I could refer you to my letter of yesterday to Mr  
Justice Sinclair and the documents therewith transmitted.  
But I can add that I laid your letter with all the papers or  
proceedings before Capt J. B. Montgomery, U. S. A.,  
Commanding Northern District, and the Executive authority  
thereof, who not only has approved the whole proceedings  
as duly issued but has not hesitated to say that the advice  
tenued by Col Russell, to Mr Justice Sinclair was entirely  
unwarrantable and wrong, as the Plaintiff must have been cited  
to answer the notice for the dissolution even if the attachment  
was improperly laid, before any argument could have been  
had but that the advice was wrong in all points and that  
Justice Sinclair did well and rightly by refusing to dissolve  
the attachment. As to my authority in the premises I  
refer you to my letter to Justice Sinclair, with the simple  
remark that it is surprising that you should not know  
that the Judge of San Francisco the "Jury de primera  
Instancia" del 2<sup>a</sup> distrito "o del Norte de California" is  
the acting Supreme Judge there being no higher Court or  
Supreme Court of the whole Territory to whom said Judge  
can remit his docket and that said Judge is the head  
of the Judicial District. But it seems clear to me  
that you know all this from your long residence in the  
Country when it is known beyond dispute by all the late  
officers of the Country of this I had no doubt when the  
process was sent to Justice Sinclair and that he knew  
his duty in the premises which has been proved by his action there