THE JUDICIARY BUDGET AND THE SEPARATION OF POWERS

The Lawyers Club April 1, 1998

I talk to you today about the unwritten dynamics of our Constitution. Our founding fathers theorized that the three branches of our government should be separate. They understood, however, that in practice each must depend upon and must work with the others. In the Federalist 78, writing in defense of the Constitution, Alexander Hamilton showed remarkable foresight about the potential deficiencies of their plan:

The judiciary is the weakest of the three departments of power ...

All possible care is requisite to enable it to defend itself against their attacks ...

It is in continual jeopardy of being overpowered, awed, or influenced by its coordinate branches ...

The complete independence of the courts of justice is particulary essential in a limited constitution ...

You will not find the words "separation of powers" in the United States Constitution, but the idea, which Alexander Hamilton expressed so well, operates powerfully every day in Washington as the Federal Judiciary seeks appropriated funds.

The Appropriations Clause of the Constitution states: "No money shall be drawn from the treasury, but in consequence of appropriations made by law." What is the consequence if Congress, strapped for funds or unhappy with the judiciary, threatened to limit judicial funding? How can the judiciary defend itself? Hamilton said that the judiciary, as the weakest branch, had neither force nor will, but merely judgment. To survive, must the judiciary ally itself with either