

## THC Related to Memory Lapse

# UK Doctors Research Effects of Marijuana

By JIM WIGHT  
Kemel Staff Writer

"Hey, smoked any good dope lately? . . . You say you had some really dynamite Mexican stuff last night . . . really got ripped out of your gourd, huh! . . . so bad that you couldn't even carry on a conversation . . . far out!"

If you're one of the estimated 25 percent of all students who have taken drugs, then possibly you have heard of the experience of the person referred to above, or one similar to it—that of forgetting in the middle of a conversation what you are talking about or driving down a street and forgetting where you are going. For you non-drug users, this is roughly the same as waking up after a night of heavy drinking and not being able to remember many things about where you went or what you did.

A man very interested in this phenomenon is Dr. W. C. Drew, a neurophysiologist, who, along with Drs. Abraham Wikler and Larry Miller, is doing research at UK to determine the effects of marijuana on the memory mechanisms of the brain. A native of Greensboro, N.C., Dr. Drew graduated from the University of Tennessee Medical Units in Memphis in 1969 and has been working in drug research areas approximately four years. His current study, financed by a \$150,000 grant from the National Institute of Mental Health, is scheduled to run three years.

### THC May Disrupt Memory

The theory involved in Drew's research is basically this: on every particle of hemp, marijuana, pot, weed, grass or whatever you choose to call it, there are nine globules of tetrahydrocannabinol, commonly known as THC.

THC is to hemp what alcohol is to whiskey—so that when you smoke marijuana it is the THC which produces the effect which you feel. (The higher the THC content the better the quality of marijuana.) When inhaled, THC is moved to the brain where it begins to act on its different parts, including the hippocampus, the presumptive recent memory storage area.

Although the physiological process by which this occurs is not completely understood, the THC is believed by Dr. Drew to disrupt the short-term memory function of the hippocampus in such a way that persons who are "stoned" will be unable to remember what has happened three minutes or even three seconds ago—like the person who stops in mid-sentence because he cannot remember what he was talking about.

Continued on Page 8, Col. 1



Kemel Photo By Bob Brewer

Warren Wright, chairman of the Council of Southern Mountains, spoke to the Environmental Awareness Society last night, relating his experiences and research in the problems of strip mining. He predicted an outlaw to the practice in Kentucky.

# THE KENTUCKY KERNEL

Wednesday, Sept. 23, 1970 University of Kentucky, Lexington

Vol. LXII, No. 14

## Wright Predicts Outlaw Of Kentucky Strip Mining

By DAN MYSOCK  
Kemel Staff Writer

Predicting an outlaw to strip mining in Kentucky, Warren Wright, chairman of the Council of Southern Mountains, related his experiences and research with the problem during the Environmental Awareness Society's in-

formal "question and answer" session Tuesday night.

The Bureau of Mines, Wright related, through judicial action purchased a portion of his farm and auger mined it. Wastes from an adjacent mine were later dumped on his property.

Wright told the students, "A new rash of strip mining in the Eastern Kentucky mountains is upon us, and this sudden boom in coal has brought with it tremendous profits. These profits, however, are being taken from Kentucky and used in various Eastern states."

"In the early 60's collegiates were not aware of the people and problems concerning strip mining. Yet, with present-day interest and large amounts of research, which will continue, the south-eastern Kentucky strip mines are on their way out," Wright said.

"I predict that within the next legislature or two Kentucky will outlaw strip mining completely.

I base these opinions on the fact that the attitude of the people is changing greatly. Mountain people no longer accept strip mining to be a fulfillment of the Great American Dream, a development rather than an exploitation.

"But as their land is stolen from them by messy judicial action, the belief that strip mining must go is now a common fact. This movement towards awareness is reflected in the GOP candidates who are expressing great concern over Kentucky's strip mining problems," Wright added.

"The Bureau of Mines must be made to change its philosophy, not merely to minimize the effect of strip mining, but to stop it. The land must be given back to Kentucky, and the money must be returned to Kentuckians. In short, strip mining affects the people and economy much more than the land," he said.

## President Would Use FBI

### Campus Violence Inquiry Sought

WASHINGTON, D. C. (AP) —President Nixon asked Congress Tuesday for authority for instant federal action in cases of bombings or burnings on college campuses.

House Republican Leader Gerald Ford of Michigan told reporters, after a conference with the President, Attorney General John N. Mitchell, and FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover, that the administration wants legislation that would allow it to move in instantly to investigate bombings or burnings on any campus receiving federal assistance. Virtually all institutions receive

some form of federal aid, Ford claimed.

Nixon also asked Congress for 1,000 more FBI agents to deal with the kind of violence Ford described as well as to help combat airplane hijackings.

Ford and Senate Republican Leader Hugh Scott of Pennsylvania said that under the terms of the legislation, federal agents would move onto college campuses even if asked not to by college administrators.

Both men predicted that Congress would give the President what he wants by attaching the necessary language to the anti-crime bill just passed by the Sen-

ate and awaiting action in the House.

"I think it will have a beneficial effect," Ford said. Scott said the new program would require about \$14 million for the remainder of this fiscal year.

Both men attributed the new move against campus violence to a growing concern in the Justice Department.

## Student Committee Plans Thorough Housing Survey

By DAVID BLANTON  
Kemel Staff Writer

A committee coordinated by a task force of Action Coalition announced plans Tuesday night for a complete and comprehensive off-campus housing survey.

The group is composed of representatives from the Graduate and Professional Student Association GPSA, various student government committees, and other interested students. It is being headed by Bill Rauch, a junior sociology major.

Rauch said this kind of survey had been attempted before by the Office of Student Affairs, but that previous lists had never been very thorough or complete. He estimated that 11,000 students currently live off campus. This number includes students who live at home and are from Lexington.

In formulating the survey, the group listed three steps which it thinks will make the survey as complete and comprehensive as possible.

First, a "foot" (door to door) survey of apartments and older homes which immediately surround the campus will be made.

Secondly, the Lexington Chamber of Commerce is preparing a survey of all apartments in the Lexington area. A telephone survey is expected to gather more specific information concerning these apartments.

Survey questions will include: Can these residences be rented by students? Are they furnished or unfurnished? What are the utility rates, number of rooms, parking facilities, and so on?

The committee also hopes to consult with all students cur-

Continued on Page 8, Col. 1



### That Good 'Ole Summer Time

The warm weather may not be with us for very long, but UK students are determined to get the most out of it as is evidenced by the barefoot,

mini-skirted coed. Daydreaming on the campus green is Kathy Tucker, freshman.

Kemel Photo By Keith Mosier

# Agnew Campaigns in Louisville for Cowger

LOUISVILLE (AP) — Vice President Spiro T. Agnew declared yesterday that the political pendulum is swinging away from the "political Hamlets" whom he said dominate the Senate.

Agnew launched the second swing of his campaign to aid Republican congressional candidates with a speech in behalf of Rep. William O. Cowger of Louisville.

## Attacks FCC Member

He leveled a sharp attack on Federal Communications Commissioner Nicholas Johnson, who had criticized an Agnew speech saying the drug culture is infiltrating popular music.

Johnson's contention that increasing drug use is related to unjust forces in American society, Agnew charged, "is the sort of fatuous nonsense being perpetrated on the American public

by the superpermissive officials that have been allowed to take so much control of our government."

On the other hand, Johnson, in a statement issued in Washington, implied that Agnew should be critical of cigarette advertising on television but said it is obvious that he would not do that while raising funds in tobacco country. And he accused the vice president of preaching hypocrisy and repression.

At no point in his text did the vice president name the FCC official, an appointee of former President Lyndon B. Johnson.

## Supporters Outnumber

### Demonstrators

About 150 peace demonstrators marched into downtown Louisville to show their displeasure at Agnew's visit.

There was no disturbance as

the demonstrators marched two-by-two on the sidewalk in sunny, warm weather, accompanied by police. Their march ended at a spot diagonally across from the hotel where Agnew spoke.

The marchers shouted anti-war slogans during their 20-minute parade. Crowds in the downtown area were sparse at the time.

Supporters outnumbered protesters when Vice President Spiro T. Agnew stepped out of his motorcade.

Flag-waving "Agnewites" filled three corners of an intersection and the sidewalk across the street from the hotel where the vice president spoke. Many of the flags were provided by the nearby campaign headquarters of Third District Rep. William O. Cowger, on behalf of whose re-

election effort Agnew was speaking.

The crowds started forming two hours ahead of Agnew's arrival. The protesters were the first on the scene.

Barricades were set up to keep the crowds on the sidewalks. At one point, as the vice president's car drew up in front of the hotel, the protesters pushed through a barricade and started to spill into the street. Protest marshals quickly moved to the front of the contingent and forced the members back onto the sidewalk.

## No Disorderly Incidents

At no time was the day marred by any disorderly incidents. A large number of police were present but were needed for little more than to keep the crowds behind the barricades.

At one time, the protesters interspersed their anti-war chants

with a cry of "more pay for police."

The marching band from Louisville's Dupont Manual High School offered the demonstrators their greatest competition. The musicians, who had been invited by Cowger to help greet Agnew, were stationed on a corner across from the protesters and several times drowned out the anti-war cries with the school's fight song and other selections.

The vice president, poised and smiling always, spent less than two hours in Louisville from the time his 727 chartered plane touched down at Standiford Field until it headed for Memphis, Tenn.

A half-hour was consumed during the speedy ride to and from downtown and the rest of the time at a reception and luncheon in a large hotel.

That did not leave much time for leisurely politicking, but Agnew got in many words of gratitude and praise for Cowger — gratitude for Cowger's help eight years ago during a Maryland race and praise for Cowger's congressional record.

He recalled that when Cowger, as a successful GOP mayor of Louisville, went to Maryland on his behalf, "I wasn't a household word."

"I believe the people will return him (Cowger) to Congress with the resounding margin he merits," the vice president told about 500 persons gathered at a \$100-a-plate luncheon.

## Surprising Supporters

A spot check of Republicans who appeared at the reception yielded a couple of mild surprises to political observers.

There was Sam Ezelle, head of the state AFL-CIO, who ordinarily would be found in the Democratic fold. But Cowger said he expected Ezelle, who reportedly likes the congressman's labor record and is displeased with what he regards as Mazzoli's equivocal stand.

There was Tom Emberton, a former top aide to Gov. Louie B. Nunn, who is regarded as the governor's favorite contender for the Republican gubernatorial nomination in 1971.

Nunn and Cowger are on the political outs, with Cowger blaming the governor for previous GOP statewide defeats and Nunn suggesting that the congressman needs psychiatric treatment.

There was no indication whether Emberton was representing Nunn in any capacity.

The governor, by coincidence, was attending a Southern Governors conference at Biloxi, Miss., and hence did not face the problem of whether to show up as a courtesy to Agnew despite his political hostility to Cowger.

Former Parks Commissioner Robert Gable was on hand and so was U.S. Sen. Marlow Cook, a longtime Cowger ally, who flew back immediately to Washington for a vote on a pollution bill later in the day. Fried chicken king Harland Sanders also attended the luncheon.

The security was tighter and the crowds fewer for Agnew than when President Nixon visited Louisville in July.

However, Cowger's staff pronounced itself pleased with his visit.

## Former UK Student Aboard Lost Balloon

Pamela Brown Anderson, a television actress and former UK student, and two other balloonists were reported lost last night while attempting to be the first people to cross the At-

lantic in a helium filled balloon.

There was no trace of the balloon yesterday as seven planes and three Coast Guard cutters searched the area about 500 miles south of St. John's, Newfound-

land, where the balloon was last reported.

In addition to Mrs. Brown crew members included: her husband Rod Anderson, a New York commodities broker and 32-year-old Malcolm Brighton, a British aeronautical engineer. The voyage across the Atlantic started Sunday afternoon from a Long Island pasture.

Last radio contact made with the trio was on Monday night, when the three said they were "at 600 feet (2400 feet below the minimum peak on Monday) and descending."

The craft may have run into a severe cold front and rainstorm that combined to cause it to lose altitude.

Mark Semich, the balloon builder, speculated that if the balloon crashed "It should take the waves pretty good. If it was extra windy, they would have to get rid of the envelope immediately after landing. It's not likely that it could pull the gondola over—not unless they were in a regular hurricane."

## Crossen's Case Continued For Further Investigations

The case against UK Medical Center staff member and Lexington gynecologist Dr. Phillip Crossen has been continued until 10 a.m. Monday in Fayette Quarterly Court.

Dr. Crossen is charged with failure to obtain entertainment and alcoholic beverage licenses for the "Rock Bottom Music Fair" held at his Armstrong Mill Road farm last weekend.

Quarterly Court Judge Cecil Dunn continued the case "so that the Fayette County attorney (E.

Lawson King) and police can continue their investigation and place any new charges necessary."

UK law professor Robert Sedler is Dr. Crossen's attorney.

Dr. Crossen was to appear in the adult division of Juvenile Court today to answer charges of contributing to the delinquency of minors. The latter charge also stems from the recent rock festival.

He has been released on \$4,500 bond following his Sunday morning arrest.

## Maria's Pizza

Newly Remodeled—Now Open

STORE HOURS:

Sunday—4 p.m.—11 p.m.

Monday-Thursday—11 a.m.—12 p.m.

Friday-Saturday—11 a.m.—2 a.m.

★ DELIVERY ★

347 So. Lime

Call 252-1722

## THE KENTUCKY KERNEL

The Kentucky Kernel, University Station, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506. Second class postage paid at Lexington, Kentucky. Mailed five times weekly during the school year except holidays and exam periods, and once during the summer session.

Published by the Board of Student Publications, UK Post Office Box 4986. Began as the Cadet in 1894 and published continuously as the Kernel since 1915.

Advertising published herein is intended to help the reader buy. Any false or misleading advertising should be reported to The Editors.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Yearly, by mail — \$9.45

Per copy, from files — \$10

KERNEL TELEPHONES

Editor, Managing Editor ... 275-1755

Editorial Page Editor,

Associate Editors, Sports ... 257-1740

Advertising, Business, Circulation ... 258-4646

## Fellowships For Graduate Study

A faculty committee wishes to contact students interested in applying for a Danforth Fellowship for graduate study.

The fellowships are open to seniors or recent graduates who plan to teach college and who plan to study for a Ph.D. in a field common to their undergraduate study.

The initial stipend is a minimum of \$1,800 for the academic year plus all tuition and fees and additional allowances for dependents, and certain expenses and travel money.

The fellowship is renewable and the Danforth Foundation will continue to assist the student throughout graduate school in most cases.

UK may nominate up to five candidates. Persons interested in applying should contact Professor William McCrary in Room 1121 Office Tower, Dallas High in Room 907 Office Tower, or Edward Ordman in Room 767 Office Tower by October 1.

## Announcing . . .

STUDENT RATES AT THE

## BARN DINNER THEATRE

Sunday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday

Now \$4.50

for students bearing U.K. ID CARDS

— FOR AN EVENING TO REMEMBER —

• Delicious Gourmet Food

• Delightful Broadway Plays

Call 255-8547 for reservations  
Located just off U.S. 60 East  
Between Winchester & Lexington



## ATTENTION ALL STUDENTS

DON'T MISS THIS!  
STUDENT GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE

AVAILABLE TO ALL FULL TIME STUDENTS AND DEPENDENTS

UNDERGRADUATE—GRADUATE—MARRIED—SINGLE

Enrollment Cards Available At

• STUDENT GOVERNMENT OFFICE—Student Center

• HEALTH SERVICE—Medical Center

• SUIER INSURANCE AGENCY—1713 Nicholasville Road

## Colleges Strive For Better Communication

Around the country colleges and universities are implementing programs designed to provide better communications.

Although administrations have sought to prepare to deal with violence and widespread campus disorders with firm policies and action plans, equal emphasis has been given to the development of means of averting wholesale campus disorders.

UK recently announced an Emergency Plan which is intended to minimize the possibility of outside forces entering the campus during a period of emergency.

Other universities are setting up information and rumor control centers. Ohio University also plans to use its center to disseminate all official information in a time of crisis. Other schools which have started such programs are the University of Kansas and University of California at Santa Barbara.

At UK, an off-campus student collective called the Zoo has begun its own rumor center to serve UK students.

UK also has an ombudsman whose duty it is to receive student grievances concerning academic affairs. The director of University relations, moreover,

works in the field of community communications. Working in the same area is the UK Human Relations Center.

Other programs initiated across the nation's campuses are: Parent's Seminar '70-71, at Kansas State. These are eight one-hour sessions in six Kansas cities through the use of "Tele-Lecture" phone equipment. This allows parents, students, faculty and staff to discuss university life and education.

A booklet has been prepared by the University of South Carolina to answer frequently asked questions concerning the University.

Meetings have been set up across the state by the University of Arkansas to familiarize people with the programs of the university. Students selected by the student body, and faculty selected by the faculty senate, appear before groups of Arkansas citizens in these meetings.

The chancellor of the University of Kansas traveled approximately 5,000 miles this summer meeting with prospective students, parents and alumni to allow individuals the opportunity to meet with a top administration official and discuss the university.

## Lottery May Not Exceed Number 170 in Kentucky

Kentucky Selective Service Director Col. Taylor Davidson spoke Monday at the University of Louisville Library Lecture Lounge and advised those with draft numbers over 170 to drop their deferments and go 1-A.

Col. Davidson explained that Kentucky will "probably not exceed" the 170 mark in the draft lottery for this year's call-up. Due to this, he said, those not exempt at the end of the year will be placed behind next year's lottery numbers in priority.

According to Davidson, if you lose your deferment or let it expire, your number remains the same and the order of call is not changed.

If, however, you are classified 1-A for this year and not drafted, then your number next year is the same, but you would be called behind all 365 new numbers and all the previous year's numbers up to your own.

Kentucky, it was pointed out, keeps a large 1-A pool and gives few deferments.

Davidson told the youths that establishment of an advisory board on conscientious objectors is being considered. He expressed willingness to take advice as well as give it in this regard.

The group would comprise religious and other moral leaders, but Davidson said that there is a difficulty in getting a balance of views and proper composition.

He indicated requests for conscientious objector deferments to get "more understanding... more consideration" than requests for other deferments.

Col. Davidson remarked that the local draft board is adversely affected by indications that a man's sentiments arise from arrogance because of his intellectual powers, and "I think a board is impressed by evidence of sincerity marked by humility."



See How They Run?

Senior Journalism major Rachael Kamuf seems to be enjoying the view as she watches the UK cross-country team sprint across campus. The squad opens their season against the University of Cincinnati on Oct. 3.

Kernel Photo By Keith Mosier

## MCHR Plans Health Care Study

By SUSAN COWDREY  
Kernel Staff Writer

The Medical Committee for Human Rights (MCHR) has been brought to the UK campus.

The program was begun in New York in 1964 at the request of civil rights workers. It has since aided such causes as the Selma March, the James Meredith march, and the Poor People's Campaign.

In the past, MCHR has picketed American Medical Association conventions, urging broader resolutions on health care for the poor.

Dr. Ollie Fein, New York movement director, organized the chapter here. The chapter was started in July to help in the "health rights struggle." It is one of few southern chapters, the largest being in cities such as Atlanta and New Orleans.

Dr. Fein said he feels that too many patients are treated on the basis of their ability to pay, not their need of medical attention. To combat this, his practice consists almost entirely of people unable to afford the regular price of an office visit, he claimed.

The Kentucky chapter of MCHR has made preliminary plans for working on a number of new programs, as well as with some already in existence. To make the organization a success, Fein said, these programs need the help of doctors, nurses and others in the health field, or anyone concerned with health problems.

The programs include street medicine classes, medical draft counseling, a drug education program, pre-school examination

clinics, Zero Population Growth information, some Student American Medical Association (SAMA) activities and a legislative committee for developing health bills to present to the state legislature.

This past summer 30 high school students were involved in SAMA.

Meetings for MCHR will be held in room 342 at the Medical Center. The first meeting for medical draft counseling will be Sept. 24. Street medicine classes will be held at 8 p.m. every Wednesday.

Meetings for the remaining programs are to be held at 7:30 p.m. every Wednesday. Anyone interested in MCHR should contact Chuck or Rene Stringham at 233-0964.

## Popularity Drops For President

NEW YORK (AP)—President Nixon's personal popularity rating dropped from 40 percent in July of this year to 36 percent in a poll taken between Aug. 25 and Sept. 1, the Harris Survey reports.

The seven-day poll was made of 1,437 households nationwide, the survey said.

In April 1969, three months after he took office, Nixon's "confidence level" was 61 percent, and it has declined since, the Survey said.

"Almost without exception President Nixon stands much stronger with the public on foreign than domestic policy," Harris said. "His two most positive ratings are on his efforts to 'achieve peace in the world and in handling relations with Russia.'"

PERSONAL MESSAGES IN THE KENTUCKY KERNEL

CLASSIFIED COLUMN BRING RESULTS.



## Play Golf Lakeside Golf Course

BILL CARR, pro.

2 miles from Beltline out  
Richmond Rd. U.S. 25 on left

To Avoid Waiting Please Phone For Starting Times—266-2514

- |                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| ● OPEN 7 DAYS A WEEK    | ● WEEK DAYS—OPEN 7 A.M.<br>18 holes—\$2.50<br>9 holes—\$1.50 |
| ● SNACK BAR             | ● WEEKENDS—OPEN 6 A.M.<br>18 holes—\$3.50<br>9 holes—\$2.00  |
| ● CLUBS FOR RENT        |  |
| ● ELECTRIC & PULL CARTS |  |

CITY OF LEXINGTON'S FIRST PUBLIC GOLF COURSE

Riding Apparel and Saddlery  
Clothing to Gentlemen and Ladies

the Bon warmer

a wardrobe must for all the new fall fashions. Tuck your hair in velvety chenille buns of brown, white, moss, purple or beige. Gives the smaller head look go great with the new lengths.

and only 3 dollars

Meyers accessories, second floor  
BANKAMERICARD OR MASTER CHARGE

So this is the year of activism on the UK campus. Liberal students are to point out what is wrong and what needs to be done about it while conservative students defend their principles and assume a cautious role. In the free exchange of ideas and the rational debate which follows, the academic community members will arrive at some reasonable positions and base their actions on their evaluations of the facts offered.

Such is far from the case this fall. The Student Code Forum pointed out the unreality of such an assertion. Those students present offered only one viewpoint, a strong opposition to the Code. There was ample opportunity for students of any persuasion to comment on the issue. The failure of conservative students to express their opinions and the basis for them constitutes a one-sided informational input which is of no benefit to one who has not formulated an opinion.

The students opposing the Code are doing their part, even if their opposition is almost trite by now. These students appeared at the forum in large numbers with much background information and many intelligent arguments. They were 'answered' by Trustee Bell, Dean Hall and Vice President Zumwinkle in the same condescending you're-stuck-with-it-now tone which has become characteristic of the administration.

This much was to be expected. The most surprising part of the evening was the absolute failure of students who support the Code to present their ideas. Many of these students were in attendance, judging from the applause accorded panelists when they derided liberal segments of the student body. It is incredible that these people contributed nothing more to the discussion.

Supposedly there is a strong, viable, well-organized group of students who support the Code. These students claim the support of the massive majority of dedicated, hard-working collegiates who haven't time to read the Code or perhaps not even to form an opinion regarding it. If this is true, why don't these people present their views in the public arena. It is a disservice to the University community when these views, however indefensible or poorly presented, are not voiced.

Evidently the Student Coalition is content not only to let the administration rule them, but to allow it to speak, act and think for them as well.

## Kernel Soapbox

### Student Government Defended

By STEPHEN O. LABRECHE  
 EDITOR'S NOTE: Steve LaBrecht is a sophomore Economics major.  
 Student Coalition has presented students with a false picture of the Student Government concerning its composition, support, and ability to function as the legitimate student liaison with the University faculty and administration.

Through exceedingly provincial argumentation and indeterminate phraseology, Student Coalition distorts the reality of the situation until recognition of the truth is difficult. As these attacks upon Student Government continue, it becomes increasingly important for clarification to be made.

First, the nature of the Student Coalition literature is in many ways analogous to that of the revised "Code of Student Conduct." The broadness of contentions and vagueness of intentions present in both writings can lead to confusion of the students and arbitrary action by the administration. The fact that Student Coalition endorses the revised code is indicative of the Coalition's lack of realistic evaluation of the proper position of the individual as a student and citizen. A fairly well done critique of the code is available from the University of Kentucky College of Law Chapter of the Southern Legal Action Movement, in which the many deficiencies contained in the code are discussed. This critique points out clearly unconstitutional aspects of the code with judiciary precedents, including those aspects which are endorsed by the Student Coalition.

Second, Student Government at the University of Kentucky is not composed of, or controlled by, a radical minority which is neither representative of, nor concerned with, student opinion. Both the executive and legislative branches of SC contain a broad cross-section of ideological and political persuasion. Interest in the attainment of co-ordinated response to student needs and issues is the criteria for participation, not incessant iconoclasm.

A genuine look at the individuals who are a part of SC results in the discovery of the diversity of conviction of the representatives and of those who have been

appointed to various levels of the executive branch.

Third, the present Student Government President and those assembly members elected in last year's spring election were selected in the largest turnout in UK history.

Finally, the contention that the administration cannot be expected to negotiate reform with the present Student Government is refuted by the administration's readiness to work with the present SC. An example of this occurred on the 29th and 30th of August, when various administrators and faculty members met with the SC so to increase the students' understanding of the intricacies of the university and increase communication between students, faculty, and administration. Daily contact with these people and this kind of rational discourse maintains the Student Governments' legitimacy and effectiveness.

In spite of Student Coalition's attempts to discredit SC's role and the Coalition's readiness to abandon this established and legitimate student representation, the students must recognize that the University of Kentucky Student Government will continue to exist for, and be controlled by, the students of this university. Students who reject SC because it is imperfect and embrace Student Coalition as a panacea are certain to be disappointed.



## Kernel Forum: the readers write

### Ironies of Equality

To The Editor:  
 My colleague's (Mr. Rudnik's) note in the September 17 Kernel Soapbox has intrigued me a great deal.

Aside from the football parley in which I have no interest, I was quite fascinated about the statements concerning Mr. Bell. It is to be noted that Mr. Bell is a member of the Board of Trustees of this university. Also, it is to be noted that according to national reports, Mr. Bell is acting as the contracting agent for Mr. Roller, or for the university on Mr. Roller's behalf, etc. Such an arrangement raises some doubts in my mind as to legal ethics and KRS 164.130, which explicitly prohibits Trustees from engaging in contractual relationships with the university for the sale of services.

On the other hand, another member of the Board of Trustees incurs my interest — albeit Mr. Chandler. Aside from Daniel Boone Fried Chicken, no one to my knowledge has fully mentioned or discussed Mr. Chandler's role in last Spring's "Confrontation" at the UK Trustee meeting. From reliable reports, it appears that Mr. Chandler touched a girl on the cheek, which under Kentucky law is an act of violence, O'Leary v. Commonwealth, 441 S.W. 2d 150 (1969), and slugged a male student after this individual protested the Trustee's prior act.

Presumably, UK students are honorable people. But, because some engage in acts of doubtful validity, such as violence, burning or trashing buildings, should there not be a Standard, embodied in a Code of Conduct, to protect the interests of the University and Commonwealth?

Presumably, Dr. Mason is an honorable man. But, he has committed a doubtful act; should he not be fired to protect the interests of the University and Commonwealth?

Presumably, the Board of Trustees are honorable men. But... consider the possibilities.

All men are presumed to be treated equally under the Law. Pax.

WILLIAM STARK  
 2nd, year student  
 College of Law

### Bright's Response Poor

To The Editor:  
 When a sincere appeal for reason and sanity is scorned as a threat to freedom and a play on fear, then it seems the appeal is very well placed. I refer to Steve Bright's response to Governor Nunn's letter to college students.

I have read the letter and it only asks us to reason with reality as we toy with fantasy.

Bright's response seems to be motivated by alternative delusions of persecution and divine guidance. Now I ask you, who is really appealing to fear, the Governor or Steve Bright?

OTHAL SMITH, JR.  
 UK College of Law

### SC's Backfiring Goals

To The Editor:  
 Any student who joins the Student Coalition in hopes of "preventing campus disruption" is only fooling himself. The Coalition's method of working toward the anti-disruption objective presently includes no constructive action at all. Instead, its members are, in effect, inviting more confrontations — by preparing to engage in a series of lawsuits to fight against future demonstrators, and by encouraging the administration to invoke emergency measures at the least sign of campus discontent.

By assuming such a worried and defensive attitude, the Coalition is bound

to stimulate more disruption than it quells. Any country that begins an arms buildup after a war is bound to worry its neighbors, and thus encourage them to stockpile their arms too. The hotter an arms race gets, the greater the likelihood of another large-scale conflict.

I think the Student Coalition would do well to work for better understanding among all students, rather than stockpiling defensive measures to combat those it fears and hates.

KAYE BERLEY  
 Graduate Student  
 Communications

### Duty to Ignore Code

To The Editor:  
 The Constitution of the Commonwealth of Kentucky contains a Bill of Rights more magnificent than that of the national constitution. Its beauty and power culminate in Section 26, which reads:

"To guard against transgression of the high powers which we have delegated, we declare that everything in this Bill of Rights is excepted out of the general powers of government, and shall forever remain inviolate; and all laws contrary thereto, or contrary to this Constitution, shall be void."

There are numerous state and local laws and regulations, including most of the UK Student Code, which I intend, by virtue of Section 26, to ignore as though they did not exist.

JERRY W. GOERZ  
 Sophomore  
 Electrical Engineering

### Do It, But How

To The Editor:  
 The recent article by William W. Moore advocating the abolishment of ROTC on the UK campus presented some very salient arguments to this effect. However, his presentation ended, as is so often the case, with a nebulous exhortation to DO IT. There was no mention as to how this can be accomplished at UK. If Mr. Moore is really sincere in his convictions, one would hope that he will have more to say in the immediate future as to the best means to attain this worthwhile end. Otherwise, his is just one more voice crying out in protest, echoing alone in the empty Void.

THOMAS STENDEL

### No Bright, No Problems

To The Editor:  
 I wish to express my views concerning the letter sent by Governor Nunn to the incoming college students for the Fall Term.

I find the Governor's efforts to communicate with the students sincere and the points he made, noteworthy. I, as a student, do not in any way think the letter to be a threat to my academic freedoms.

Maybe if our so called Student Body President would quit Grandstanding, we wouldn't have any problems on campus.

Governor Nunn is to be commended.  
 FREDERICK G. NEIKIRK  
 Third Year Law Student

EDITOR'S NOTE: All letters to the editor must be typed, double-spaced and not more than 200 words in length. The writer must sign the letter and give classification, address and phone number. Send or deliver all letters to Room 113-A of the Journalism Building. The Kernel reserves the right to edit letters without changing meaning.

# Kernel Interview: Dr. Robert Zumwinkle

Vice President for Student Affairs Dr. Robert Zumwinkle was questioned yesterday by the Kernel about the duties of his new post and the philosophy that he carries into it. Dr. Zumwinkle assumed his position at UK this summer after resigning from a similar position at Eastern Michigan University in Ypsilanti. He has served in the past as director of the Center for Student Interchange of the University of Hawaii East-West Center, as director of student personnel services at St. Cloud (Minn.) State College, and as director of student affairs for men at the University of Missouri. The Kernel interviewer was Assistant Managing Editor S. Michael Wines.

**KERNEL:** What exactly do the duties of the office of vice president for student affairs entail?  
**ZUMWINKLE:** Well, my position has some fairly specific responsibilities and other that are rather open ended. The specific responsibilities would be some of the supervision of certain services for students such as the counseling center, the placement center, the office of financial aids, and the office of the dean of students. I have the responsibility for the setting of policy, the staffing and the budgeting of those offices. In a broader sense, I advise the president and other administrative officers outside of the student affairs to matters affecting the students welfare and the student's needs.

**KERNEL:** For example?  
**ZUMWINKLE:** There is no telling from day to day what that may be. It might simply relate to student living conditions. It might be that students are concerned about the quality of their education. Those are a couple of instances. Although I don't have administrative responsibility for everything that affects student welfare, members of my staff and I have what you might call a kind of ombudsman role in going to bat for students, trying to help them find their way through the administrative maze.

**KERNEL:** What have been your first impressions of UK in comparison with Eastern Michigan University?

**ZUMWINKLE:** In spite of the fact that students have made me acutely conscious of some of the problems, such as the code, I sense an underlying atmosphere of willingness to work together. There are some strains and there are some hostilities and suspicious, but I think this underlying willingness to work together should be capitalized on. This is one thing that I find very encouraging.


**KERNEL:** You stated in an earlier Kernel interview that you were "optimistic" about the progress to be made at UK because of the "good general relations." Do you still feel that way?

**ZUMWINKLE:** Yes, I'm cautiously optimistic, which is not

erate activist, if there is such a thing.

They have come back from their summer experiences with a concern about improving the quality of their university by doing anything they can to correct certain injustices, not only in the university but in their society.

**KERNEL:** Have you talked to any students affiliated with some of the campus radical groups?



Kernel Photos by Dick Ware

*"In spite of the fact that students have made me acutely conscious of some of the problems . . . I sense an underlying atmosphere of willingness to work together."*

**ZUMWINKLE:** Yes, people who believe in revolution rather than reform.

**KERNEL:** Does that attitude disappoint you?

**ZUMWINKLE:** I guess it is disappointing, but it is not surprising. I've run into it elsewhere, including EMU.

**KERNEL:** Many students consider UK a "conservative institution." Can you explain why such a person as yourself with a liberal background was brought here?

**ZUMWINKLE:** I don't think I was chosen because I was either a liberal or a conservative. I guess you'd have to ask other people about why I was tapped for this position. In general, I don't find that my political ideology, whether it be classified as liberal or conservative, is much of a factor in working with people.

I think it's possible for a political conservative or liberal to be equally openminded to new ideas, equally committed to the democratic process, and equally committed to the value of individual people irrespective of economic station, race or creed. Even though I have certain views that I feel rather strongly about, that I've spent a good part of my life working toward, I would guess that several people may consider me a bit on the conservative order.

I'm still committed to change—there are so many ills in our society that need correcting—but I recognize that these changes are not going to come about overnight. Maybe that's the part that makes it possible for me to be an administrator.

When a person goes into administrative work he must be prepared to give up some of his

freedoms to speak publicly. I think that at least in American higher education today, there may come a day when administrators are as free to speak out openly on issues as faculty and students.

**KERNEL:** But you don't think that day's here yet?

**ZUMWINKLE:** As a citizen yes. In other words, I think I'm as free as any faculty member to become involved in the community state and national activities, even of a highly controversial nature. But let's take the board of trustees policy.

The board is the governing board of this university and it makes policy. I can work with the board and with the president in attempting to persuade the board of certain changes that may be needed in policy, but I'm not as likely to get on a

given to him. Secondly, I've had nothing but support and cooperation from Jack Hall . . . He and I compliment each other very well.

**KERNEL:** Concern over the new student code was evident at the open forum that you attended Monday night. Many students characterized the code as "repressive." Do you agree with this line of thought?

**ZUMWINKLE:** I do not believe that the code is repressive, largely because I do not sense any desire on the part of the president, the dean of students and others who are involved in administering the code, to use it in an unfair and discriminatory fashion against students.

A code cannot eliminate completely the need for judgements. I think this code probably does need improvements in a number of respects. Some of the language is vague, some of it unintentionally so, but others are intentionally vague.

Sometimes, you know, giving an administrator some flexibility in judgement operates to the students advantage. Right now the atmosphere of suspicion on the part of some of our students toward the code leads students to believe that automatically wherever there is vagueness and room for judgement and when an administrator's going to have an opportunity to make that judgement, it's going to go against the best interests of the student.

All I would say is that the students ought to look to the history of the dean of students office in dealing with disciplinary cases. I think they'll find that they haven't had a history of dealing unfairly with students.

There are a lot of emotional feelings surrounding the May demonstrations, and if we can remove that important emotional block, and look at the overall picture over the last several years, the record of the dean of students office has been a pretty good one.

**KERNEL:** Would you say that the present student code emerged as a sort of "backlash" to the May demonstrations?

**ZUMWINKLE:** You may want to call it a "backlash"—it was certainly a reaction to the disturbance and a reflection of the regents' belief that certain implied authorities of the administration to deal with disruptive situations were not sufficiently written into the code . . .

I think, as was indicated at Monday night's meeting, that there is, perhaps, in certain points, some undesirable ambiguity and vagueness that really ought to be cleared up.

**KERNEL:** Such as?

**ZUMWINKLE:** For instance, section 1.3, which deals with the university policy on the student and local authority and talks about student violations of law. It looks as if this can apply to legal

court of law ordered the person to give up the information.

**KERNEL:** What about the "immediate suspension" and "time, place and manner" clauses?

**ZUMWINKLE:** Time, place and manner—section 3.4 under the right of free expression—is a pretty vague regulation. I would say that the code probably should provide more in the way of guidelines as to what those regulations should conform to. In other words, I think some students are fearful that the University is going to adopt some unreasonable regulations on time. For instance, they might say that the only time a student can express themselves on a political subject is between 12 and 1 o'clock. That would be ridiculous, and the University has no intention of doing that.

And again, on "place", one might read into this a desire of the university to have just one room on campus where students can demonstrate. Obviously, this is not the intent. The intent here is to provide regulations, which would probably be in greater detail than one can provide in the code, but which would be published and available for students to see, but which would be reasonable and would subscribe to every basic constitutional principle.

Given the atmosphere of some suspicion and paranoia on the subject of the code, people have jumped to the conclusion that the University is likely to adopt some very repressive regulations, and I don't think this is true.

At particularly sensitive points in the code like this one, I think maybe the language needs to be a little bit more precise. It needs to make quite clear that these regulations are intended to protect the orderly management of the University and not to infringe on a persons right to express himself.

For example, it seems to me that it would be appropriate for the University not to permit students to speak over a bullhorn right next to a classroom build-



*"At sensitive points in the code . . . maybe the language needs to be more precise."*

ing, of where classrooms would be disrupted.

**KERNEL:** Could you then sum up the code and tell us what the potential for change is?

**ZUMWINKLE:** A couple of years ago the University of Kentucky had what most people regarded as one of the most "liberal" or permissive student codes in the country. There's been some tightening up, and much of the tightening up took place as a result of the May demonstrations. They're tightening up all over, and many boards of trustees across the country have taken action almost identical to the action taken by our Board.

I would wager, although I don't have access to many current student codes because so many of them have been changed over the summer, that if one were to do a careful survey of current codes, the UK code would come

Continued on Page 6, Col. 1



*"I don't think I was chosen because I was either a liberal or a conservative."*

to predict that we are not going to have some serious problems. I expect we will.

**KERNEL:** By "serious problems" do you mean demonstrations?

**ZUMWINKLE:** I think this is a real possibility. There could be serious demonstrations. I have been impressed however, as I talked with students, and I'm not just talking about the so-called straight student but the liberal student, at least the mod-

## 'A code cannot eliminate . . . the need for judgements'

**KERNEL:** Do you feel that Jack Hall, dean of students, made moves—using the student code and while Dr. Forth was in your position—to have the powers of the office he is now holding enhanced at the expense of the vice president for student affairs?

**ZUMWINKLE:** Number one, I'd like to say that I'm not aware that the initiative for certain changes in the codes that give the dean of students more authority than the vice president of student affairs was made by the dean of students. However, it's not at all uncommon for a dean of students to have most or all of the authority that's

violations almost anywhere in the United States. It is my understanding that this is not the intent, and I think it needs to be clarified.

Another point that I think we in the student affairs staff feel is in need of rewriting is section 3.23, dealing with counseling records. The language gives the impression that confidential information might be released to an appropriate authority based on a subjective impression as to whether this ought to be done or not, and that just isn't the case . . . In fact, it would probably happen under most extraordinary circumstances, such as when a

Frank S. Coots III, Editor-In-Chief  
 Bob Brown, Editorial Page Editor  
 Jeff Impallomeni, Sports Editor  
 David King, Business Manager  
 Tom Bowden, Ron Hawkins, Bradley Jeffries, Jerry Lewis, Mike Wines, Assistant Managing Editors  
 Jean Renaker, Managing Editor  
 Dahlia Hays, Copy Editor  
 Don Rosa, Cartoonist

So this is the year of activism on the UK campus. Liberal students are to point out what is wrong and what needs to be done about it while conservative students defend their principles and assume a cautious role. In the free exchange of ideas and the rational debate which follows, the academic community members will arrive at some reasonable positions and base their actions on their evaluations of the facts offered.

Such is far from the case this fall. The Student Code Forum pointed out the unreality of such an assertion. Those students present offered only one viewpoint, a strong opposition to the Code. There was ample opportunity for students of any persuasion to comment on the issue. The failure of conservative students to express their opinions and the basis for them constitutes a one-sided informational input which is of no benefit to one who has not formulated an opinion.

The students opposing the Code are doing their part, even if their opposition is almost trite by now. These students appeared at the forum in large numbers with much background information and many intelligent arguments. They were 'answered' by Trustee Bell, Dean Hall and Vice President Zumwinkle in the same condescending you're-stuck-with-it-now tone which has become characteristic of the administration.

This much was to be expected. The most surprising part of the evening was the absolute failure of students who support the Code to present their ideas. Many of these students were in attendance, judging from the applause accorded panelists when they derided liberal segments of the student body. It is incredible that these people contributed nothing more to the discussion.

Supposedly there is a strong, viable, well-organized group of students who support the Code. These students claim the support of the massive majority of dedicated, hard-working collegiates who haven't time to read the Code or perhaps not even to form an opinion regarding it. If this is true, why don't these people present their views in the public arena. It is a disservice to the University community when these views, however indefensible or poorly presented, are not voiced.

Evidently the Student Coalition is content not only to let the administration rule them, but to allow it to speak, act and think for them as well.

## Kernel Soapbox

### Student Government Defended

By STEPHEN O. LABRECHE  
 EDITOR'S NOTE: Steve LaBreche is a sophomore Economics major.  
 Student Coalition has presented students with a false picture of the Student Government concerning its composition, support, and ability to function as the legitimate student liaison with the University faculty and administration.

Through exceedingly provincial argumentation and indeterminate phraseology, Student Coalition distorts the reality of the situation until recognition of the truth is difficult. As these attacks upon Student Government continue, it becomes increasingly important for clarification to be made.

First, the nature of the Student Coalition literature is in many ways analogous to that of the revised "Code of Student Conduct." The broadness of contentions and vagueness of intentions present in both writings can lead to confusion of the students and arbitrary action by the administration. The fact that Student Coalition endorses the revised code is indicative of the Coalition's lack of realistic evaluation of the proper position of the individual as a student and citizen. A fairly well done critique of the code is available from the University of Kentucky College of Law Chapter of the Southern Legal Action Movement, in which the many deficiencies contained in the code are discussed. This critique points out clearly unconstitutional aspects of the code with judiciary precedents, including those aspects which are endorsed by the Student Coalition.

Second, Student Government at the University of Kentucky is not composed of, or controlled by, a radical minority which is neither representative of, nor concerned with, student opinion. Both the executive and legislative branches of SC contain a broad cross-section of ideological and political persuasion. Interest in the attainment of co-ordinated response to student needs and issues is the criteria for participation, not incessant iconoclasm.

A genuine look at the individuals who are a part of SC results in the discovery of the diversity of conviction of the representatives and of those who have been

appointed to various levels of the executive branch.

Third, the present Student Government President and those assembly members elected in last year's spring election were selected in the largest turnout in UK history.

Finally, the contention that the administration cannot be expected to negotiate reform with the present Student Government is refuted by the administration's readiness to work with the present SG. An example of this occurred on the 29th and 30th of August, when various administrators and faculty members met with the SG so to increase the students' understanding of the intricacies of the university and increase communication between students, faculty, and administration. Daily contact with these people and this kind of rational discourse maintains the Student Governments' legitimacy and effectiveness.

In spite of Student Coalition's attempts to discredit SC's role and the Coalition's readiness to abandon this established and legitimate student representation, the students must recognize that the University of Kentucky Student Government will continue to exist for, and be controlled by, the students of this university. Students who reject SC because it is imperfect and embrace Student Coalition as a panacea are certain to be disappointed.



## Kernel Forum: the readers write

### Ironies of Equality

To The Editor:  
 My colleague's (Mr. Rudnik's) note in the September 17 Kernel Soapbox has intrigued me a great deal.

Aside from the football parley in which I have no interest, I was quite fascinated about the statements concerning Mr. Bell. It is to be noted that Mr. Bell is a member of the Board of Trustees of this university. Also, it is to be noted that according to national reports, Mr. Bell is acting as the contracting agent for Mr. Roller, or for the university on Mr. Roller's behalf, etc. Such an arrangement raises some doubts in my mind as to legal ethics and KRS 164.130, which explicitly prohibits Trustees from engaging in contractual relationships with the university for the sale of services.

On the other hand, another member of the Board of Trustees incurs my interest — albeit Mr. Chandler. Aside from Daniel Boone Fried Chicken, no one to my knowledge has fully mentioned or discussed Mr. Chandler's role in last Spring's "Confrontation" at the UK Trustee meeting. From reliable reports, it appears that Mr. Chandler touched a girl on the cheek, which under Kentucky law is an act of violence, *O'Leary v. Commonwealth*, 441 S.W. 2d 150 (1969), and slugged a male student after this individual protested the Trustee's prior act.

Presumably, UK students are honorable people. But, because some engage in acts of doubtful validity, such as violence, burning or trashing buildings, should there not be a Standard, embodied in a Code of Conduct, to protect the interests of the University and Commonwealth?

Presumably, Dr. Mason is an honorable man. But, he has committed a doubtful act; should he not be fired to protect the interests of the University and Commonwealth?

Presumably, the Board of Trustees are honorable men. But... consider the possibilities.

All men are presumed to be treated equally under the Law. Pax.

WILLIAM STARK  
 2nd, year student  
 College of Law

### Bright's Response Poor

To The Editor:  
 When a sincere appeal for reason and sanity is scorned as a threat to freedom and a play on fear, then it seems the appeal is very well placed. I refer to Steve Bright's response to Governor Nunn's letter to college students.

I have read the letter and it only asks us to reason with reality as we toy with fantasy.

Bright's response seems to be motivated by alternative delusions of persecution and divine guidance. Now I ask you, who is really appealing to fear, the Governor or Steve Bright?

OTHAL SMITH, JR.  
 UK College of Law

### SC's Backfiring Goals

To The Editor:  
 Any student who joins the Student Coalition in hopes of "preventing campus disruption" is only fooling himself. The Coalition's method of working toward the anti-disruption objective presently includes no constructive action at all. Instead, its members are, in effect, inviting more confrontations — by preparing to engage in a series of lawsuits to fight against future demonstrators, and by encouraging the administration to invoke emergency measures at the least sign of campus discontent.

By assuming such a worried and defensive attitude, the Coalition is bound

to stimulate more disruption than it quells. Any country that begins an arms buildup after a war is bound to worry its neighbors, and thus encourage them to stockpile their arms too. The hotter an arms race gets, the greater the likelihood of another large-scale conflict.

I think the Student Coalition would do well to work for better understanding among all students, rather than stockpiling defensive measures to combat those it fears and hates.

KAYE BERLEY  
 Graduate Student  
 Communications

### Duty to Ignore Code

To The Editor:  
 The Constitution of the Commonwealth of Kentucky contains a Bill of Rights more magnificent than that of the national constitution. Its beauty and power culminate in Section 26, which reads:

"To guard against transgression of the high powers which we have delegated, we declare that everything in this Bill of Rights is excepted out of the general powers of government, and shall forever remain inviolate; and all laws contrary thereto, or contrary to this Constitution, shall be void."

There are numerous state and local laws and regulations, including most of the UK Student Code, which I intend, by virtue of Section 26, to ignore as though they did not exist.

JERRY W. GOERZ  
 Sophomore  
 Electrical Engineering

### Do It, But How

To The Editor:  
 The recent article by William W. Moore advocating the abolishment of ROTC on the UK campus presented some very salient arguments to this effect. However, his presentation ended, as is so often the case, with a nebulous exhortation to DO IT. There was no mention as to how this can be accomplished at UK. If Mr. Moore is really sincere in his convictions, one would hope that he will have more to say in the immediate future as to the best means to attain this worthwhile end. Otherwise, his is just one more voice crying out in protest, echoing alone in the empty Void.

THOMAS STENDEL

### No Bright, No Problems

To The Editor:  
 I wish to express my views concerning the letter sent by Governor Nunn to the incoming college students for the Fall Term.

I find the Governor's efforts to communicate with the students sincere and the points he made, noteworthy. I, as a student, do not in any way think the letter to be a threat to my academic freedoms.

Maybe if our so called Student Body President would quit Grandstanding, we wouldn't have any problems on campus.

Governor Nunn is to be commended.  
 FREDERICK G. NEIKIRK  
 Third Year Law Student

EDITOR'S NOTE: All letters to the editor must be typed, double-spaced and not more than 200 words in length. The writer must sign the letter and give classification, address and phone number. Send or deliver all letters to Room 113-A of the Journalism Building. The Kernel reserves the right to edit letters without changing meaning.

# Kernel Interview: Dr. Robert Zumwinkle

Vice President for Student Affairs Dr. Robert Zumwinkle was questioned yesterday by the Kernel about the duties of his new post and the philosophy that he carries into it. Dr. Zumwinkle assumed his position at UK this summer after resigning from a similar position at Eastern Michigan University in Ypsilanti. He has served in the past as director of the Center for Student Interchange of the University of Hawaii East-West Center, as director of student personnel services at St. Cloud (Minn.) State College, and as director of student affairs for men at the University of Missouri. The Kernel interviewer was Assistant Managing Editor S. Michael Wines.

**KERNEL:** What exactly do the duties of the office of vice president for student affairs entail?  
**ZUMWINKLE:** Well, my position has some fairly specific responsibilities and other that are rather open ended. The specific responsibilities would be some of the supervision of certain services for students such as the counseling center, the placement center, the office of financial aids, and the office of the dean of students. I have the responsibility for the setting of policy, the staffing and the budgeting of those offices. In a broader sense, I advise the president and other administrative officers outside of the student affairs to matters affecting the students welfare and the student's needs.

**KERNEL:** For example?  
**ZUMWINKLE:** There is no telling from day to day what that may be. It might simply relate to student living conditions. It might be that students are concerned about the quality of their education. Those are a couple of instances. Although I don't have administrative responsibility for everything that affects student welfare, members of my staff and I have what you might call a kind of ombudsman role in going to bat for students, trying to help them find their way through the administrative maze.

**KERNEL:** What have been your first impressions of UK in comparison with Eastern Michigan University?

**ZUMWINKLE:** In spite of the fact that students have made me acutely conscious of some of the problems, such as the code, I sense an underlying atmosphere of willingness to work together. There are some strains and there are some hostilities and suspicions, but I think this underlying willingness to work together should be capitalized on. This is one thing that I find very encouraging.


**KERNEL:** You stated in an earlier Kernel interview that you were "optimistic" about the progress to be made at UK because of the "good general relations." Do you still feel that way?

**ZUMWINKLE:** Yes, I'm cautiously optimistic, which is not

erate activist, if there is such a thing.

They have come back from their summer experiences with a concern about improving the quality of their university by doing anything they can to correct certain injustices, not only in the university but in their society.

**KERNEL:** Have you talked to any students affiliated with some of the campus radical groups?



Kernel Photos by Dick Ware

*"In spite of the fact that students have made me acutely conscious of some of the problems . . . I sense an underlying atmosphere of willingness to work together."*

**ZUMWINKLE:** Yes, people who believe in revolution rather than reform.

**KERNEL:** Does that attitude disappoint you?

**ZUMWINKLE:** I guess it is disappointing, but it is not surprising. I've run into it elsewhere, including EMU.

**KERNEL:** Many students consider UK a "conservative institution." Can you explain why such a person as yourself with a liberal background was brought here?

**ZUMWINKLE:** I don't think I was chosen because I was either a liberal or a conservative. I guess you'd have to ask other people about why I was tapped for this position. In general, I don't find that my political ideology, whether it be classified as liberal or conservative, is much of a factor in working with people.

I think it's possible for a political conservative or liberal to be equally openminded to new ideas, equally committed to the democratic process, and equally committed to the value of individual people irrespective of economic station, race or creed. Even though I have certain views that I feel rather strongly about, that I've spent a good part of my life working toward, I would guess that several people may consider me a bit on the conservative order.

I'm still committed to change—there are so many ills in our society that need correcting—but I recognize that these changes are not going to come about overnight. Maybe that's the part that makes it possible for me to be an administrator.

When a person goes into administrative work he must be prepared to give up some of his

freedoms to speak publicly. I think that at least in American higher education today, there may come a day when administrators are as free to speak out openly on issues as faculty and students.

**KERNEL:** But you don't think that day's here yet?

**ZUMWINKLE:** As a citizen yes. In other words, I think I'm as free as any faculty member to become involved in the community state and national activities, even of a highly controversial nature. But let's take the board of trustees policy.

The board is the governing board of this university and it makes policy. I can work with the board and with the president in attempting to persuade the board of certain changes that may be needed in policy, but I'm not as likely to get on a

given to him. Secondly, I've had nothing but support and cooperation from Jack Hall . . . He and I compliment each other very well.

**KERNEL:** Concern over the new student code was evident at the open forum that you attended Monday night. Many students characterized the code as "repressive." Do you agree with this line of thought?

**ZUMWINKLE:** I do not believe that the code is repressive, largely because I do not sense any desire on the part of the president, the dean of students and others who are involved in administering the code, to use it in an unfair and discriminatory fashion against students.

A code cannot eliminate completely the need for judgements. I think this code probably does need improvements in a number of respects. Some of the language is vague, some of it unintentionally so, but others are intentionally vague.

Sometimes, you know, giving an administrator some flexibility in judgement operates to the students advantage. Right now the atmosphere of suspicion on the part of some of our students toward the code leads students to believe that automatically wherever there is vagueness and room for judgement and when an administrator's going to have an opportunity to make that judgement, it's going to go against the best interests of the student.

All I would say is that the students ought to look to the history of the dean of students office in dealing with disciplinary cases. I think they'll find that they haven't had a history of dealing unfairly with students.

There are a lot of emotional feelings surrounding the May demonstrations, and if we can remove that important emotional block, and look at the overall picture over the last several years, the record of the dean of students office has been a pretty good one.

**KERNEL:** Would you say that the present student code emerged as a sort of "backlash" to the May demonstrations?

**ZUMWINKLE:** You may want to call it a "backlash"—it was certainly a reaction to the disturbance and a reflection of the regents' belief that certain implied authorities of the administration to deal with disruptive situations were not sufficiently written into the code . . .

I think, as was indicated at Monday night's meeting, that there is, perhaps, in certain points, some undesirable ambiguity and vagueness that really ought to be cleared up.

**KERNEL:** Such as?

**ZUMWINKLE:** For instance, section 1.3, which deals with the university policy on the student and local authority and talks about student violations of law. It looks as if this can apply to legal

court of law ordered the person to give up the information.

**KERNEL:** What about the "immediate suspension" and "time, place and manner" clauses?

**ZUMWINKLE:** Time, place and manner—section 3.4 under the right of free expression—is a pretty vague regulation. I would say that the code probably should provide more in the way of guidelines as to what those regulations should conform to. In other words, I think some students are fearful that the University is going to adopt some unreasonable regulations on time. For instance, they might say that the only time a student can express themselves on a political subject is between 12 and 1 o'clock. That would be ridiculous, and the University has no intention of doing that.

And again, on "place", one might read into this a desire of the university to have just one room on campus where students can demonstrate. Obviously, this is not the intent. The intent here is to provide regulations, which would probably be in greater detail than one can provide in the code, but which would be published and available for students to see, but which would be reasonable and would subscribe to every basic constitutional principle.

Given the atmosphere of some suspicion and paranoia on the subject of the code, people have jumped to the conclusion that the University is likely to adopt some very repressive regulations, and I don't think this is true.

At particularly sensitive points in the code like this one, I think maybe the language needs to be a little bit more precise. It needs to make quite clear that these regulations are intended to protect the orderly management of the University and not to infringe on a persons right to express himself.

For example, it seems to me that it would be appropriate for the University not to permit students to speak over a bullhorn right next to a classroom build-



*"At sensitive points in the code . . . maybe the language needs to be more precise."*

ing, of where classrooms would be disrupted.

**KERNEL:** Could you then sum up the code and tell us what the potential for change is?

**ZUMWINKLE:** A couple of years ago the University of Kentucky had what most people regarded as one of the most "liberal" or permissive student codes in the country. There's been some tightening up, and much of the tightening up took place as a result of the May demonstrations. They're tightening up all over, and many boards of trustees across the country have taken action almost identical to the action taken by our Board.

I would wager, although I don't have access to many current student codes because so many of them have been changed over the summer, that if one were to do a careful survey of current codes, the UK code would come

Continued on Page 6, Col. 1



*"I don't think I was chosen because I was either a liberal or a conservative."*

to predict that we are not going to have some serious problems. I expect we will.

**KERNEL:** By "serious problems" do you mean demonstrations?

**ZUMWINKLE:** I think this is a real possibility. There could be serious demonstrations. I have been impressed however, as I talked with students, and I'm not just talking about the so-called straight student but the liberal student, at least the mod-

## 'A code cannot eliminate . . . the need for judgements'

**KERNEL:** Do you feel that Jack Hall, dean of students, made moves—using the student code and while Dr. Forth was in your position—to have the powers of the office he is now holding enhanced at the expense of the vice president for student affairs?

**ZUMWINKLE:** Number one, I'd like to say that I'm not aware that the initiative for certain changes in the codes that give the dean of students more authority than the vice president of student affairs was made by the dean of students. However, it's not at all uncommon for a dean of students to have most or all of the authority that's

violations almost anywhere in the United States. It is my understanding that this is not the intent, and I think it needs to be clarified.

Another point that I think we in the student affairs staff feel is in need of rewriting is section 3.23, dealing with counseling records. The language gives the impression that confidential information might be released to an appropriate authority based on a subjective impression as to whether this ought to be done or not, and that just isn't the case . . . In fact, it would probably happen under most extraordinary circumstances, such as when a

# Kernel Interview: Zumwinkle

Continued From Page 5

out of it looking pretty good. That's my own guess.

Now what are the prospects for change? There is a standing committee on the board, under the chairmanship of Mr. George Griffin, which has as one of its major responsibilities the continual review of the student code.

This is a committee very willing to hear student and faculty viewpoints on this matter, and I'm sure that they will in the near future be indicating ways in which students and faculty can get their views before the board on the matter of revision.

But I think that before students present their ideas, they ought to give good hard thought to alternative wordings for the code. They ought to come up with, as much as possible, with specific proposals—not just a criticism, but specific proposals for change. And, as much as possible, I think students ought to work through their representative bodies—to study it carefully and then come forward with their considered proposals that represent substantial bodies of opinion.

**KERNEL:** Do you think, then, that the "tightened" codes will help prevent campus disruption?

**ZUMWINKLE:** It's difficult to know what the effect of a code can be on preventing demonstrations. By and large, I would say that there are other approaches to the prevention of disruptions which are more effective than tightening up codes. I refer to improved methods of decision-making and improved channels of communication that provide for significant student input.

Right across the line, we need



"I don't have any magic solutions. Some problems defy simple answers."

increased responsiveness of the institution to legitimate student needs. This doesn't mean always agreeing with students, but I think that if students see their university as a genuinely responsive institution, then the chances of disruption will diminish.

There's no foolproof way, of course. You can work your tail off and it's still possible that a very small minority of students can still practically close down a school.

**KERNEL:** Do you think demonstrations in general are effective

means of carrying grievances to people in high positions?

**ZUMWINKLE:** Certainly. In recent history, we've seen examples of demonstrations in our society that have been effective. The civil rights movement is testimony to this.

But I think there's a point of tolerance that our public has for some kinds of demonstrations. I don't know where that point is... but right now, I guess our country is as uptight about this kind of thing—the phenomena of youth challenging established ways of thinking and established institutions—as we have ever been.

I think that behooves those who are working for these kinds of causes to step back and take a look at their methods and see if they can't dream up something that can achieve their ends without creating the kind of backlash that we're getting—the backlash which really threatens some of the very kinds of freedoms which these people are fighting for.

**KERNEL:** Finally, do you foresee any trouble spots in the workings of the University that you would like to remedy?

**ZUMWINKLE:** There are some areas that I have identified as needing some more specific attention. For instance, we need to do everything possible to improve both the informal climate of communications and formal relationships with some of the people in the total university community. We need to examine the quality of our program of orientation of new students. We need to look at the quality of the living environment of our students, wherever they're living.

These are three major areas that are of special concern, and I don't have any magic solutions. They defy simple answers.

# ABC Exceeds Authority According to Retailers

FRANKFORT (AP) — The retail liquor industry turned out in force Tuesday to oppose proposed state regulations restricting sales-by-the-case and the attire and actions of women working in bars.

Various association spokesmen and individual operators jammed into a small hearing room to give their views at a hearing on regulations being proposed by the state Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) Board.

The basic argument raised repeatedly was that the ABC board was exceeding its authority in attempting to protect "the public welfare and morals" in bars and to restrict volume sales of liquor. The volume limiting regulation was aimed primarily at bootleggers.

Provisions of the proposed regulations which drew the unanimous opposition of the witnesses included:

- Spelling out actions which would be forbidden by dancers in bars and listing specific parts of the body which could not be exposed by dancers or waitresses in bars.

- Forbidding any retailer from selling more than one case of whisky or three cases of beer to any one buyer in a seven-day period.

- Preventing any retail liquor dealer from installing a drive-in window in the future, although existing such windows could continue to be used.

ABC Commissioner Shirley Palmer-Ball said the board attempted to spell out specific prohibitions in the proposed regulation because persons cited

under the present regulation complained it was too general.

But Leon Shaikun, representing the Kentucky Retail Liquor Dealers Association, said that Kentucky law only gives the ABC board the authority to control disorder, not the public welfare or morals.

Therefore, he said, for the ABC board to adopt its proposed regulation it would have to show that "a scantily clad waitress created disorder."

Shaikun said the ABC board was trying to legislate, assuming powers which the legislature did not intend to give it.

Like Shaikun, Shaikun said the ABC board did not have the authority to restrict the quantity of liquor which could be sold to an individual customer. Rather, he said, the law only gives the board the authority to adopt regulations restricting the sale of alcoholic beverages to certain persons, such as minors or drunks.

The regulation restricting the quantity of liquor sold to a customer, he said, would only impose a hardship on legitimate consumers who were having parties, receptions and the like, and not bootleggers.

One liquor dealer said a state legislator had told him he would be "extremely nervous," when thinking of re-election, to have his name recorded as buying a case of whisky. That requirement of the regulation could violate a buyer's civil rights, he said.

Fred Tuggle of the Kentucky Wholesale Liquor Dealers Association said the regulation would only increase the traffic in "moonshine" and would place an undue burden on ABC agents for law enforcement.

Jack Lowery, of the Kentucky Licensed Beverage Dealers' Association, warned that the regulation on women employees in bars would get the board into a "tangled thicket" which even the courts have not been able to handle.

The regulation would lead to "endless litigation," he said, and would be "almost impossible to administer."

Lowery argued that the night clubs he represented needed to use scantily clad go-go dancers in order for Louisville to compete with other cities for the convention business.

# UK Grad Indicted By Grand Jury

LEXINGTON (AP)—John W. Westbrook III, recent UK graduate from Dayton, Ohio, was indicted Tuesday by a Fayette County grand jury on a common law assault charge in connection with the mailing of a bomb casing to a draft board here.

The indictment said Westbrook "caused to be delivered... a device known as a 'guava bomb' along with a letter and other information describing the capabilities of such a bomb."

Westbrook said he sent the bomb casing as a protest against the Vietnam war.

ROLEX  
the  
watch  
for the  
woman  
who  
wants  
to know  
exactly  
how late  
she is.

Hitor Bogaert  
Diamond Importers  
Manufacturers of Jewels

127 W. Main Ph. 252-6230

# tonite tonite tonite tonite Dutch Catechism

We have found The Dutch Catechism to be a key and controversial contribution to the new era in religion. Join us for some lively discussion tonight at 7:30 P.M.

Also at 7:30 tonight, Father Moore begins a study group comparing the Book of Revelations with the writings of DeChardin.

Catholic  
Newman  
Center



320 ROSE LANE

CURIOUS YELLOW HAIR OH  
FANNY HILL CALCUTTA

Go See Them . . . Then Come See  
THE BOLDEST OF THEM ALL

WARNING!

IF YOU WOULD BE OFFENDED BY A REALISTIC ADULT FILM  
PLEASE DO NOT COME TO SEE THIS PICTURE

---

"camille 2000"

Daniele Gaubert - Nino Castelnuovo - Eleonora Rosset-Drago - Roberto Blinco

Produced and Directed by RADLEY METZGER

DISTRIBUTION: "TRIESTE" COLOR - Released through AUDUBON FILMS

---

(X) Adults Only! plus  
PH. 252-4493 2nd Adult Hit!

CIRCLE AUTO THEATRE "PLAYMATES"



# UK's Disproportioned Center Proves Extremely Durable

By CHICK LAWRENCE  
Kernel Staff Writer

Pat Eckenrod wears the dirtiest pants on UK's offensive unit, has the laurels of honor and a game ball award for his performance in the Kansas State contest, but he is still anonymous.

Centers anchor football lines and, like an anchor, they are only noticed when not doing the job. When they falter, a piped line to victory unclogs for the enemy.

"The pressure is on the extra points, field goal attempts and punts when the defense tries to razz you into bad snaps," said the blond Chattanooga, Tennessee,

native, his hand swollen with jammed fingers from the North Carolina game.

Recognizable football centers are short and fat like Ole Miss' 6-1 240-pound Wimpy Winther. Eckenrod is basketball-size at 6-4, 210-pounds.

"He's slim but he's got quick feet, and he's also a good leader," says John Ray, UK's tanned and hoarse commander-in-chief, once a center himself.

"But really I don't think it's too hard to play the position," Pat says, wearing a smile.

The crunch and head-battering in the line resolves around the center, though, doesn't it?

Admittedly, but Eckenrod says he hasn't lost any height or been kayoed yet.

"Carl Crennel of West Virginia is the roughest nose guard I've played and I've got the scar to prove it."

He gained All-SEC and All-American mention last year, but for a center to do that, the Sports Information Director should get a raise.

About the team Eckenrod says, "Of course, the line's improved over last year and, slowly but surely, we get better every day. Ole Miss and Tennessee we play well against every year, and I hope we continue to do so."

# Track Coach Calls Team Potential SEC Champions

By BOB WATKINS

The University track team will open its Winter Indoor Season on December 26. Coach Pat Etcheberry is high on his squad for the coming year. The winter competition should sharpen the team for conference opponents in the spring.

"We will have a veteran squad this year since we didn't lose anyone through graduation. The boys are working hard now to get themselves in shape for the winter meets," said the 27-year-old native of Santiago, Chile.

"We are naturally optimistic about winning the conference this year after finishing third last year behind Tennessee and Florida. Tennessee lost some of their people by graduation as well."

Etcheberry feels it is still too early to talk about his freshmen.

"I wouldn't want to say anything until we see them in time trials this week-end. I am enthusiastic about Jesse Stuart joining us, though. We won first and second in the shot put last year in the SEC, so we expect to win

first, second and third this year with Jesse. He will help us in the discus events as well."

This year's squad appears to be championship caliber on paper. Senior Jim Green will run the 100, the 220 and 440-yard events. He will also anchor the relay team. Another senior is Bill Lightsey, who was NCAA champion in the long jump last season. Lightsey will also help in the relays.

Etcheberry calls senior Robbie Rothfus a very consistent high jumper. "Robbie placed third in the conference last year and has jumped 6-8, so he should be better this time around."

Etcheberry thinks this year's team will put it all together and defeat both Tennessee and Florida for the conference title. Etcheberry himself is a former SEC title holder in the javelin throw.

# Roller's Honor Becomes National

Dave Roller, a 6-foot-2, 240-pound senior from Dayton, Tenn., was credited with 17 unassisted tackles and six assists in the K-State game and threw Dickey and the other Kansas State backs for losses six times as the Wildcats finished with minus 93 yards rushing.

For the performance, Roller was named National College Lineman of the Week by The Associated Press Tuesday.

"He did an excellent job," said Coach John Ray, who came to Kentucky last season from Notre Dame, where he was Ara Parseghian's chief defensive assistant.

"He put a great deal of pressure on Mr. Dickey all afternoon and gave us second and third efforts all over the field. When he wasn't making the tackle himself he was sacrificing himself by wiping out the block-

ers so someone else could make a big play."

Roller was the subject of a story in a Florida paper recently which said he came to Kentucky because he got the "best deal" there.

The true story is that Roller paid his own way to Kentucky—after going to court to get the money—and eventually earned a scholarship.

**University Methodist  
Chapel**  
151 E. Maxwell Street  
**Sunday Worship 11 a.m.**

ALL STUDENTS WELCOME  
Rev. Thomas Fornash, Chaplin  
Steve Rinehart, Student Associate  
For transportation call 254-3714

## Wrestling Team

All male students interested in competition wrestling should see Tom LaFontaine of the Physical Education Department at 3:15 p.m. Thursday or next Tuesday at the main floor of the Alumni Gym.

# Kentucky's Young Water Polo Team Could Just Capture SEC Championship

By LENNIE UNDERWOOD

It's a combination of soccer and basketball. It's played in a swimming pool and it's a sanctioned NCAA sport. The name—water polo.

Water polo, although only in its second year of NCAA competition, has been played at UK for seven years.

Since water polo is so closely related to swimming, Ron Huebner, a former UK swimmer, doubles as swimming and water polo coach.

The UK team consists of 15 men, mostly swimming team members, and plays predominantly a Big Ten schedule, including Ohio State, Indiana and Michigan State.

Three All-Americans

The team opens its 1970 season Thursday, Sept. 24, at Purdue University, then travels to

Chicago Friday for games with Illinois and Michigan in the prestigious Loyola University Invitational.

The annual Blue-White intra-squad game was held Friday at the Memorial Coliseum pool, with the Blues winning 10-8.

The Blues were led by high school All-Americans Keith Swetz of St. Louis, Miss., with five goals, and Landon Danby of Modesto, Calif., with two goals.

Goals by Swetz and sophomore John Tex clinched the victory after the Whites had tied the score, 8-8, with 90 seconds remaining.

Another high school All-American, Robin Hale of Miami, Fla., was outstanding for the Whites with three goals.

Huebner, pleased with the game's pin-point passing and rugged defense said, "As far as I'm

concerned, this is the best collection of water polo players ever to attend the University."

Seven Men Teams

The game itself plays seven men teams with a rough volleyball-type ball. There are two forwards, two middle men, two backs and a goalie.

The object, as in soccer, is to get the ball in the nets at each end of the 25-yard pool. The ball is moved by passing or "swimming" it, and it cannot go under water.

The NCAA championship will be held in November during Thanksgiving in Los Angeles, and Coach Huebner believes he has a chance to be there.

"We have one of the top three teams and I think we've got a shot at winning our conference," said Huebner.



NEW  
**The Purple Pitcher**  
Serving Pitcher Beer  
**WORLDS FINEST CHILI**

Chili Plain 55c  
Chili Spagetti, Cheese 60c  
Chili Bean 70c  
Chili 3 way (Spagetti, Cheese) 75c  
Chili 4 way (Chili, Spagetti, and onion) 80c  
Chili 5 way (Chili, Spagetti, onions, and beans) 85c  
Coney Islands 25c—Cheese Coney 30c

**284 So. Limestone**  
11 a.m.-1 a.m.    11 a.m.-8 p.m.    11 a.m.-2:30 a.m.  
Mon., Tues., Wed., Thur.    Sun.    Fri. and Sat.

## Fraternity Powers Remain Unfeated in IM Play

Pi Kappa Alpha, Sigma Alpha Epsilon and Phi Sigma Kappa continued their winning ways by each downing their opponents in fraternity intramural action Tuesday evening.

With the same form that showed in their 35-0 shut-out over Alpha Gamma Rho last week, Pi Kappa Alpha, scoring every possible way, stormed Triangle 28-0. Pike's first two touchdowns came on a run by Pete Eiche and a pass from Bill Busey to Bill Benjamin with Busey following up with a 2 point conversion.

Busey then took the ball himself and ran around right end from 5 yards out for the third score. A safety imposed by the Pike defence and another touchdown by Tom Louis gave Pike's their final margin.

Sigma Alpha Epsilon posted their second win by taking a 14-0 decision over Tau Kappa Epsilon. SAE's Richard Gibson took the ball on the 7 and sprinted in for the first score and a pass from Bob McCowan to Henry Harris added the extra point. In the second half SAE scored

again, this time on a run by Vince Sayre. Substitute quarterback, Joe Bowen then hit Harris for the conversion.

Scoring on a last second pass play from Mike Milan to Mike Plump, Phi Sigma Kappa turned back Zeta Beta Tau 14-12.

Phi Sigs struck first on a toss from Mike Gresosky to Mike Murphy and Gresosky ran for the 2 point conversion.

Zeta Beta Tau scored twice in the second half on runs by Mark Hammer and Tom Kendall. After both touchdowns they failed to make the extra point.

In other action yesterday Sigma Nu squeaked by Phi Kappa Tau 7-0 on a run by Mike Mann and a pass from Mann to Toby Matthews for the extra point.

Delta Tau Delta blanked Kappa Alpha 14-0 with touchdowns by Andy Chaffin and E.B. Loman. The two point conversions were runs by Paul Farrell.

Showing a sloppy defense, Lambda Chi Alpha edged Alpha Gamma Rho 26-15 in the final game of last night's action. Lambda Chi scored all their

touchdowns on passes from quarterback Randy Page to receivers Frank Cassell, Delano Miller, and Buddy Shapiro, who scored two.

Alpha Gamma Rho's Roy Castle caught two Rick Smith passes for both AGR touchdowns and an extra point pass was caught by Kevan Porter. AGR picked up their final two points on a safety when a bad Lambda Chi snap from center fell into the end zone.



WANT ACTION? . . .  
USE THE KERNEL WANT ADS

**KERNEL ADVERTISING  
WORKS FOR YOU!**

