

Case

3rd of May 1800 Mary Wight, Widow being seized in Fee of the undermentioned House only by Will of this date duly executed and attested so as to pass Real Estates after desiring that all her just debts and funeral Expences should be paid, devised in the following words "Then I give and ~~devise~~ ^{bequeath} unto my beloved Sons William Wight and Joseph Wight, and my beloved Daughter Sarah Emerson All that Messuage or Dwelling House situate lying and being at Dudley in County of Worcester with all rights priviledges and appurtenances appertaining or belonging thereto Together with all and singular my real and personal Estate and Effects whatever, to be equally and impartially distributed amongst them share and share alike" and appointed the said Joseph Wight sole Executor of her said Will

William Wight Joseph Wight & Sarah Emerson survived the Testatrix but William Wight is since dead leaving Issue - John Wight a Grandson of the Testatrix is ~~her~~ ^{her} heir at Law -

Did Wm Wight Joseph Wight & Mrs Emerson take an Estate in Fee Simple as Tenants in Common or what other Estate in the House and premises under the above devise, and can Joseph Wight and Mrs Emerson and the real representatives of Wm Wight the Co. Devisee make a good Title thereto to a purchaser without the concurrence of the Testatrix's Heir at Law

I am of opinion that the devise took an estate in fee simple as tenants in common ~~and~~ under the will. The testatrix had no other real estate & if the words real estate were not held to pass the reversion in fee in the house they would be void - and no word in a will should be

expressed that he can have any construction in
Dancy v. Edgeworth 2 P. Wms. 523. And it
has been determined that after a devise to one
of land in words which will only pass a life
estate a general residuary devise of the rest
of the testator's real estate to the same devisee
will carry the use in fee in the land before
mentioned to him. I think therefore that Joseph
Wright & his Emorsons & the real representation of
Wright can make a good title without
the concurrence of the testator's heirs as in
Leah v. Albery Com 337. Redoubt v. Penn 3 B.M.

Edward P. Sugden
Lincoln, 3^d May 1815.

English Hall

Miss Robinson Esq

Dorset

for the opinion of
Mr. Lydon -

1870

W. Lydon

Sustice & Wright



[Faint, illegible handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the paper.]