Kentucky Small Grain Variety Trials–1978

By W. E. Vian, V. C. Finkner and C. R. Tutt

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY • COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Experiment Station

Department of Agronomy

Lexington • Progress Report 240



CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	3
Experimental Methods	4
Data Collected	5
Results and Discussion	6
1978 Test Conditions	7
1977 Test Conditions	8
1976 Test Conditions	8
1975 Test Conditions	9
G 11 G 1 TT 1 1 C 1070	9
	10
	10
Soft Red Winter Wheat Varieties	10
Winter Oat Varieties	
Spring Oat Varieties	. 10
Certified Seed	. 11
List of Tables	
1. Small Grain Harvested Acreage and Yields in Kentucky-	
1976-78	. 3
2. Planting Dates and Location of Kentucky Small Grain	
Evaluation Trials—1976-78	. 5
3. Barley Performance Trials at Lexington, Ky., 1975-78	. 12
4. Barley Performance Trials at Princeton, Ky., 1975-78	. 13
5. Barley Performance Trials at Bowling Green, Ky., 1974-78.	. 14
6. Barley Performance Trials at Murray, Ky., 1975-78	. 15
7. Barley Performance Trials at Elkton, Ky., 1977-78	. 16
o. Discuss reachings on Dairy, and a second a second as a second a	. 17
0. 11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11	. 17
10. Wheat Performance Trials at Princeton, Ky., 1976-78	. 19
11. Wheat Performance Trials at Bowling Green, Ky., 1976-78.	. 20
12. Wheat Performance Trials at Murray, Ky., 1976-78	. 22
13. Wheat Performance Trials at Elkton, Ky., 1977-78	. 23
14. Wheat Performance Trials at Elizabethtown, Ky., 1977-78.	. 24
15. Winter Oat Performance Trials at Lexington, Ky., 1975-78.	. 25
16. Winter Oat Performance Trials at Princeton, Ky., 1975-78	. 26
17. Winter Oat Performance Trials at Bowling Green, Ky., 1975-78	
18. Winter Oat Performance Trials at Murray, Ky., 1977-78	2829
19. Winter Oat Performance Trials at Elkton, Ky., 1977-78	. 30
20. Spring Oat Performance Trials at Lexington, Ky., 1976-78.	. 31
21. Characteristics of Barley and Oat Varieties Tested in 1978.	. 32
22. Characteristics of Wheat Varieties	. 32
List of Figures	4
1. Testing Locations of Kentucky Small Grain Variety Trials .	. 4

Kentucky Small Grain Variety Trials 1978

W.E. Vian, V.C. Finkner and C.R. Tutt

The 1977-78 small grain production was less than that produced in the past several years (Table 1). Several factors played an important part in this reduction. Some factors were the poor economic outlook for small grain, the poor planting conditions in the fall and government programs requiring reduced acreage. The severe winter reduced the stand of much of the planted grain; therefore, some was not harvested.

Table 1.-Small Grain Harvested Acreage and Yields in Kentucky 1976-78.

	1978 Ind:	icatied	19	77	1976		
CROP	1,000A Harvest	Yield Bu/A	1,000A Harvest	Yield Bu/A	1,000A Harvest	Yield Bu/A	
Wheat	195	35.0	274	37.0	330	31.0	
Oats	193	42.0	9	35.0	10	35.0	
Barley Rye	24	42.0	25 4	46.0	23	37.0	

^{1/} August 10, 1978 From Crop Production, ESCS, USDA, Washington, D. C.

The objective of the Kentucky small grain variety trials is to evaluate varieties of barley, wheat and oats that are commercially available or may soon be available to Kentucky farmers. New varieties are continually being developed by agricultural experiment stations and commercial firms. Annual evaluation of small grain varieties and selections provides seedsmen, farmers, and other agricultural workers with current information to help them select the varieties best adapted to their locality and individual requirements.

Since weather, soil and other environmental factors will alter varietal performance from one location to another, tests are grown in six locations (Fig. 1) in the state (Lexington, Bowling Green,



Fig. 1.—Testing locations of Kentucky small grain variety trials.

Location	Cooperator
 Murray— Princeton— Bowling Green— Lexington— Elkton— Elizabethtown— 	Mr. Joe Pat Carraway West Kentucky Substation Western Kentucky University Agriculture Department Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station Mr. Robert Yoder Mr. Allen Baugh

Elkton, Princeton, Elizabethtown, and Murray). Recommendations are revised each year because of the availability of new varieties, improvements in production practices, and continually changing disease and insect hazards.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The plots were planted with a specially built four-row seeder. Each plot consisted of four rows spaced one foot apart and trimmed to 10 feet in length. Each variety was grown in four replications, and the data presented are the average response from the four harvested rows of the four plots except for barley, for which only the two center rows were harvested. Planting dates of all trials for the past 3 years are listed in Table 2.

In some instances, uncontrollable factors such as excessive rainfall, winter killing, high winds, hail, grazing cattle, etc.,

adversely affected an experiment so that the results were judged unreliable. When this occurred, results are not given for that location and year. Data averaged over a period of years gives a more accurate picture of varietal performance than does annual data.

Fable 2.—Planting Dates and Location of Kentucky Small Grain Evaluation Trials 1976-78.

		LOCATION	and HARVEST YEAR			
CROP	Lexington 1978 1977 1976	Princeton 1978 1977 1976	Murray 1978 1977 1976	Bowling Green 1978 1977 1976	Elkton 1978 1977	Elizabethtown 1977 1978
Wheat Barley Winter Oats Spring Oats	10-25 10-26 10-22 10-18 10-14 10-15 10-20 10-8 10-7 3-30 3-17 3-26	10-17 10-18 10-24 10-17 10-18 10-24 10-17 10-18 10-24 	10-31 10-29 10-15 10-31 10-29 10-15 10-31 10-29 10-15	10-19 11-5 10-28 10-19 11-5 10-28 10-19 11-5 10-28	10-19 11-4 10-19 11-4 10-19 11-4	10-21 10-21

The 1978 tests at Lexington, Princeton and Bowling Green were planted on land not cropped the previous year. The test at Murray was planted after soybeans. The test at Elkton was planted after corn silage. The test at Elizabethtown was planted after corn for grain.

DATA COLLECTED

It is important to consider characteristics in addition to grain

yield when selecting a variety.

Grain yield was taken by cutting all four rows with a selfpropelled combine. The grain yield for barley at all locations except Lexington was taken by cutting the two center rows of each plot and threshing the grain with a stationary plot thresher. The weights of each plot were recorded in grams and converted to bushels per acre.

Test weight, or the weight of a bushel of grain, is a measure of the quality of grain. The higher the test weight, the higher the quality and market value, unless the grain has been down-graded

because of another quality factor.

Lodging was recorded as the percentage of the total plants lying on the ground or leaning at a 45-degree angle from the vertical when the grain was mature. The term "maturity" as used in this report refers to the date the grain was ready to be combine harvested.

Plant height is reported as the number of inches from the ground to the tip of the upright grain head.

Survival was recorded as the percentage of plants estimated

to have survived the winter. This is a measure of winterhardiness and is an important factor to consider when selecting a variety.

Heading date is reported as the date when 50% of the heads had emerged from the plants in each plot. This is a measure of maturity and is important when selecting a variety for use in a double-cropping system.

Percent protein reported on a whole seed basis was measured by the Udy dye binding or near-infrared technique. Concurrent consideration of percent protein and yield should be made. The most desirable combination would be highest yield and highest percent protein, but this rarely occurs. High protein content is often a result of low yield and poor grain filling seed (shriveling). Nitrogen fertilization can affect protein content of the grain.

Grams per thousand seeds is a measure of seed size and seed quality. Planting rates can be adjusted by knowing seed size. Poor quality grain is usually low in weight per thousand seeds.

Disease and insect data are reported as relative amounts that occurred on the varieties at the time the readings were made. Disease and insect problems are often different in different years.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since genetic expression of a variety is greatly influenced by environmental conditions, it is best to have several years' data from which to draw conclusions. Performance of a variety tested for only one year should not be compared with a 3-year average of another variety, since it is possible that results in one of the other years were extremely good or poor and, thus, not comparable.

The yield of a variety is relative and should be compared with the yields of the other varieties in the same experiment and at the same location. Small differences in yield of only a few bushels per acre between two varieties from an individual test should not be interpreted to indicate the superiority of one variety over another. However, if one variety consistently out-yields another over a period of several years, the chances are that the differences are real. Lodging data are very difficult to interpret. A high-yielding variety should not necessarily be down-graded because of a high percentage of lodging for a given year and at a given location. Local weather conditions, such as wind and rain, may cause a variety to lodge much more than it normally does. Variety trials normally have a greater degree of lodging than do farmer fields. It should also be emphasized that a report that a variety was 50% lodged does not imply that only 50% of the grain could be harvested. With good equipment, it may be expected that almost all of the grain could be saved. Lodging data for a period of years should receive more consideration than annual lodging data since they will give a more accurate picture of varietal performance.

1978 TEST CONDITIONS

The fall planting period was marked by a number of rains that reduced the acres planted by many small grain farmers. An early snow fall on the Thanksgiving weekend stopped most all small grain growth. The remainder of November and the rest of the winter months were cold. Below zero temperatures were reported in December and January with snow depths of 15 to 20 inches over most of the state. February was the coldest February on Weather Service records. Below seasonal temperatures were recorded in March and April.

The winter killing of fall seeded small grains was severe, resulting in the complete loss of some barley and oat fields. A similar winter killing occurred in the nursery with a nearly complete loss of the barley and oat nurseries at Lexington and a 15% reduction in stand of the more winter hardy varieties of wheat. The nurseries at other locations had winter killing but not as severe as the Lexington location. The yield of the varieties will be closely correlated to winter survival.

Many small grain diseases were observed but the severity was not any greater than normal except for Scab (Fusarium spp.) on wheat. "Doublecrop" had the most severe infection. Cereal leaf beetle infestation in the Lexington nurseries was the heaviest ever observed.

1977 TEST CONDITIONS

The fall moisture conditions were limiting the first half of October. The latter half of October turned cool and some precipitation occurred. The cool October temperatures continued into the fall, never increasing enough to stimulate much fall growth. If the small grains were late planted, they possibly did not emerge until spring. The extremely cold temperatures generally coincided with good snow cover on the ground except for a few days in the latter part of December. The spring growing season started with near normal soil moisture but then April, May and June were droughty in some areas of the state.

The good ground snow cover prevented a lot of winter-killing of wheat and barley. Winter-killing in oats was severe. The disease picture was very mild or limited to localized areas. New strains of powdery mildew are increasing, causing the "Arthur-type" wheat to be less resistant to powdery mildew.

1976 TEST CONDITIONS

The fall weather conditions were near ideal for planting small grain. The temperature was cool but periods of dry weather allowed the small grain to be planted. Precipitation for the winter months totaled near normal with less than the usual amount of snow cover. Temperatures averaged above normal for every month except January. February was the warmest February in the last 75 years, averaging 7-9° above normal. March had cold temperatures in the latter half of the month. Freezes occurred the last of April and the first part of May. The yields of some varieties were reduced as much as 50% at Lexington and Princeton and a lesser amount at Murray and Bowling Green. Farmer fields varied in the amount of damage depending on the developmental stage of the plants and the temperature in local areas. The damage ranged from 0% to 100% with 18% statewide average yield reduction (Kentucky Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, Louisville, Ky.). An unusually dry May resulted in reduced plant heights in many parts of the state.

1975 TEST CONDITIONS

Weather conditions in 1974 were good for fall seeding of the small grain crop. Winter temperatures were mild with above-average temperatures, which resulted in very little winter killing of small grain. The crop made slow growth in the spring owing to a prolonged period of cool wet weather which extended through April. Some loss from flooding occurred in the spring because of heavy rainfall. Hard winds and heavy rains about June 15 resulted in severe lodging in several areas. Hail occurred at Bowling Green which destroyed the wheat and barley variety trials.

Small grain yields were better at all test locations, equalling and usually exceeding the 1973 and 1974 averages. A heavy weed infestation occurred at Lexington, reducing the yields. Winter killing was not observed at any location. The variety trials were not infested so heavily with Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus or Wheat Spindle Streak Mosaic Virus as in the previous two years. The susceptible wheat varieties indicated severe infestation of Septoria Glume Blotch. The resistance (low grade) of Abe, Arthur, Arthur 71 and Oasis was apparent. Scald was observed on some barley varieties.

SMALL GRAIN VARIETIES FOR 1979

Varieties eligible for certification include (1) varieties that may have potential for Kentucky and (2) older varieties that are still acceptable for production in Kentucky. A summary of the characteristics of the small grain varieties is presented in Table 22.

WINTER BARLEY VARIETIES

Winter barleys are less winter-hardy than winter wheat but more hardy than winter oats. The degree of winterhardiness, straw strength and maturity are important characteristics when choosing a variety. Varietal performance data are presented in Tables 3-8. Varieties now commonly grown are Barsoy, Monroe and Volbar. Newer varieties that show promise are Pike, Perry and Surry (see characteristics in Table 21).

SOFT RED WINTER WHEAT VARIETIES

Kentucky's climate and soils are well suited for the production of high quality soft red winter wheat. No one variety has all the desirable characteristics; each has certain advantages. Yielding ability, straw strength, height, earliness, grain quality and disease resistance are important in choosing a variety. Wheat is an excellent feed grain for livestock. Varietal performance is presented in Tables 9-14. Commonly grown varieties are Arthur, Arthur 71, Abe, Oasis, Beau, Doublecrop, Sullivan and Coker 747.

WINTER OAT VARIETIES

Winter oats are the least winter-hardy of the winter grains. Early seeding, good fertilization practices and planting on well-drained soil are recommended to minimize winter killing. Most winter oats are susceptible to the crown rusts so the variety must be selected in respect to maturity, lodging resistance and yielding ability. Winter oats are excellent also for fall grazing and silage. Performance of the winter oat varieties is presented in Tables 15-19. Varieties now commonly grown are Coker 66-22, Compact, Dubois, Norline and Walken. No released varieties appear superior to the ones commonly grown.

SPRING OAT VARIETIES

The only small grain suitable for spring seeding by farmers in Kentucky is spring oats. Spring oats are used mainly for hay or silage and as a companion crop for grasses and legumes. Grain and forage yields of spring oats are lower than those of the winter oat varieties when yields of winter oats are not severely reduced from winter killing or disease. Two spring oat varieties (Otee and Jaycee) are commonly grown because of their higher level of resistance to Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus (oat red leaf) (Table 20).

CERTIFIED SEED

Planting certified seed is one of the first steps in insuring a good small grain crop. The extra cost of certified seed is justified in view of the high quality of seed obtained. Certified seed is seed which has been grown in such a way as to insure the genetic identity and purity of a variety. Certified seed also helps to maintain freedom from weed and other crop seed and, in some cases, freedom from disease. The Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station recommends that Kentucky-certified seed be used whenever possible for growing commercial crops of small grains.

Acknowledgement is made to John Byars, of the Department of Agronomy, and to the University of Kentucky Computing Center, for assistance in summarizing the results reported in this progress report; and Harold Vaught, Extension Area Agronomy Specialist, for his assistance in collecting field data at Bowling Green; County Agents Ted Howard, Marvin Davidson and Jack Snyder for assistance in locating test sites; and Dr. Richard Stuckey for his assistance in disease identification and evaluation for the varieties at all test locations.

Table 3.—Barley Performance Trials at Lexington, KY., 1975-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein	Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			1978 R	esults				
Barsoy	11	50.8	00	24	5-18	35.6	14.3	08
Boone	04		00	26	5-27	37.2	14.8	05
Clayton	00							00
Henry	26	45.8	00	28	5-27	36.6	10.5	22
Kanby	36	51.5	00	34	5-24	37.6	10.9	55
Kentucky 1	40	52.4	00	39	5-28	38.4	9.0	52
Knob	19	46.8	00	28	5-24	37.2	13.2	20
Maury	33	47.6	00	30	5-28	38.4	11.1	25
Monroe	34	47.4	00	28	5-30	35.6	10.4	28
Perry	37	51.2	00	34	5-24	39.0	11.9	50
Pike	23	50.5	00	24	5-20	36.4	12.6	35
Post	23	51.0	00	27	6-1	38.1	11.9	16
Surry	23	46.2	00	29	5-24	35.4	11.5	18
Volbar	00							00
102002								
			-year Ave	erage 197	6-77	0/ 0	11 5	(1)
Barsoy	67	52.0	0	32	4-23	34.3	11.5	(1)
Henry	79	47.2	11	34	5-1	36.7	9.8	
Kanby	73	48.5	2	35	5-4	32.8	10.4	
Kentucky 1	72	49.0	6	43	5-6	31.6	10:0	
Knob	72	47.2	11	33	4-27	32.0	10.8	
Maury	83	46.1	15	35	5-2	32.3	10.0	
Monroe	81	45.7	12	34	5-5	32.2	9.6	
Pike	71	50.3	0	29	4-23	34.7	11.0	
Surry	79	47.1	3	35	4-27	34.1	10.0	
Volbar	93	48.4	2	39	5-1	37.6	10.0	
		Thre	ee-year A	verage 19	975-77			
Barsoy	62	49.5	24	33	4-24	29.5	12.0	(1)
Henry	74	46.2	30	35	5-2	33.0	10.3	
Kanby	65	47.2	33	37	5-5	29.1	10.9	
Knob	64	45.6	30	34	4-29	27.9	11.7	
Maury	75	45.1	28	36	5-4	29.0	10.4	
Monroe	75	44.3	28	35	5-6	29.0	9.9	
Surry	74	45.5	27	37	4-29	30.6	10.4	
Volbar	85	47.5	29	40.	5-2	34.4	10.0	

^{1/} All varieties had 100% survival.

Table 4.—Barley Performance Trials at Princeton, KY., 1975-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein	Winter Surviva
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			1978	Results				
Barsoy	13	45.6	00	25	5-9	30.6	14.3	15
Boone	05		00	24	5-22	30.5	14.7	06
Clayton	02		00	22	5-23	31.6	14.8	01
Henry	18	44.8	00	24	5-22	32.5	13.5	26
Kanby	22	44.5	00	26	5-20	36.1	13.7	36
Kentucky 1	31	43.6	00	34	5-24	34.3	13.8	44
Knob	13	44.7	00	23	5-18	32.8	15.1	21
Maury	17	43.1	00	22	5-28	34.2	14.1	12
Monroe	11	40.4	00	22	5-28	30.9	13.6	15
Perry	27	46.7	00	27	5-18	33.8	12.4	46
Pike	20	44.8	00	23	5-10	31.3	14.5	36
Post	12	45.6	00	23	5-27	34.8	14.2	06
Surry	07	44.6	00	23	5-21	30.9	14.0	08
Volbar	02		00			36.3	14.0	01
		Trac	-year Av	erage 197	6-77		1977 only	
Damasu	57	46.9	44	36	4-16	27.9	Property and the second	(1)
Barsoy Henry	70	43.3	74	38	4-26	32.2	13.0	(1)
	36	41.7	96	38	4-29	28.6	12.8	
Kanby Kentucky 1	23	36.8	100	37	5-4	27.6	14.8	
Knob	52	42.7	70	36	4-24	28.4	16.0	
	70	40.8	77	37	5-1	29.1	14.7 13.2	
Maury Monroe	65	39.3	79	38	5-2	31.3		
Pike	55	45.5	61	34	4-18	28.2	13.5	
Surry	65	43.0	89	40	4-24	30.0	13.8	
Volbar	60	39.4	87	43	4-26	33.4	13.2 14.2	
		mı		10	75 77			
	-,		ee-year A		4-20			
Barsoy	54	46.1	64	35 39	5-2			(1)
Harrison	49	43.5	88		4-29	7	7	
Henry	73	43.0	68	38	5-1	NO	NO	
Kanby	38	41.4	97	38		D/	DATA	
Knob	47	41.8	78	35	4-27	DATA	AT/	
Maury	69	40.6	82	38	5-3			
Monroe	69	39.2	85	38	5-3			
Surry	65	42.6	93	39	4-26			
Volbar	61	40.0	90	42	4-29			

 $[\]underline{1}/$ All varieties had 100% survival.

Table 5.—Barley Performance Trials at Bowling Green, KY., 1974-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein	Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
				Results		31.2	11.2	58
Barsoy			e grazed			24.5	10.9	64
Boone			at variou			26.2	10.9	26
Clayton			st weight			29.2	10.3	65
Henry			ght and da		ed	28.6	10.3	70
Kanby	d	ata are i	not repor	ted.		29.0	09.9	81
Kentucky 1						28.6	12.4	76
Knob						28.4	12.0	76
Maury						27.7	11.0	58
Monroe						31.8	10.1	75
Perry						31.9	10.1	74
Pike						29.2	11.0	41
Post						28.1	11.2	51
Surry						33.8	10.6	15
Volbar						33.0	10.0	
			1976	Results	1/			
Barsoy	55	43.6	0	33	4-13	22.5	11.6	100
Henry	53	41.9	0	32	4-24	26.2	12.2	100
Kanby	48	42.2	5	36	4-30	25.1	10.6	100
Kentucky 1	34	42.4	85	38	5-3	26.1	9.9	100
Knob	43	41.9	0	31	4-21	23.6	10.8	100
Monroe	52	41.1	0	34	4-28	25.4	11.4	100
Pike	49	41.6	0	27	4-17	23.1	10.3	100
Surry	58	42.4	0	34	4-20	25.5	12.0	100
Volbar	73	42.5	0	41	4-24	30.2	9.8	100
		Two-v	ear Avera	ige 1974	and 197	61/ 8	NO	
Barsoy	38	42.4	39	32	4-13			100
Knob	36	41.1	3	30	4-22	DATA	DATA	100

 $[\]frac{1}{1}$ The 1977 trial at Bowling Green was destroyed by standing water. The 1975 trial at Bowling Green was destroyed by hail.

Table 6.—Barley Performance Trials at Murray, KY., 1975-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein	Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			19	78 Resul	ts			
Barsoy	14	48.2	00	27	4-30	34.2	10.3	26
Boone	25	44.4	00	28	5-12	30.6	09.6	30
Clayton	16	46.2	00	28	5-16	33.7	11.0	24
Henry	28	48.0	00	31	5-14	38.5	07.8	39
Kanby	18		00	32	5-15	35.0	09.0	68
Kentucky 1	18	51.6	00	37	5-17	37.1	08.3	53
Knob	25	47.0	00	31	5-10	34.6	09.2	47
Maury	29	48.0	00	31	5-17	37.0	08.2	45
Monroe	24	45.2	00	27	5-18	35.4	07.9	50
Perry	26	51.4	00	31	5-12	36.8	10.7	47
Pike	22	49.2	00	29	4-30	37.4	08.6	53
Post	19	49.6	00	34	5-17	34.6	10.4	41
Surry	26	47.4	00	31	5-12	36.2	08.0	32
Volbar	26	47.8	00	38	5-15	39.7	07.1	22
			Two-year	Average	1976-77	1	.977 only	
Barsoy	51	46.6	38	31	4-15	29.2	13.9	81
Henry	68	46.4	3	34	4-25	33.0	10.9	83
Kanby	43	43.2	9	35	4-28	29.4	12.8	89
Kentucky 1	26	41.0	49	39	5-1	27.8	15.3	78
Knob	59	43.6	23	31	4-22	29.8	12.6	81
Maury	71	43.7	20	36	4-28		11.9	90
Monroe	59	39.6	34	33	4-30	27.9	12.6	88
Pike	52	45.7	49	29	4-16	29.7	13.1	83
Surry	70	43.8	6	33	4-21	30.5	12.1	86
Volbar	63	43.0	34	38	4-24	34.2	13.0	84
			Three-yea	r Averag	e 1975-7	7		
Barsoy	50	46.0	30	31	4-16			88
Henry	63	45.5	2	33	4-28			88
Kanby	44	43.2	9	34	4-29	NO	NO	93
Knob	53	43.1	15	30	4-24			88
Maury	68	43.2	13	35	4-30	DATA	DATA	93
Monroe	61	39.6	23	32	5-1	Ä	Y A	92
Surry	66	43.1	4	33	4-23			90
Volbar	69	43.1	23	38	4-26			90

Table 7.—Barley Performance Trials at Elkton, KY., 1977-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein	Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			1978 R	esults				
Barsoy	46	46.4	48	33	4-30	35.0	14.0	65
Boone	42	43.3	60	32	5-10	31.6	12.4	29
Clayton	07		00	31	5-12	36.0	14.2	06
Henry	78	43.3	28	41	5-9	37.2	11.0	89
Kanby	41	43.9	71	40	5-10	32.6	13.2	91
Kentucky 1	29	43.3	100	41	5-14	31.6	14.1	95
Knob	59	42.7	06	34	5-6	33.0	14.1	72
Maury	70	42.1	32	37	5-11	32.0	12.8	92
Monroe	77	41.5	39	38	5-13	31.1	11.6	90
Perry	54	46.0	55	37	5-8	33.6	13.6	92
Pike	53	43.6	75	34	5-3	32.2	13.2	86
Post	70	47.4	22	38	5-14	32.7	11.1	44
Surry	80	42.3	12	41	5-7	35.1	10.8	58
Volbar	13	47.2	03	39	5-13	37.8	12.0	04
			1977 I	Results				
Parcou	32	41.8	100	33		32.4	12.9	29
Barsoy	53	40.0	100	39		35.4	12.9	43
Kanby	27	38.2	98	• 39		31.3	14.7	84
Kentucky 1	24	39.8	100	42		30.8	15.9	81
Knob	39	42.3	95	37	NO	33.2	14.4	53
	50	37.7	100	40		29.5	14.6	50
Maury	36	35.3	100	38	DA	28.5	13.8	56
Monroe	43	40.5	78	41	DATA	33.1	15.0	84
Perry	38	43.0	99	33		34.8	15.2	76
Pike		36.7	100	38		28.8	15.3	66
Post	33		100	38		31.8	14.3	45
Surry	43	37.8	98	45		36.8	13.7	44
Volbar	53	37.6	90	47		30.3		

Table 8.—Disease Ratings on Barley Varieties Tested in 1978.

Variety			Dise		**	•
	H. sa			ecalis	H. gram	
	(Barley Sp	ot Blotch)	(Barle)	Scald)	(Barley	Stripe)
	Resis	tance	Resis	stance		
	Rat	ing	Rat	ing	Pres	
	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977
Barsoy	Good	Good	Poor	Poor	no	no
Boone	Poor		Poor		no	
Clayton	Good		Good		no	
Henry	Fair	Poor	Good	Fair	no	yes
Kanby	Fair	Poor	Poor	Fair	yes	yes
Kentucky 1	Fair	Poor	Poor	Poor	no	yes
Knob	Fair	Fair	Poor	Poor	no	no
Maury	Poor	Fair	Fair	Good	no	no
Monroe	Poor	Fair	Good	Fair	no	yes
Perry	Good	Poor	Good	Good	no	no
Pike	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	no	no
Post	Good	Good	Fair	Fair	yes	no
Surry	Poor	Poor	Good	Good	no	yes
Volbar	Fair	Poor	Fair	Good	no	yes

1/ Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus, mildew, and leaf rust were not evident in 1977 or 1978. Barley smuts can be controlled by planting disease-free seed so no smut data were collected.

Table 9.—Wheat Performance Trials at Lexington, KY., 1976-78.

		Test		Plant		Date
Variety	Yield	Weight	Lodging	Height	Survival	Headed
	bu/A	lb/bu	%	in.	%	
		1978	Results			
Abe	44	58.7	0	37	90	5-22
Arthur 1/	43	58.9	0.5	38	91	5-22
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{2}$	31	57.5	0	31	30	5-26
Beau 2/	40	59.0	0	37	93	5-23
Centurk 2/	59	60.1	8.7	42	98	5-24
Coker 68-15	31	57.5	0	32	38	5-27
Coker 747	40	59.2	0	32	79	5-24
Delta Queen	37	56.7	1.2	35	86	5-27
Doublecrop ,	40	60.3	0	34	74	5-19
Funks W-504 $\frac{3}{}$	50	59.4	3.2	38	92	5-21
McNair 1003	46	56.3	0	33	75	5-24
McNair 1813	17	56.2	0	32	13	5-26
McNair 4823	37	58.6	0	32	79	5-28
Dasis	38	58.6	0	36	78	5-24
Pioneer S-78	45	58.0	0	32	83	5-25
Pioneer S-76	46	58.7	0	33	91	5-24
Roland	41	56.6	1.2	33	73	5-24
Ruler	59	58.9	0.7	37	96	5-27
Sullivan	36	59.1	0	37	79	5-23

(continued)

Table 9.—(continued)

		Test		Plant		Date
Variety	Yield	Weight	Lodging	Height	Survival	Headed
	bu/A	1b/bu	%	in.	%	
	Tw	o-year A	verage 19	77-78		
Abe	49	58.3	0.0	37	80	5-16
Arthur 1/	45	58.9	0.7	37	75	5-15
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{2}$	38	58.9	0.0	34	38	5-18
Beau 2/	45	59.2	0.0	35	72	5-17
Centurk =	53	59.6	5.0	40	90	5-19
Coker 68-15	38	58.2	0.0	31	58	5-19
Coker 747	44	58.9	0.7	32	74	5-17
Doublecrop 3/	42	59.9	0.0	35	76	5-12
runks w-304 -	49	59.2	1.9	38	80	5-15
McNair 1003	53	54.9	0.7	34	74	5-17
McNair 1813	33	57.6	1.0	32	30	5-18
McNair 4823	38	57.7	0.4	31	63	5-22
Oasis	44	59.4	0.0	37	73	5-18
Ruler	53	59.0	0.4	35	78	5-23
Sullivan	40	59.5	0.0	37	74	5-16
	Thre	ee-year A	verage 19	76-78		
Abe	41	58.2	0.0	34	81	5-14
Arthur 1/	40	58.8	0.5	35	79	5-15
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{-1}$	34	58.8	0.0	33	56	5-16
Beau	41	59.3	0.0	33	73	5-16
Coker 68-15	36	58.5	0.0	31	68	5-18
Coker 747	41	59.0	0.5	31	79	5-16
Doublecrop 2/	35	59.9	0.0	33	79	5-12
Funks W-504 3/	41	59.3	1.2	36	81	5-16
McNair 1003	46	54.9	0.5	32	79	5-12
McNair 1813	30	57.6	0.6	32	45	5-17
McNair 4823	38	58.1	0.3	31	73	5-17
Oasis	39	59.2	0.0	35	80	5-20
Ruler	48	59.0	0.3	35	83	5-21

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ The germination of the seed lot planted in 1978 was approximately 60%.

^{2/} Hard red winter wheat.

 $[\]frac{3/}{}$ Funks W-504 will be marketed in the future as North American Plant Breeders W-504 (NAPB W-504).

Table 10.—Wheat Performance Trials at Princeton, KY., 1976-78.

		Test		Plant		Date
Variety	Yield	Weight	Lodging		Survival	Headed
	bu/A	lb/bu	%	in.	%	
		1978	Results			
Abe	30	60.0	0	29	84	5-14
Arthur .	27	60.7	0	31	66	5-15
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{}$	9	56.0	0	24	7	5-22
Beau o/	29	60.7	0	31	66	5-16
Centurk 2/	33	61.1	0	36	89	5-19
Coker 68-15	7	58.0	0	23	6	5-25
Coker 747	25	60.3	0	24	51	5-19
Delta Queen	29	59.5	0	31	68	5-20
Doublecrop	27	59.9	0	31	74	5-8
Funks W-504 3/	30	59.3	0	35	64	5-15
McNair 1003	37	56.7	0	33	55	5-19
McNair 1813	14	55.7	0	28	29	5-19
McNair 4823	17	57.5	0	26	53	5-25
0asis	19	56.9	0	31	40	5-21
Pioneer S-78	29	60.7	0	29	65	5-21
Pioneer S-76	33	60.2	0	32	88	5-19
Roland	33	59.0	0	29	79	5-18
Ruler	35	57.4	0	35	89	5-21
Sullivan	19	57.7	0	29	54	5-17
	Tw	o-year Av	verage 197	7-78		
Abe	43	59.1	12.5	34	92	5-8
Arthur	41	60.1	3.7	36	83	5-8
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{}$	31	56.5	13.1	32	53	5-12
Beau 2/	43	60.2	1.2	35	83	5-9
Centurk 2/	38	60.0	46.2	39	94	5-13
Coker 68-15	29	57.2	0.6	29	53	5-13
Coker 747 2/	39	59.3	21.9	30	76	5-10
Funks W-504 $\frac{3}{}$	44	58.9	12.5	38	82	5-8
Doublecrop	43	59.3	11.2	29	87	5-1
McNair 1003	55	55.9	16.2	36	78	5-10
McNair 1813	32	55.6	1.9	34	64	5-10
McNair 4823	38	56.6	0.6	32	76	5-1
Oasis	39	57.2	16.9	36	70	5-1
Ruler	39	56.7	40.6	39	94	5-1
Sullivan	58	57.7	2.5	34	. 77	5-9

(continued)

Table 10.—(continued)

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant	Survival	Date Headed
	bu/A	lb/bu	%	in.	%	neaded
	Thre	e-year A	verage 19	76-78		
Abe	35	58.2	8.3	36	95	5-2
Arthur 1/	35	59.2	2.5	37	89	5-2
Arthur 71 ±'	28	56.2	8.7	35	69	5-5
Beau	35	59.5	0.8	36	89	5-4
Coker 68-15	30	56.7	0.4	33	69	5-6
Coker 747	36	58.8	14.7	34	84	5-5
Doublecrop 3/	43	58.3	7.5	37	91	4-26
ruliks w-Ju4 —	38	58.3	8.3	39	88	5-2
McNair 1003	46	54.7	10.8	37	85	5-5
McNair 1813	25		1.2	36	76	5-4
McNair 4823	39	56.1	0.4	34	84	5-13
Dasis	33	56.5	11.2	38	80	5-5
Ruler	34	56.2	27.1	41	96	5-10

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ The germination of the seed lot planted in 1978 was approximately 60%.

Table 11.—Wheat Performance Trials at Bowling Green, KY., 1976-78.

		Test		Plant		Date
Variety	Yield	Weight	Lodging	Height	Survival	Headed
	bu/A		%	in.	%	
		1978 Re	esults			
Abe	35	55.5	0	34	95	5-12
Arthur 1/	41	56.6	0	36	93	5-12
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	55.4	0	34	43	5-15
Beau 2/	42	56.5	0	36	89	5-13
Centurk 2/	42	56.3	0	41	96	5-17
Coker 68-15	19	55.9	0	28	34	5-17
Coker 747	42	57.4	0	31	86	5-14
Delta Queen	32	55.1	0	33	93	5-17
Ogublecrop	30	55.7	0	38	94	5-6
McNair 1003	37	51.3	0	35	81	5-14
McNair 1813	27	54.6	0	36	60	5-14
icNair 4823	31	56.3	0	31	85	5-18
Dasis	25	55.4	0	33	89	5-14
Pioneer S-78	41	56.2	0	34	96	5-17
Pioneer S-76	42	56.8	0	34	93	5-16
Roland	40	54.1	0	34	96	5-15
Ruler	33	56.0	0	37	94	5-19
Gullivan	37	55.8	0	35	94	5-12

 $[\]frac{2}{}$ Hard red winter wheat.

Funks W-504 will be marketed in the future as North American Plant Breeders W-504 (NAPB W-504).

Table 11.—(continued)

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant	Survival	Date Headed
variety	bu/A	Weight	%	in.	%	neaded
	Two	-year Ave	erage 1977	7-78		
Abe	42	56.1	0	33	86	5-9
Arthur ,,	44	57.4	0	37	81	5-9
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{}$	35	56.1	0	35	53	5-11
Beau 2/	47	57.4	0	35	91	5-10
Centurk 2/	40	56.8	18.7	41	96	5-14
Coker 68-15	34	56.7	0	30	61	5-11
Coker 747	44	58.1	0	36	87	5-10
Doublecrop	36	56.8	0	35	91	5-4
McNair 1003	42	52.3	0	35	72	5-11
McNair 1813	33	55.4	0	35	58	5-10
McNair 4823	38	57.0	0	33	84	5-15
Oasis	38	56.2	0	37	80	5-11
Ruler	40	56.9	0	38	89	5-16
Sullivan	41	56.9	0	35	88	5-8
	Thre	e-year Av	verage 197	76-78		
Abe	41	55.9	0	34	90	5-4
Arthur	43	57.1	0	37	87	5-4
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{}$	36	55.8	0	36	68	5-5
Beau	44	57.1	0	35	94	5-5
Coker 68-15	34	56.1	0	33	74	5-6
Coker 747	41	57.1	0	25	91	5-5
Doublecrop	38	56.7	0	36	94	4-29
McNair 1003	40	52.1	ő	35	81	5-6
McNair 1813	33	55.2	0	36	72	5-4
McNair 4823	35	56.6	0	33	90	5-12
Oasis	38	56.2	0	36	87	5-5
00010	30		STATE OF THE STATE OF THE	38	93	5-12

 $[\]frac{1}{}$ The germination of the seed lot planted in 1978 was approximately 60%.

 $[\]frac{2}{}$ Hard red winter wheat.

 $[\]frac{3}{}$ Funks W-504 will be marketed in the future as North American Plant Breeders W-504 (NAPB W-504).

Table 12.-Wheat Performance Trials at Murray, KY., 1976-78.

		Test		Plant		Date
Variety	Yield	Weight	Lodging		Survival	Headed
	bu/A	lb/bu	%	in.	%	
		1978	Results			
Abe	49	57.3	0	34	88	5-11
Arthur 71 1/	41	57.8	0	36	75	5-10
ALCHUL / L	26	57.5	0	34	20	5-17
Beau	47	59.2	0	35	68	5-12
Centurk	40	57.8	0	41	79	5-16
Coker 68-15 Coker 747	28 44	57.5 58.6	0	31 32	13 50	5-16 5-15
Delta Queen	44	57.7	0	32	64	5-15
Doublecrop	42	57.0	0	35	63	5-7
McNair 1003	38	53.6	0	35	44	5-14
McNair 1813	30	55.4	0	32	35	5-12
McNair 4823	29	55.5	0	31	43	5-21
Oasis	39	58.6	0	36	51	5-14
Pioneer S-78	41	57.2	0	33	68	5-16
Pioneer S-76	32	57.4	Ö	31	49	5-15
Roland	43	55.3	Ö	32	70	5-14
Ruler	37	56.7	Ö	38	51	5-20
Sullivan	34	57.6	0	32	60	5-12
	Two	-year Av	erage 197	7-78		
Abe	54	58.1	0	36	94	5-5
Arthur 1/	49	58.5	0	38	88	5-4
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{2}$	41	58.4	0	36	60	5-8
Beau 2/	52	59.7	0	37	84	5-6
Centurk 2/	38	57.6	33.7	41	89	5-11
Coker 68-15	44	57.9	11.2	33	56	5-8
Coker 747	51	59.2	1.2	33	75	5-8
Doublecrop	46	57.8	0	36	81	4-30
McNair 1003	54	54.1	1.2	36	72	5-8
McNair 1813	45	56.1	1.4	35	68	5-4
McNair 4823	32	54.5	0	34	71	5-14
Oasis	46	59.0	1.2	37	76	5-8
Ruler	39	56.0	0	40	76	5-15
Sullivan	46	58.3	0	35	80	5-5
	Thre	ee-year A	verage 19	76-78		
Abe	53	58.0	0	35	90	4-29
Arthur	49	58.3	0	37	86	4-28
Arthur 71 1/	43	58.2	0	35	68	5-1
Beau	47	59.5	0	36	83	5-1
Coker 68-15	41	57.7	7.5	34	66	5-2
Coker 747	49	58.8	0.8	33	79	5-2
Doublecrop	47	57.6	0	36	82	4-24
McNair 1003	49	54.0	0.8	36	74	5-1
McNair 1813	43	56.4	0.9	35	72	4-27
McNair 4823	31	55.1	0	34	75	5-11
Oasis	45	58.9	.8	36	79	5-2
Ruler	35	56.5	0	39	78	5-11

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ The germination of the seed lot planted in 1978 was approximately 60%.

 $[\]frac{2}{}$ Hard red winter wheat.

 $[\]frac{3}{}$ Funks W-504 will be marketed in the future as North American Plant Breeders W-504 (NAPB W-504).

Table 13.—Wheat Performance Trials at Elkton, KY., 1977-78.

Variety	Yield bu/A	Weight	Lodging	Height	Survival	Headed			
	DU/A	1b/bu	%	in.	%				
		10,00							
		1978	Results						
Abe	37	54.7	20.0	35	100	5-8			
Arthur	44	56.1	6.2	38	99	5-8			
Arthur 71 $\frac{1}{}$	42	55.2	7.5	38	66	5-10			
Roam	48	56.6	0	39	98	5-10			
Centurk $\frac{2}{}$	41	53.2	75.0	39	100	5-13			
Coker 68-15	15	54.2	0	29	14	5-17			
Coker 747	58	56.8	0	35	91	5-11			
Delta Queen	28	52.9	7.5	34	40	5-18			
Doublecrop	26	54.1	0	38	96	5-3			
McNair 1003	50	51.9	0	36	73	5-12			
McNair 1813	9	53.6	0	33	4	5-16			
McNair 4823	41	54.9	0	37	76	5-19			
Oasis	40	59.7	27.5	38	95	5-9			
Pioneer S-78	47	55.5	5.0	34	93	5-13			
Pioneer S-76	47	54.9	0	35	95	5-14			
Roland	43	51.9	10.0	35	100	5-10			
Ruler	36	54.8	0	39	100	5-19			
Sullivan	39	55.2	27.5	37	96	5-8			
	Two-year Average 1977-78								
Abe	44	55.1	51.9	37	100				
Arthur	46	56.3	41.2	40	99				
Arthur 71 1/	42	55.5	51.2	39	83				
Beau 2/	48	56.8	14.4	39	99				
Centurk 2/	37	53.3	86.9	40	100				
Coker 68-15	36	54.5	37.5		57				
Coker 747	53	56.9	38.1	36	96				
Doublecrop	35	54.6	29.4	38	98				
McNair 1003	56	51.6	25.0	38	86				
McNair 1813	24	53.6	47.0	35	52				
McNair 4823	42	53.9	16.9		88				
Oasis	43	54.7	47.5	39	98				
Ruler	36	54.8	24.4		100				
Sullivan	36	55.6	57.5	5 40	98				
Dullivan									

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ The germination of the seed lot planted in 1978 was approximately 60%.

^{2/} Hard red winter wheat.

^{3/} Funks W-504 will be marketed in the future as North American Plant Breeders W-504 (NAPB W-504).

Table 14.—Wheat Performance Trials at Elizabethtown, KY., 1977-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Survival	Date Headed
	bu/A	1b/bu	%	in.	%	
		1978	Results			
Abe	33	57.7	0		61	
Arthur 2/	29	58.4	0.2		84	
Arthur 71 <u>2</u> /	14 28	53.4	0		60	
Beau Centurk 3/	33	58.4 56.8	0 2.7		70 50	
Coker 68-15	12	54.3	0	DATA NOT TAKEN	45	DATA
Coker 747	31	58.5	0	TA	88	ΓA
Delta Queen	25	53.0	0.2	NC	71	NOT
Doublecrop	27	60.0	0	T	80	
McNair 1003	31	52.8	0	TA	46	TAKEN
McNair 1813	13	54.0	0	KE	53	KH
McNair 4823	20	54.0	0	Z	40	Z
Oasis	25	56.3	0		71	
Pioneer S-78	32	56.9	0		66	
Pioneer S-76	42	58.9	0.2		58	
Roland	30	55.6	0		50	
Ruler	32	54.7	0		58	
Sullivan	24	57.6	0		64	
	Two	-year Av	erage 1977	7-78		
	,-					
Abe	47	57.5				
Arthur 71 1/	42 35	57.6				
Arthur /1 -	41	55.8 58.2	DA	ATA NOT	TAKEN	
Beau Doublecrop	32	58.0				
Oasis	41	56.8				
Jasis	71	50.0				

 $[\]frac{1}{}$ The 1977 nursery was planted and harvested using farm equipment. The plots were one-quarter acre in size and replicated three times.

 $[\]frac{2}{}$ The germination of the seed lot planted in 1978 was approximately 60%.

 $[\]frac{3}{}$ Hard red winter wheat.

Table 15.—Winter Oat Performance Trials at Lexington, KY., 1975-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein	Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			1070 0	esults				
Coker 66-22		All varie	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		winterki	111 28.0	11.5	Trace
Coker 70-16		at Lexing				28.0	10.7	Trace
Coker 76-30			,0011			27.8	11.3	Trace
						21.4	12.5	Trace
Compact								Zero
Cumberland						26.2	11.2	Trace
Dubois						24.0	11.3	Trace
ку. 67-695						30.4	12.2	Trace
Norline						25.4	11.2	Trace
Pennlan						27.8	10.4	Trace
Pennwin						21.4	11.0	Trace
Walken						29.6	10.8	Trace
Windsor								
		Two-	-year Ave	erage 197	6-77			
Coker 66-22	79	35.2	7	29	5-11	32.0	16.8	73
Coker 70-16	88	36.1	21	27	5-12	26.9	15.2	84
Compact	63	35.2	0	23	5-18	23.8	18.6	60
Cumberland	67	33.9	0	27	5-17	34.2	16.2	59
Dubois	68	35.4	9	30	5-15	28.4	18.2	76
Ку. 67-695	83	36.3	2	29	5-16	27.3	16.6	88
Norline	73	34.8	0	31	5-20	31.8	18.7	71
Pennlan	75	35.5	0	27	5-14	28.4	16.0	51
Walken	* See	ed of Wal	ken had	poor geri	nination	and data	consider	ed unreliab
Windsor	81	35.1	10	28	5-9	31.4	17.3	66
				,	075 77			
				verage 1	5-13	27.8	16.8	82
Coker 66-22		35.0	41	32	5-13	24.0	15.4	89
Coker 70-16		35.2	50	30	5-20	21.5	18.7	73
Compact	62	34.5	36	26		25.5	18.2	84
Dubois	67	35.1	42	33	5-17	28.1	18.6	81
Norline	69	34.1	36	33	5-21	25.0	16.1	68
Pennlan	75	35.2	36	31	5-15			
Walken	* See	d of Walk	en had p	oor germ	ination	and data	consider	ed unreliab

Table 16.—Winter Oat Performance Trials at Princeton, KY., 1975-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein	Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			1978 R	esults				
Coker 66-22	40	30.9	00	30	5-26	35.3	12.5	15
Coker 70-16	26	31.1	00	27	5-27	29.4	11.4	16
Coker 76-30	38	31.3	00	30	5-24	31.7	10.8	29
Compact	65	33.2	00	25	5-28	27.2	12.2	42
Cumberland	22	27.6	00	27	5-30	33.6	12.0	09
Dubois	36	31.6	00	34	5-26	29.8	11.8	26
Ky. 67-695	55	31.2	00	32	5-24	28.8	12.6	56
Norline	54	32.4	00	34	5-28	30.8	12.8	34
Pennlan	42	32.0	00	29	5-24	27.2	10.7	30
Pennwin	47	31.0	00	32	5-28	30.6	10.7	32
Walken	44	31.8	00	32	6-6	26.0	12.5	35
Windsor	39	29.7	00	33	5-23	28.5	12.2	35
		_	year Avei	1075	7,1/			
	11	28.0	75	44	5-9			84
Coker 66-22		29.6	68	41	5-10		-	74
Coker 70-16		28.6	50	36	5-19	NO	NO	94
Compact	39	29.2	54	38	5-15	D/	D/	91
Dubois	27 25	27.0	66	43	5-18	DATA	DATA	90
Norline	41	30.3	51	41	5-10			64
Pennlan Walken	27	27.1	54	42	5-24			96

 $[\]frac{1}{}$ The 1977 test at Princeton winterkilled.

Table 17.—Winter Oat Performance Trials at Bowling Green, KY., 1975-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein	Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			1070	D 1 + -				
0.1 (6.00		Plots wer		Results	1 v	23.6	11.4	44
Coker 66-22 Coker 70-16		data show				20.0	10.4	31
Coker 76-30		uata silov	vii were	obtained		22.0	10.1	30
Compact						20.0	12.2	75
Cumberland						24.4	13.1	36
Dubois						21.2	11.0	51
Ky 67-695						20.6	12.4	82
Norline						25.6	12.8	45
Pennlan						19.8	10.4	22
Pennwin						23.8	12.7	59
Walken						18.4	12.1	72 25
Windsor						19.8	12.2	23
		Two	-year Av	erage 19	75-76			
Coker 66-22	47	27.1	50	34	5-5			93
Coker 70-16		28.7	50	31	5-6	NO	NO	88
Compact	44	29.7	50	30	5-18			94
Dubois	40	31.4	50	36	5-13	DATA	DATA	90
Norline	44	30.2	50	36	5-18	Ä	Ä	90
Pennlan	41	29.1	50	32	5-7			80
Walken	56	30.3	40	38	5-22			98
		Thre	e-vear	Average 1	1974-76			
Coker 66-2:	2 45	28.4	65	36	5-6			95
Coker 70-1		29.9	66	33	5-6	NO	NO	92
Compact	45	29.8	59	31	5-16			96
Dubois	34	30.7	58	37	5-13	DATA	DATA	93
Norline	41	30.7	58	38	5-17	A.	A.	93
Pennlan	40	30.4	54	34	5-6			87
Walken	50	29.8	38	39	5-22			99

 $[\]underline{1}/$ The 1977 test at Bowling Green was destroyed by standing water.

Table 18.—Winter Oat Performance Trials at Murray, KY., 1977-78.

ariety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds		Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			1978 R	esults				
oker 66-22	74	33.6	00	33	5-20	27.1	10.2	42
oker 70-16	64	35.2	64	30	5-18	23.7	8.4	34
oker 76-30	58	35.8	38	31	5-17	25.0	8.7	32
ompact	60	34.1	00	26	5-27	21.1	10.9	30
umberland	59	30.2	00	32	5-25	28.5	9.8	10
ubois	64	34.5	08	37	5-20	26.3	10.4	23
y 67-695	42	29.0	00	33	5-24	23.7	11.3	25
orline	57	32.5	00	37	5-27	25.9	11.1	18
ennlan	96	35.8	45	30	5-17	23.4	8.0	23
ennwin	70	33.8	02	35	5-28	25.5	8.7	25
alken	68	32.6	02	36	6-2	19.7	9.8	38
indsor	43	32.2	10	33	5-25	25.5	10.2	15
			1977 R	esults				
oker 66-22	51	38.1	73	38		31.8	17.6	38
oker 70-16	72	30.8	46	38	5-7	27.0	20.0	58
oker 76-30	77	32.9	66	38	5-8	27.0	17.4	68
Compact	46	31.5	45	35		23.5	22.3	35
umberland	37	28.0	0	6	5-13	33.5	16.3.	26
ubois	40	29.4	30	39		28.0	20.1	35
y 67-695	73	29.6	13	38	5-12	27.6	19.4	65
orline	49	29.9	15	42	5-14	29.7	22.7	43
ennlan	37	30.4	28	38		27.2	18.4	20
ennwin	37	28.1	18	36		25.3	19.3	26
lalken	* See	ed of Wal	ken had p	oor gern	ination	and data	considered	
lindsor	65	39.7	66	36	5-7	27.5	18.8	53

4

¥

•

1

*

Table 19.—Winter Oat Performance Trials at Elkton, KY., 1977-78.

Variety		Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds		Winter Survival
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.				%
			1070 1	1.				
	20	21 0	$\frac{1978 \text{ f}}{00}$	Results 28	5-22	35.2	11.9	20
Coker 66-22	38	31.9	00	28	5-22	30.4	10.4	08
Coker 70-16	31	33.2	00	27	5-24	31.7	10.9	15
Coker 76-30	26	32.8	00	25	5-24	27.6	13.6	14
Compact	41	32.5	00	29	5-30	34.0	12.6	01
Cumberland	08	21 1	01	36	5-24	31.0	12.3	02
Dubois	33	31.1	06	30	5-22	36.0	12.4	56
Ку 67-695	73	33.8	06	33	5-27	39.8	13.3	18
Norline	58	33.0	00	27	5-24	38.6	10.6	08
Pennlan	23	32.6	22	36	5-29	36.4	12.1	32
Pennwin	66	33.3	00	30	5-29	35.6	12.5	13
Walken	56	29.4	00	28	5-22	36.5	12.0	03
Windsor	05		00	20	5-22			
			1977	Results			00.5	12
Coker 66-22	28	31.4	00	31		35.4	20.5	43
Coker 70-16	42	32.5	00	33	NO	31.1	19.7	35
Coker 76-30		32.1	00	35		30.1	20.1	50 15
Compact	31	29.3	00	28	DATA	23.9	23.1	33
Cumberland	35	25.6	00	33	ΓA	31.2	21.6	25
Dubois	26	29.9	00	33		29.7	21.6	40
Ку 67-695	44	31.2	00	32		29.6	20.3	43
Norline	36	30.3	00	36		33.4	23.8	25
Pennlan	35	32.6	00	35		29.2	19.7	25
Pennwin	28	26.9	00	36		27.7	21.1	
Walken	* See		lken had	poor ger	mination	and data	considered	unreliah 45
Windsor	39	30.8	00	31		31.2	22.1	

Table 20.—Spring Oat Performance Trials at Lexington, KY., 1976-78.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Lodging	Plant Height	Date Headed	g/1000 Seeds	Whole Seed % Protein
	Bu/A	Lbs/Bu	%	In.			
			1978 1	Results			
Andrew	76	36.5	00	42	6-5	29.0	10.2
Bates	91	35.4	00	36	6-5	29.8	7.7
Clintford	69	38.2	00	34	6-5	32.7	10.2
Grundy	99	37.0	00	39	6-4	28.6	10.6
Jaycee	81	37.6	00	35	6-3	33.3	9.6
Lang	87	35.1	00	34	6-2	33.4	9.4
Mo 0205	76	36.8	00	42	6-5	26.2	7.8
Otee .	83	36.0	00	35	6-5	26.5	9.6
		Tt	vo-year Ave	erage 197	7-78		
Andrew	70	34.7	39	36	5-28	29.4	14.3
Clintford	72	37.2	02	31	5-30	32.9	14.4
Jaycee	84	35.8	06	32	5-28	32.2	14.4
Mo 0205	80	35.3	10	38	5-30	24.6	13.2
Otee	83	35.3	03	32	5-28	29.0	15.8
		Tha	ee-year A	verage 10	76-78		
Andrew	59	34.0	26	35	5-29		
Clintford	57	36.3	01	29	5-30	NO	NO
Jaycee	73	35.1	04	30	5-28		
Mo 0205	66	34.7	06	36	5-30	DATA	DATA
Otee	73	35.1	02	30	5-29	Ä	ľΑ

Table 21.—Characteristics of Barley and Oat Varieties Tested in 1978.

Variety Pr	otecte	ed ¹ / Origin	Release Date	Winter Survival	Relative ₂ /	Barley Yellow Dwarf Resistance
			Winter	Barley		
Barsoy	No	Kentucky	1966	Good	0	Fair
Boone	No	N. Carolina	1976	Fair	12	?
Clayton	No	N. Carolina		Poor	14	?
Henry	No	Virginia	1976	Good	12	Good
Kanby	No	Kansas	1973	Excellent	11	?
Kentucky 1	No	Kentucky	1935	Excellent	15	Fair
Knob	No	Kentucky	1969	Good	9	Fair
Maury	No	Virginia	1977	Good	15	Good
Monroe	No	Virginia	1976	Good	16	Good
Perry	No	Missouri	1977	Excellent	9	Good
Pike	Yes	Indiana	1975	Excellent	2	Poor
Post	No	Oklahoma	1976	Fair	16	?
Surry	No	Virginia	1976	Good	10	Good
Volbar	No	Tennessee	1974	Fair	14	Good
			Winter	Oats		
Coker 66-22	No	Coker Seed Co	1969	Good	20	Fair
Coker 70-16	No	Coker Seed Co	. 1971	Good	19	?
Coker 76-30) No	Coker Seed Co	. 1977	Good	19	?
Compact	No	Kentucky	1969	Excellent	23	Fair
Cumberland	No	Tennessee	1974	Fair	25	?
Dubois	No	Indiana	1952	Good	20	Fair
Ку 76-695	Expe	r- Kentucky		Excellent	20	Fair
Norline	No	Indiana	1960	Excellent	24	Fair
Pennlan	No	Pennsylvania	1968	Good	19	?
Pennwin	No	Pennsylvania	1973	Good	25	?
Walken	No	Kentucky	1970	Excellent	30	Fair
Windsor	No	Virginia	1978	Fair	20	?
			Spring	Oats		
Andrew	No	Minnesota	1949	Poor	48	Poor
Bates	No	Missouri	1976	Poor	48	Good
Clintford	No	Indiana	1966	Poor	48	Poor
Grundy	No	Iowa	1971	Poor	47	Poor
Jaycee	No	Illinois	1967	Poor	46	Good
Lang	No	Illinois	1976	Poor	45	Good
Mo 0205	No	Missouri	1951	Poor	48	Fair
Otee	No	Illinois	1973	Poor	48	Good

^{1/} "Unauthorized propagation prohibited." Seed of these varieties must be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed. This includes varieties for which protection has been applied and those for which protection has been granted.

^{2/} Number of days later in heading than Barsoy winter barley.

	1 6 1				WHEAT						Soutor: 3
	Protected		Date of	Straw	Relative		Winter	Hessian	Powdery Leaf	Leaf	Leaf
Variety	Variety	Origin	Release	h	Height	Maturity	Hardiness	Fly	Mildew Rust	Rust	Blotch
Abe	Yes	Indiana	1972	Very Good	Medium	Early	Excellent Excellent Good	Excellent	Good	Good	Fair
Arthur	No	Indiana	1968	Good	Medium	Early	Excellent Good	Good	Good	poog	Fair
Arthur 71	Yes	Indiana	1971	Good	Medium	Early	Excellent	Excellent	Cood	poog	Fair
Beau	Yes	Indiana	1975	Excellent	Medium	Early		Excellent	Good	Good	Fair
Doublecrop	No	Arkansas		Good	Medium	Very Early		Good	Good	Jery Good	d Fair
Oasis	Yes	Indiana	1973	Good	Medium	Early		Excellent	Poop	poog	Good
Sullivan	Yes	Indiana	1977	Good	Medium	Early		Excellent	Good		Good
Coker 747	Yes	Coker ped- igreed seed	- p	poog	Medium	Early		1	V Good	I	Fair
McNair 1813 Yes	Yes	McNair seed	peed	Good	Medium	Early	Fair		V Good	-	Fair

3946H