Love Low Tim entered appearance MAY, OBERFELL, HELLING on 11-16-83 LORBER & CAMPITI ARTHUR A. MAY JAMES W. OBERFELL 182) ATTORNEYS AT LAW JOSEPH T. HELLING BEL 224 W. JEFFERSON BLVD., SUITE 600 JOHN J. LORBER VINCENT P. CAMPITI JOHN H. PEDDYCORD SOUTH BEND, INDIANA 46601 GALS 219 - 232 - 2031 THOMAS J. HALL DRISCOLL SHERRI L. WEBBER THOMAS D. BLACKBURN MARCIA A. KOPE E. SPENCER WALTON, JR. ADMINISTRATOR November 15, 1983 JEFFERY A.JOHNSON EARL W. BRICKNER II ROBERT J. PALMER MAGGIE MAWBY CHIPMAN G. Wix Unthank, Judge United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky Pikeville, KY 41501 Glendal Good v. James R. Skeens United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky Civil Action No. 83-372 Dear Judge Unthank: I received an Order in the above referred to case advising that a preliminary conference is scheduled on December 12, 1983 at 2:30 p.m. I am writing to advise that this case has been transferred back to Mr. Charles E. Lowe, Jr., Attorney at Law, Second Street, Pikeville, Kentucky for handling and representation of his client, Mr. James R. Skeens. If Mr. Lowe has not entered an Appearance yet, please advise me as I would want to contact him and make certain that he will be entering an Appearance in this matter. As soon as his Appearance is entered on behalf of Mr. James R. Skeens, I would appreciate very much if you would withdraw this firms Appearance as it would be unduly expensive for us to continue representation of Mr. Skeens. I look forward to your reply, and remain, Very truly yours, Jom Blockburn Thomas D. Blackburn TDB:bjb cc: Charles E. Lowe, Jr.

MAY, OBERFELL, HELLING, LORBER & CAMPITI R. FLOYD SEARER ARTHUR A. MAY (1905-1982) JAMES W. OBERFELL ATTORNEYS AT LAW JOSEPH T. HELLING OF COUNSEL 224 W. JEFFERSON BLVD., SUITE 600 JOHN J. LORBER VINCENT P. CAMPITI SOUTH BEND, INDIANA 46601 PARALEGALS JOHN H. PEDDYCORD THOMAS J. HALL SUSAN K. DRISCOLL 219 - 232 - 2031 THOMAS D. BLACKBURN MARCIA A. KOPE E. SPENCER WALTON, JR. ADMINISTRATOR November 15, 1983 JEFFERY A.JOHNSON ROBERT J. PALMER EARL W. BRICKNER II MAGGIE MAWBY CHIPMAN G. Wix Unthank, Judge United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky Pikeville, KY 41501 Glendal Good v. James R. Skeens United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky Civil Action No. 83-372 Dear Judge Unthank: I received an Order in the above referred to case advising that a preliminary conference is scheduled on December 12, 1983 at 2:30 p.m. I am writing to advise that this case has been transferred back to Mr. Charles E. Lowe, Jr., Attorney at Law, Second Street, Pikeville, Kentucky for handling and representation of his client, Mr. James R. Skeens. If Mr. Lowe has not entered an Appearance yet, please advise me as I would want to contact him and make certain that he will be entering an Appearance in this matter. As soon as his Appearance is entered on behalf of Mr. James R. Skeens, I would appreciate very much if you would withdraw this firms Appearance as it would be unduly expensive for us to continue representation of Mr. Skeens. I look forward to your reply, and remain, Very truly yours, Jom Blockburn Thomas D. Blackburn TDB:bjb cc: Charles E. Lowe, Jr.

Lone Law Fim entered appearance on 11-16-83

M

TO: Judge FROM: Donald DATE: 12-12-83 RE: 83-272

Glendal Good v. James R. Skeens

PC, Monday, 12-12-83, at 2:30

Synopsis:

This case is interesting, similar to a law school hypothetical.

Plff and her husband were Indiana residents. In October of 1980, husband received an award of \$22,136.40 from the U.S. Dept. of Labor. He later came to Kentucky to visit family and brought with him \$19,136.40 to deposit into a joint savings account he and his half-brother maintained at the First National Bank of Pikeville (Ferrell's Creek Branch). Apparently, the account was a joint account with the right of survivorship.

In Dec. of 1980, husband died. His widow is the administratrix of the estate, and she brought this action to recover the money deposited into the joint savings account, on the theory that the money was held as a constructive trust for her.

The defendant maintains that he is entitled to the money since he is the survivor and co-owner of the joint savings account.

Pending Motions: NONE.

Substantive Issues:

- 1. Do the plff's allegations support the idea that a constructive trust was created with her as the beneficiary and the def. as the trustee?
- 2. Is def. entitled to the proceeds of the joint bank account because he is the survivor?

Comments:

- It appears to me that if the account was actually a "joint account with the right of survivorship", the def. should be entitled to the money. On the other hand, if it were merely a joint account, plff would arguably be entitled to at least half of the money.
- Other factors to consider are: 1. the decedent died intestate;
 how long had the joint account been opened; 3. how much each co-owner contributed to the acct.